

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 28TH DAY OF APRIL, 2022

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO.9055 OF 2022 (EDN-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SHREEDHAR
S/O SIDDARAMA SANADANI
AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS
STUDENT OF HKE SOCIETY COLLEGE OF PHARMACY
R/AT VIRASHIVA LINGAYAT BOYS HOSTEL
GULBARGA-585 105
UNIVERSITY REGISTRATION NUMBER 7P4941
2. SHATAGAR AAKASH SIDDHESHWAR
S/O SIDDHESHWAR SHATAGAR
AGED ABOUT 21 YEARS
STUDENT OF HKE SOCIETY COLLEGE OF PHARMACY
R/AT VEERESH NAGAR NEAR GIMS
MEDICAL COLLEGE HOSTEL
GULBARGA-585 105
UNIVERSITY REGISTRATION NUMBER 19P1904

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. TRIVIKRAM.S, ADVOCATE-VC)

AND:

1. THE REGISTRAR (EVALUATION)
RAJIV GANDHI UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES
HAVING ITS OFFICE AT 4TH T BLOCK
JAYANAGAR, BENGALURU-560 041.
2. RAJIV GANDHI UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES
REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE CHANCELLOR
HAVING ITS OFFICE AT 4TH T BLOCK
JAYANAGAR, BENGALURU-560 041.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. HARISH GANAPATHI, ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R2)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT AND DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION MADE BY THE PETITIONER HEREIN DATED 21.04.2022 FOUND AT ANNEXURE-C AND C1 RESPECTIVELY AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

1. Sri.Harish Ganapathi, learned counsel accepts notice for respondents No.1 and 2.
2. The petitioner is before this Court seeking for the following reliefs:
 - a. Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ and direct the respondents to consider the representation made by the petitioner herein dated 21.04.2022 found at Annexure-C and C1 respectively.*
 - b. Or to grant any such other relief or order or direction as this Hon'ble Court deems fit under the facts and circumstances of the case to meet the ends of justice.*
3. The grievance of the petitioners is that they had appeared for the examination conducted for B.Pharma course in November 2021 wherein a 'dual evaluation' method was resorted to. The contention of the petitioners is that in the valuation made by the two

evaluators, there is a difference of 15% and more. Therefore, they are entitled for a challenge evaluation by the third evaluator, as such they have submitted a representation at Annexures-C and C1 which have not been acted upon till date.

4. Considering the interest of petitioners and their education, the respondents are directed to consider the representation dated 21.04.2022 at Annexure-C and C1 strictly in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from today.
5. The petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
JUDGE

In