

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**TUESDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF AUGUST  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI  
AND**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 6420 OF 2010**

**Between:**

1. The Director Staff, Ministry of Communications & IT Department of Telecommunications Sanchar Bhavan 20 Ashoka Road, New Delhi
2. The Deputy Director General (Establishment), Department of Telecommunications Sanchar Bhavan 20 Ashoka Road, New Delhi.

**...PETITIONERS**

**AND**

1. Shri S.K Abudl Rasool, S/o Shaik Imam Shaeb, Telephone Mechanic Telephone Exchange Gadwal 18-2-45/A/78, Chandrayangutta, Hyderabad.
2. The Chief General Manager Telecommunications, A.P Circle, Door Sanchar Bhavan Hyderabad-1.
3. The Division Engineer (Mtce), Department of Telecommunications Gadwal, Mahabubnagar District.
4. The Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal Hyderabad Bench HACA Bhavan, Hyderabad.

**...RESPONDENTS**

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of orders dt 05-10-2009 in OA NO 558/08 passed by the Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal Hyderabad Bench Hyderabad pending disposal of the writ petition.

**Counsel for the Petitioners : SRI.B.NARASIMHA SARMA**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : SRI.M.VENKANNA**

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4 : ---**

**The Court made the following ORDER**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI**  
**AND**  
**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K. SARATH**

**Writ Petition No.6420 of 2010**

**ORDER :** *(Per Hon'ble Justice Abhinand Kumar Shavili)*

When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has informed the Court that during the pendency of the Writ Petition, the respondent No.1 has retired from service after attaining the age of superannuation and this Court was not pleased to grant any interim suspension of the order passed by the Tribunal, which would mean that the order passed by the Tribunal has been worked out on its own, thus rendering the present writ petition as infructuous.

2. In view of the above submission, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

3. As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending if any in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- B. SATYAVATHI  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR  
*SB*  
SECTION OFFICER

To

1. One CC to SRI.B.NARASIMHA SARMA, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI.T.SUDHA MURALIDHAR, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI.M.VENKANNA, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies
5. One spare copy

S.A  
GJP

*HV+*

HIGH COURT

DATED:30/08/2022

ORDER

WP.No.6420 of 2010



DISMISSING THE W.P

AS INFRUCTUOUS WITHOUT COSTS.

7  
24/1/23  
HVL