

1  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT INDORE**  
**BEFORE**  
**HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIJAY KUMAR SHUKLA**  
**ON THE 28<sup>th</sup> OF FEBRUARY, 2022**

**WRIT PETITION No. 10922 of 2021**

**Between:-**

1. NATWARLAL TOSHNIWAL S/O SHRI SHRIRANGLAL TOSHNIWAL , AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS , OCCUPATION: BUSINESS SHANTI NIKETAN, BICHOLI HAPSI, BYPASS ROAD , (MADHYA PRADESH)
2. PURSHOTTAM TOSHNIWAL S/O SHRI RANGLAL TOSHNIWAL , AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS, OCCUPATION: VYAPAR SHANTI NIKETAN BICHOLI HAPSI BYPASS ROAD INDORE (MADHYA PRADESH)
3. SURESHCHANDRA TOSHNIWAL S/O SHRI RANGLAL TOSHNIWAL , AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS, OCCUPATION: VYAPAR SHANTI NIKETAN, BICHOLI HAPSI, BYPASS ROAD (MADHYA PRADESH)
4. RADHESHYAM TOSHNIWAL S/O SHRI RANGLAL TOSHNIWAL , AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, OCCUPATION: VYAPAR SHANTI NIKETAN BICHOLI HAPSI BYPASS ROAD INDORE (MADHYA PRADESH)

.....PETITIONER

(BY DR. (SHRI) MANOHAR DALAL, ADVOCATE )

**AND**

1. DR. ABHAY BEDEKAR UPPER COLLECTOR COLLECTOR OFFICE (MADHYA PRADESH)
2. COLLECTOR / DISTRICT MAGISTRATE COLLECTOR INDORE (MADHYA PRADESH)
3. SHRI M.L. GAJBIYE UPAYUKT/UPPANJIYAK SAHKARI SAMITIYA INDORE (MADHYA PRADESH)
4. DEVI AHILYA SHRAMIK KAMGAR SAHKARI SANSTHA MARYADIT INDORE THR PRABHARI ADHIKARI VASTE SAMYUKT AYUKT SAHKARITA SAMBHAG INDORE SHRM SHIVIR INDORE (MADHYA PRADESH)
5. COMMISSIONER NAGAR PALIKA NIGAM INDORE (MADHYA PRADESH)

.....RESPONDENTS

(BY SHRI PRADYUMN KIBE, PANEL LAWYER FOR THE  
RESPONDENT NO.1 ON ADVANCE COPY)

.....  
*This petition coming on for hearing this day, the court passed the*

*following:*

**ORDER**

Counsel for the petitioner at the outset submits that the present petition may be disposed off with a direction to the respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P/1) in accordance with the law. He further submits that the petitioner shall file fresh representation before the respondent No.1 and he may be directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid limited prayer, the present petition is disposed off with a direction to the respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with the law within a period of three months from the date of filing of the fresh representation.

It is made clear that this Court has not examined the case of the petitioner on merits.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition is disposed off.

**(VIJAY KUMAR SHUKLA)**  
**JUDGE**

soumya

