

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH At BILASPUR

WPS No. 550 of 2022

1. Yopendra Kumar Patre, S/o Shri Daya Das Patre, aged about 40 years, R/o Gambo Para, Kabir Ward, Mungeli, District Mungeli (Chhattisgarh)- 495334
2. Harish Chandra Yadav, S/o Shri Baij Nath Yadav, aged about 42 years, R/o A/48, Shristi Sankriti Colony, Kota Road, Village Parsada, Post Bharni, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)- 495663.

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through: The Secretary, Revenue and Disaster Management Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Commissioner, Land Record Branch, First Floor, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Satyapal Singh Yadav, aged about 35 years, Revenue Inspector, Land Records Office, District Durg (Chhattisgarh)
4. Jyoti Singh, aged about 30 years, Revenue Inspector, Land- Records Office, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
5. Sanjay Bareth, aged about 37 years, Revenue Inspector, Land Records Office, District Korba (Chhattisgarh)
6. Gangadhar, aged about 33 years, Revenue Inspector, Land- Records Office, District Balod (Chhattisgarh)
7. Vinita Sharma, aged about 38 years, Revenue Inspector, Land- Records Office, District Kabirdham (Chhattisgarh)
8. B. Rudrapati, aged about 30 years, Revenue Inspector, Land- Records Office, District Durg (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners : Mr. Arvind Kumar Dubey, Advocate

For Respondent-State : Mr. Amrito Das, Addl. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board (through Video Conferencing)

31.01.2022

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that against gradation/seniority list issued for the post of Revenue Inspector, petitioners have submitted objections/representations, as their seniority have wrongly been shown below the private respondents No.3 to 8, which may be directed to be considered and decided expeditiously by the respondents No.1 & 2/competent authority.

2. Be that as it may, the respondents No.1 & 2/competent authority is directed to consider and decide petitioners' objections/representations against gradation/seniority list issued for the post of Revenue Inspector in accordance with law on its own merit by passing a reasoned and speaking order preferably within 45 days from the date of production of copy of this order.

3. With aforesaid observation and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

s@if