

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****MCC No. 280 of 2022**

M/s K.V.K. Bio Energy Pvt. Ltd. A Company Duly Incorporated Under The Provisions Of The Companies Act, 1956 Having Its Registered Office At, 6-3-110/a-1, 3rd Floor, Navbharath Chambers, Raj Bhawan Road, Somajiguda, Hyderabad, Telengana, And Having Its Plant At Nh-49, Village Amartal, Post Tilai, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh Through Its Authorised Signatory, Shri Sai Ram Tata

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Energy, Naya Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Industries, Naya Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Chief Electrical Inspector, State Of Chhattisgarh, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, Through Its Executive Director (Commercial), 4th Floor, Vidyut Seva Bhawan, Dangania, Raipur Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

---

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ankit Singhal, Advocate.
For Respondents No. 1 to 3	:	Mr. Vikram Sharma, Deputy Government Advocate.
For Respondents No. 4	:	Ms. Richa Shukla, Advocate.

---

Hon'ble Shri Arup Kumar Goswami, Chief Justice

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant, Judge

Order on Board

Per Arup Kumar Goswami, Chief Justice

30.06.2022

Heard Mr. Ankit Singhal, learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. MCC No. 280 of 2022 is an application for restoration of Writ Petition (C) No. 2632 of 2016, which was dismissed for default by an order dated 26.04.2022.
3. This application was filed on 04.05.2022 within a period of 8 days.
4. It is submitted by Mr. Singhal that all along he was appearing in the case, and on the day, when the case was dismissed, he could not appear as there was a wedding in the family. Mr. Singhal also submits that after dismissal of the writ petition, no further developments had taken place.
5. Mr. Vikram Sharma, learned Deputy Government Advocate, appearing for respondents No. 1 to 3 and Ms. Richa Shukla, learned counsel, appearing for respondent No. 4 have opposed the prayer for restoration of the writ petition.
6. Perused the averments made in the application.
7. We are satisfied that sufficient cause is shown for restoration of the writ petition.

8. Accordingly, the writ petition is restored to its original file being Writ Petition (C) No. 2632 of 2016.
9. Interim order passed earlier is also restored.
10. Registry will list this case for hearing in the hearing list commencing from 25<sup>th</sup> of July, 2022.
11. The MCC stands disposed of.

**Sd/-**  
**(Arup Kumar Goswami)**  
**Chief Justice**

**Sd/-**  
**(Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant)**  
**Judge**