IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY BENCH AT AURANGABAD

44 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8056 OF 2020 IN FAST/13690/2020

THE EXECUTIVE ENGG., MINOR IRRIGATION DIV., NO.1, MIDC, AURANGABAD AND ANR

VERSUS

KACHRU MANAJI SONAWANE

. . .

Advocate for Applicants :Mr S.C. Arora
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr S.G. Sangle
Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Mr S.N. Pahune Patil h/f Mr N.J. Pahune Patil

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8054 OF 2020
IN FAST/13668/2020

THE EXECUTIVE ENGG., MINOR IRRIGATION DIV., NO.1, MIDC, AURANGABAD AND ANR

VERSUS

KALABAI DATTU RAHANE

. . .

Advocate for Applicants : Mr S.C. Arora
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr S.G. Sangle
Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Mr S.N. Pahune Patil h/f Mr N.J. Pahune Patil

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.8052 OF 2020
IN FAST/13674/2020

THE EXECUTIVE ENGG., MINOR IRRIGATION DIV., NO.1, MIDC, AURANGABAD AND ANR

VERSUS

NAGORAO YESHWANTA SURASE

Advocate for Applicants :Mr S.C. Arora
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr S.G. Sangle
Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Mr S.N. Pahune Patil h/f Mr N.J. Pahune Patil

44-CA-8056-2020 & 2 Ors

CORAM: SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.

DATE: 28th February, 2022

PER COURT:

1. These are the applications for condonation of delay moved by the

2

applicant/acquiring body.

2. Heard Mr S.C. Arora, learned counsel for the applicant/acquiring

body, Mr S.N. Pahune Patil holding Mr N.J. Pahune Patil, learned counsel for

the respective original claimants and Mr S.G. Sangle, learned AGP for the

State.

3. On perusing the record, it is revealed that the acquiring body has

caused delay in preferring the appeals ranging from 754 days to 870 days. It

seems that the delay was caused due to completion of formalities by the

concerned department and seeking sanction from the higher authority. The

delay was not intentional on the part of the acquiring body. Mr Arora, learned

counsel for the applicants has further placed his reliance on the Judgment of

the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of Ningappa Thotappa Angadi (Dead)

through L.Rs. Vs. The Special Land Acquisition Officer and Ors. reported

in **2020(1) SCJ 749** in support his argument.

4. The application for condonation of delay needs to be allowed as

under:-

ORDER

(i) The applications for condonation of delay are hereby allowed.

- (ii) The Registry to make the scrutiny of the appeals as per the procedure, and thereafter, those be numbered and placed before the Court for admission.
- (iii) The Civil Applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.)

mta