

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.NAGARESH**

**FRIDAY, THE 30<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF DECEMBER 2022 / 9TH POUSHA, 1944**

**WP(C) NO. 41922 OF 2022**

**PETITIONER:**

ANNMARIA DEVASIA,  
AGED 15 YEARS, D/O.DEVASIA, KUNNOOR HOUSE, EDAVAKA  
POST, KALLODY, WAYANAD DISTRICT, PIN - 670 645,  
REPRESENTED BY GUARDIAN MOTHER MINIMOL.K.D., AGED  
43 YEARS, W/O.DEVASIA, KUNNOOR HOUSE, EDAVAKA  
POST, KALLODY, WAYANAD DISTRICT, PIN - 670 645.  
BY ADV P.T.SHEEJISH

**RESPONDENTS:**

- 1 STATE OF KERALA,  
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY, GENERAL EDUCATION  
DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, SECRETARIAT,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.
- 2 THE GENERAL CONVENER,  
(DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION),  
WAYANAD REVENUE DISTRICT SCHOOL YOUTH FESTIVAL  
2022-2023, OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF  
EDUCATION, KALPETTA, KALPETTA NORTH P.O.,  
WAYANAD DISTRICT, PIN - 673 122.
- 3 THE GENERAL CONVENER,  
(ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS),  
61ST KERALA STATE SHCOOL YOUTH FESTIVAL PROGRAMME  
COMMITTEE OFFICE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.
- 4 THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,  
WAYANAD, OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF  
EDUCATION, KALPETTA, KALPETTA NORTH P.O.,  
WAYANAD DISTRICT, PIN - 673 122.
- 5 APPEAL COMMITTEE,  
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN, WAYANAD DISTRICT  
KALOLSAVAM YEAR 2022-2023, REVENUE DISTRICT,  
KOZHIKODE, PIN - 673 122.  
BY ADV SRI.V.S.SREEJITH - GP.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 30.12.2022, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:

## **J U D G M E N T**

***Dated this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2022***

The petitioner participated in 'Mohiniyattam' (Girls) competition in the Revenue District School Kalolsavam of Wayanad District. The petitioner could not secure first prize. The petitioner states that she has got 'A' Grade. Taking into account the performance of the petitioner, she ought to have been awarded first prize and permitted to participate in the State School Kalolsavam.

2. The petitioner alleges that the Judges of the Committee were partial towards the winning team and the petitioner had been discriminated against.

3. Heard.

4. The allegation of the petitioner is that the Judges were partial towards others. The pleadings in the writ petition are not sufficient to arrive at any conclusion of bias or mala fide.

The writ petition is therefore without any merit and it is dismissed.

**Sd/-  
N. NAGARESH, JUDGE**

**APPENDIX OF WP(C) 41922/2022**

PETITIONER EXHIBITS

- |            |   |
|------------|---|
| Exhibit P1 | TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF MERIT<br>WITH A GRADE AWARDED TO THE PETITIONER IN<br>THE MANANTHAVADY SUB DISTRICT SCHOOL<br>KALOLSAVAM.               |
| Exhibit P2 | TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF<br>ACHIEVEMENT WITH A GRADE AWARDED TO THE<br>PETITIONER IN THE WAYANAD DISTRICT<br>KALOLSAVAM 2022-2023.               |
| Exhibit P3 | TRUE COPY OF THE SCORE SHEETS ALONG WITH<br>THE TABULATION SHEET FOR THE MOHINIYATTAM<br>(GIRLS) EVENT IN THE WAYANAD DISTRICT<br>KALOLSAVAM 2022-2023. |
| Exhibit P4 | THE TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER PASSED BY THE<br>APPELLATE AUTHORITY.  |

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS : NIL