

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA
ON THE 30th DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2022**

BEFORE

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTSNA REWAL DUA
EXECUTION PETITION No. 199 OF 2022**

Between:-

**VED PRAKASH GUPTA
SON OF SHRI SUKH DEV GUPTA,
RESIDENT OF BASANTI VILLA,
SANJAULI, TEHSIL AND DISTRICT SHIMLA,
H.P.**

.....PETITIONER

(BY SH. MANOHAR LAL SHARMA, ADVOCATE)

AND

- 1. STATE BANK OF INDIA,
THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN
CORPORATE CENTRE,
MADAME CAMA MARG, MUMBAI,
MAHARASHTRA.**
- 2. CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER
STATE BANK OF INDIA, LOCAL
HEAD OFFICE, SECTOR 17 CHANDIGARH.**

.....RESPONDENTS

**(BY MS. KIRAN SHARMA, ADVOCATE
VICE MR. ARVIND SHARMA, ADVOCATE)**

Whether approved for reporting?

*This petition coming on for orders this day, the
Court passed the following:*

ORDER

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the judgment in question stands implemented and all consequential benefits due & admissible to the petitioner have been released to him. Learned counsel for the respondents has placed on record the service certificate of the petitioner dated 28.09.2022. Copy of the certificate has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, which has been accepted by him to be correct.

2. The grievance of the petitioner in respect of furnishing the correct service certificate stands redressed. Insofar as the consequential benefits are concerned, the stand of the respondents is that all consequential benefits, due and admissible to the petitioner have been released to him. This is disputed by the petitioner. Stand of the respondents is that claim of the petitioner is beyond the relief granted to him in the judgment dated 26.07.2019 and that petitioner is not even entitled to the benefits being claimed by him over and above those granted by the respondents in compliance to the judgment dated 26.7.2019.

3. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave of the Court to institute appropriate legal proceedings to establish petitioner's entitlement to specific consequential benefits and for his surviving grievances. Prayer is not opposed by the respondents.

4. In view of above submissions and prayers, this execution petition is closed. However, as prayed for, petitioner shall be at liberty to institute appropriate legal proceedings to establish his entitlement to specific consequential benefits and/or surviving grievances, if any, in accordance with law. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

30th September, 2022 (rohit)