IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED NIAS C.P. FRIDAY, THE 29^{TH} DAY OF APRIL 2022 / 9TH VAISAKHA, 1944 WP(C) NO. 9460 OF 2022

PETITIONER/S:

HEMAMBIKA,
AGED 31 YEARS
HINDI TEACHER (JR),
VALLATHOL AUP SCHOOL,
MANGALAM, TIRUR , MALAPPURAM 676 561.

BY ADVS.
M.A.FAYAZ
M.VISHNUPRIYA
C.B.ABHINAVA

RESPONDENT/S:

- 1 STATE OF KERALA
 REP. BY SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT, GENERAL
 EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.
- DIRECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION, O/O. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION, JAGATHI, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.
- 3 DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION MALAPPURAM, O/O. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION MALAPPURAM, MALAPPURAM 676 505.
- 4 DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, TIRUR, O/O. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER TIRUR, MALAPPURAM 676 505.
- 5 ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER TIRUR, O/O THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, TIRUR, TIRUR, MALAPPURAM 676 505.

..2..

6 MANAGER, VALLATHOL AUP SCHOOL, MANGALAM, THIRUR, MALAPPURAM 676 561.

OTHER PRESENT:

SMT PARVATHY .K GP

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 29.04.2022, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking a direction to the 2nd respondent to dispose Ext.P5, a revision petition claiming, inter alia, to approve the appointment of the petitioner with effect from 19.07.2021, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within a time frame.

2. After hearing the learned counsel on either side and taking into account the pendency of the revision petition, there will be a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law on Ext.P5 revision petition on the basis of Ext.P4 and also taking into account the educations qualifications of the petitioner, within a period of three months from today

..4..

after hearing the petitioner and other affected parties, if any. The petitioner will be free to produce Ext.P4 or any other material, which she relies on, for substantiating her contentions. Needless to say that the 2nd respondent will advert to such contentions, while considering the revision. The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-

MOHAMMED NIAS C.P.

JUDGE

bka/30.04.2022

..5..

APPENDIX OF WP(C) 9460/2022

PETITIONER EXHIBITS

Exhibit	P1	PHOTO COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER ISSUED BY THE 6TH RESPONDENT DATED 19.07.2021.
Exhibit	P2	PHOTO COPY OF THE PROCEEDING NO. C/18218/2021 L. DIS DATED 27.09.2021 ISSUED BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT.
Exhibit	Р3	PHOTO COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS, NO. B4/195823/2021 DATED 27.11.2021 ISSUED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT.
Exhibit	P4	PHOTO COPY OF ORDER NO. K.DIS.BI/27274/2021 DATED 17.11.2021 ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.
Exhibit	P5	PHOTO COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 19.01.2021 (WITHOUT ENCLOSURES).
Exhibit	P6	PHOTO COPY OF ORDER NO. C/100215/2019 DATED 30.09.2019 ISSUED BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT.