### **NAFR**

# HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

## MCRC No. 8928 of 2021

 Mohd. Rasheed Ali S/o Aabid Ali Aged About 38 Years Caste Muslim, R/o Village Badri Awara, Mehdauri Rasulabad Teliyarganj, Post Jadhwan Kalveri, Police Station Teliyarganj, Chowki Beli Prayagraj, District Prayagraj Uttar Pradesh., Uttar Pradesh

---- Petitioner

#### Versus

 State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Katghora District Korba Chhattisgarh., District: Korba, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

### With

## MCRC No. 8958 of 2021

Mohd. Rasheed S/o Aabid Ali Aged About 35 Years Caste Muslim, R/o Narsinghgarh, Post Mudhar, Aadhar Ganj, Police Station Raniganj, District Pratapgarh Uttar Pradesh. Permanent R/o B- 86, badri Awas Mehdauri, Teliyarganj, Prayagraj, Police Station Shivpuri, Tehsil Sadar, District Prayagraj Uttar Pradesh., Uttar Pradesh

#### **Versus**

 State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Surajpur, District Surajpur Chhattisgarh., District: Surajpur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

## With

### MCRC No. 8932 of 2021

Mohd. Rasheed S/o Aabid Ali Aged About 35 Years Caste Muslim, R/o B - 86, Badri Awas Mehdauri, Teliyarganj, Prayagraj, P.S. Shivpuri, Tehsil Sadar, District Prayagraj (U.P.).

#### Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Baikunthpur,
 District Koriya Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

## With

## MCRC No. 8938 of 2021

Mohd. Rasheed S/o. Aabid Ali, Aged About 35 Years Caste Muslim, R/o. Narsinghgarh, Post Mudhar Aadhar Ganj, P.S. Raniganj, District Pratapgarh (U.P.) Permanent R/o B-86, Badri Awas Mehdauri, Teliyarganj, Prayagraj, P.S. Shivpuri, Tehsil Sadar, District Prayagraj (U.P.), Uttar Pradesh

#### Versus

 State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Vishrampur, District Surajpur Chhattisgarh, District: Surajpur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

CAUSE TITLE TAKEN FROM CIS PERIPHERY

-----

For Applicants : Surfaraj Khan, Advocate.

For Respondents/State : B. L. Sahu, PL.

-----

### Hon'ble Shri Justice Deepak Kumar Tiwari Order On Board

## 31.01.2022

In all the aforementioned applications where the accused/applicant has been arrested on 17.08.2021 in connection with different crime numbers, the only allegation against him is that he tried to break open the ATM Machine and thus commit theft of amount of various quantams.

- 2. Counsel for the accused/applicant holds this to be a case of false implication yet the documents on record speak volumes of his involvement in the offence of serious nature. State counsel too opposes the application for bail.
- 3. Thus considering the seriousness of the allegation made against the accused/applicant this Court is not inclined to grant bail to him. Accordingly, the applications are rejected. The application shall however have a liberty of renewing the prayer for bail after examination of the material witnesses.

Sd/-

(Deepak Kumar Tiwari) Judge

Jyotishi/Ajay