#### IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

# ON THE 30<sup>th</sup> DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2022 BEFORE

# HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.A. SAYED CHIEF JUSTICE

&

### HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTSNA REWAL DUA

# **CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 6928 of 2022**

#### Between:

SH. SURESH KUMAR SON OF SH. RISHI RAM, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE SHERLI BATAI, P.O. PATRIGHAT, TEHSIL SARKAGHAT, DISTT. MANDI, H.P. PRESENTLY SERVING AS A WATER GUARD ON PART TIME BASIS UNDER PATRIGHAT PANCHAYAT, DISTT. MANDI, H.P. AGE 32 YEARS.

...PETITIONER

(BY MR. BONIT THAKUR, ADVOCATE VICE MR. A.K GUPTA, ADVOCATE)

#### **AND**

- 1. STATE OF H.P. THROUGH THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY (I&PH) TO THE GOVT. OF H.P. WITH HEADQUARTERS AT SHIMLA-2, H.P.
- 2. THE ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF, I&PH WITH HEADQUARTERS

AT JAL SHAKTI BHAWAN, TOTIKANDI, SHIMLA, H.P.

- 3. THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, I&PH CIRCLE SUNDERNAGAR, DISTT. MANDI, H.P.
- 4. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, I&PH DIVISION SARKAGHAT, DISTRICT MANDI H.P.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY MR. RANJAN SHARMA, ADDITIONAL ADVOCATE GENERAL)

This petition coming on for admission this day,

Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.A. Sayed, passed the following:

## ORDER

The petitioner was engaged as Water Guard on part time basis in the year 2007. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the case of the petitioner is covered by the Policy of welfare of Water Guards of the year 2019. He further states that the issue raised in the petition is squarely covered by the judgment dated 23.06.2021 of learned Single Judge of this Court in CWP No.3047 of 2020, titled as *Jagdish Kumar and others* Versus *State of Himachal Pradesh and others*. He has

pointed out that the said judgment was challenged in LPA,

which was dismissed. The matter was carried to the Hon'ble

Supreme Court, which has also dismissed the SLP. The learned

counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be

content if his case is considered in light of the judgments

(supra).

2. In view of the above, without going into the merits, we

dispose of the instant petition by directing respondent No.2 to

consider the case of the petitioner in light of aforesaid

judgments and take a decision within a period of eight weeks

and in the event, the petitioner is found to be similarly placed,

the benefit of the judgment and order dated 23.06.2021 of the

learned Single Judge in CWP No.3047 of 2020 be also

extended to the petitioner.

3. The petition to stand disposed of in the aforesaid

terms, so also pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

(A. A. Sayed) Chief Justice

( Jyotsna Rewal Dua ) Judge

30<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 *(priti)*