

Subhash Kumar vs. State of H.P. & Ors.

CWP No. 4674 of 2022

31.08.2022 Present: Ms. Babita, Advocate, vice Mr. A. K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G. with Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr Bhupinder Thakur, Dy. A.G. and Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Law officer, for respondents-State.

CMP No. 10464 of 2022

Since, the application is formal in nature, as it seeks correction in the memo of parties with respect to Tehsil and District of the petitioner, which has wrongly been shown as District Shimla instead of 'District Bilaspur', therefore, the same is allowed. The error in the memo of parties is ordered to be corrected accordingly. Application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 4674 of 2022

Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General, on instructions, states that the respondents are ready to comply with the order passed by this Court but the petitioner has not turned up for procedural formalities like police verification, age, medical etc.

It is made clear that in case the petitioner does not cooperate and turn up for completion of procedural formalities within two weeks' from today, then the claim of the petitioner need not be considered.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Virender Singh)
Judge

August 31, 2022
(Sanjeev)