

HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH : BENCH AT INDORE

W.P. No.7527/2022

Smt. Santosh Solanki Vs. State of M.P. and Others

Indore, Dated:- 31/03/2022

Shri Jitendra Verma, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Valmik Sakargayen, learned Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

1. Heard.
2. By this petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs :-

“(a) Allow the present writ petition and issue appropriate writ, order or direction directing the respondent No.3 to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion on the post of Accountant/Junior Accountant” and grant the necessary benefits in pursuance of the promotion from the date from which she is legally entitled.

(b) issue appropriate writ direction or order, directing the respondent No.3 to pay necessary benefits including arrears, for which she is legally entitled in accordance with law.

(c) issue any other appropriate writ, direction or order, which this Hon'ble Court deems just and fair in the circumstances of the case.

(d) The petitioner may be awarded cost of this petition.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for grant of promotion to her, the petitioner had preferred a representation on 20.04.2015 (Annexure P/6) to the respondents but the same has not been decided till now.

HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH : BENCH AT INDORE

W.P. No.7527/2022

Smt. Santosh Solanki Vs. State of M.P. and Others

4. Prayer has been made by him for a direction for the said representation to be decided at an early date.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents/State submits that the representation was preferred by the petitioner almost 7 years ago hence the petitioner has approached this Court with inordinate delay.

6. In the available facts of the case, I deem it fit and proper to direct the petitioner to submit a fresh representation before the Divisional Joint Director, Directorate of Health Services, Indore Division, District Indore respondent No.3 within a period of one month from today. In case such a representation is preferred by the petitioner, then the same shall be adverted to and decided by respondent No.3 within a period of four months from the date of filing of the same by passing a reasoned and a speaking order. It shall also be open for him to consider the objections as raised by the respondents herein.

8. With the aforesaid, without expressing any opinion on merits, the instant petition stands disposed off.

(PRANAY VERMA)
JUDGE