HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WP227 No. 38 of 2022

 Santosh Vaishnav S/o Surendra Vaishnav, Aged About 36 Years R/o Pathariya, Tahsil - Pathariya, District Mungeli Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

- 1. Umesh Yadav S/o Shri Kanhaiya Lal Aged About 46 Years R/o Pathariya, Tahsil Pathriya, District Mungeli Chhattisgarh
- 2. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Collector Mugeli District Mungeli Chhattisgarh
- 3. Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Panchayat Pathriya, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : None

For Respondent No.1 : Shri Shishir Dixit, Advocate

For Respondents/State : Shri R.K. Bhagat, Dy. GA

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE NARENDRA KUMAR VYAS ORDER

30/05/2022

- 1. The matter was called twice but no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner.
- The present petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India challenging the order dated 29.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) passed by the learned Civil Judge, Class I , Mungeli whereby the right of the plaintiff to lead evidence has been closed.

3. Perused the documents and heard learned counsel for the respondents.

4. This Court by order dated 18.01.2022 stayed the further proceedings before the

trial Court.

5. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 would submit that he has no objection if

an opportunity is granted to the plaintiff to lead his evidence.

6. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for respondent No.1, I am

of the view that the petition deserves to be allowed. Accordingly, the order

passed by learned Civil Judge, Class-I, Mungeli dated 29.11.2021 in Civil Suit

No.38A/2017 is set aside. The trial Court is directed to decide the case by

giving opportunity to lead evidence to the plaintiff and the Court shall grant

three more opportunity from the first date fixed by the trial Court for evidence of

plaintiff which should not be beyond the outer limit of 45 days. The parties are

directed to appear before the trial Court on 21st of June, 2022 and thereafter the

matter will be fixed by the learned trial Court for plaintiff evidence and the trial

Court shall decide the case by giving opportunity to the plaintiff as observed by

this Court in the foregoing paragraphs and shall make all possible endeavor to

dispose of the case within a period of one year from the date of receipt of the

copy of this order.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition stands allowed to the extent indicated above.

Sd/-

Narendra Kumar Vyas Vacation Judge

Ashu