IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

PETITIONERS:

- 1 SHEELA, W/O. AJI, PANANCHIKAL HOUSE, KIDANGOOR P.O., THURAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT- 683572.
- 2 PRADEEP P.C,S/O. CHANDRAN, PANANCHIKAL HOUSE, NEAR AZHAKAM SUBRAMANYA SWAMI TEMPLE., ALUVA, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT- 683577.
- 3 AJI P.V,S/O. VELAYUDHAN, PANANCHIKAL HOUSE, KIDANGOOR P.O., THURAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT-683572.

BY ADVS.

G. HARIHARAN

PRAVEEN.H.

K.S.SMITHA

V.R.SANJEEV KUMAR

ANJALY T.A

RESPONDENT:

M/S. SHRIRAM TRANSPORT FINANCE COMPANY LTD 1ST FLOOR IRIMPAN'S ANGEL RUDHI TOWER, OPP. ST. JOSEPH HIGH SCHOOL, ALUVA ROAD, ANGAMALY, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT - 683572., REPRESENTED BY ITS SENIOR MANAGER.

BY ADVS.
C.HARIKUMAR
SANDRA SUNNY
ARUN KUMAR M.A

THIS ARBITRATION REQUEST HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 29.07.2022, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

Sathish Ninan, J.

Arbitration Request No.141 of 2022

Dated this the 29th day of July, 2022

ORDER

The 1stpetitioner availed a loan from the Petitioners 2 and 3 are guarantors to the respondent. transaction. The petitioners had executed Hypothecation Agreement in favour of the respondent in connection with the transaction. There has arisen disputes between the parties. The petitioners allege that the respondent has unilaterally proceeded to appoint an Arbitrator which is impermissible under law. they approached this Court Accordingly, in this Arbitration Request seeking appointment of an Arbitrator.

- 2. Heard the learned counsel on either sides.
- 3. Existence of an arbitration clause providing for appointment of an Arbitrator for resolution of the disputes between the parties, is admitted. That there

cannot be a unilateral appointment of an Arbitrator has been held in TRF Limited v. Energo Engineering Projects Limited (2017(8) SCC 377), Perkins Eastman Architects DPC and Another v. HSCC(India) Ltd. (AIR 2020 SC 59) & Tulsi Developers India Pvt. Ltd v. Dr.Appu Benny Thomas (2021(5) KHC 404).

Both sides are agreeable for appointment of an Arbitrator at Ernakulam. Accordingly, leaving open the rights of the parties to urge their contentions before the Arbitrator, the Arbitration Request is ordered as hereunder:

- (a) Adv. S.Prasanth, Naduviladath, Punnackal, Elamakkara P.O, Cochin 26, Mobile No. 9447060299, is provisionally nominated as the Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes between the parties.
- (b) A copy of this order shall be communicated to the learned Arbitrator by the Advocate of the applicant

within a period of one week from today. A copy of the order shall also be forwarded to the learned Sole Arbitrator by the Registry.

- (c) The Arbitrator is requested to forward his statement of disclosure under Section 11(8) r/w Section 12(1) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.
- registry shall place the disclosure (d) The statement before this Court, for confirmation of the appointment of the Arbitrator.
- (e) The Arbitrator's fees shall be payable as per Schedule IV to the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

Sd/-

Sathish Ninan, Judge

vdv

APPENDIX OF AR 141/2022

PETITIONER	ANNEXIIRES

Annexure I A TRUE COPY OF THE REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE

FOR VEHICLE BEARING REGISTRATION NO. KL-63-

8598

Annexure II A TRUE COPY OF THE LOAN REPAYMENT SCHEDULE

FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 30.12.2021

Annexure III A TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION ISSUED BY THE

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA VIDE NOTIFICATION DATED

24.03.2020

Annexure IV A TRUE COPY OF THE LAWYER NOTICE DATED

25.11.2021 SENT FROM THE OFFICE OF THE ADVOCATE THROUGH SPEED POST WHICH WAS

RECEIVED ON 06.07.2022 DEMANDING PAYMENT OF A

SUM OF RS.8,80,833/- AS ON 29.06.2022

Annexure V A TRUE COPY OF THE ENVELOP BEARING THE DATE

OF DISPATCH EVIDENCING RECEIPT OF THE SAME TO

THE PETITIONER ON 06.07.2022

Annexure VI A TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION DATED 07.07.2022

SENT BY THE PETITIONER ADDRESSED TO THE

RESPONDEN

Annexure VII A TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER PASSED IN

CONNECTION WITH A.R.NO.37/2022 DATED 19.01.2022 BY THIS HON'BLE COURT