

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI  
A.B.A. No.3790 of 2022**

1. Brajkishore Prasad
2. Indradeep Prasad
3. Anita Devi
4. Visheshwar Mahto @ Visheshwar Prasad
5. Dasrath Prasad
6. Manoj Kumar
7. Tapendra Kumar
8. Ravindra Yadav @ Ravindra Kumar Yadav
9. Mahaveer Prajapati
10. Ramesh Saw
11. Basant Kumar

..... .... .... Petitioners

## Versus

<p>1. The State of Jharkhand</p> <p>2. Suresh Kumar Dangi</p>	<p>.... .... ....</p> <p>Opposite Parties</p>
---	---

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GAUTAM KUMAR CHOUDHARY**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Awnish Shankar Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Prabir Kumar Chatterjee, A.P.P.

## Oral Order

**03/ Dated : 30.05.2022**

The anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of petitioners- Brajkishore Prasad, Indradeep Prasad, Anita Devi, Visheshwar Mahto @ Visheshwar Prasad, Dasrath Prasad, Manoj Kumar, Tapendra Kumar, Ravindra Yadav @ Ravindra Kumar Yadav, Mahaveer Prajapati, Ramesh Saw and Basant Kumar, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Katkamdag P.S. Case No.140 of 2021 for the offence registered under Section 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 387, 504, 506/34 of the I.P.C. pending in the Court of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Hazaribag is pressed into motion.

As per the case of complainant/informant, the accused persons are members of a co-operative society working in village. On 18.11.2020, an agreement of sale was entered into between the complainant and the accused persons for 2.65 acres of land for a total consideration amount of Rs.3,05,00,000/- (Rupees Three Crore Five Lacs) out of which Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees Ten Lacs) was paid by the complainant by the cheque in the account of co-operative society which has been received by the accused persons. Agreement of sale was duly registered on 23.11.2020 vide registration no.3953. It is said that thereafter a further demand of extortion amount of Rs.10,00,000/- from the complainant and on his refusal to pay extra amount, they refused to hand over possession of land and execute the registered deed of sale.

It is submitted by the learned counsel on behalf of petitioner that these

petitioners were not signatories of the said agreement of sale and have been falsely implicated in this case, however in order to settle the matter, they are ready to return Rs.10,00,000/- to the complainant.

Learned A.P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Considering the rival submissions and undertaking to pay advance amount already received by the co-operative society, the anticipatory bail application is allowed. Accordingly, the petitioners- Brajkishore Prasad, Indradeep Prasad, Anita Devi, Visheshwar Mahto @ Visheshwar Prasad, Dasrath Prasad, Manoj Kumar, Tapendra Kumar, Ravindra Yadav @ Ravindra Kumar Yadav, Mahaveer Prajapati, Ramesh Saw and Basant Kumarr Rai, are directed to surrender before the learned trial court within a period of four weeks and in the event of their arrest or surrender, he shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court below, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and deposit in the Court below a bank draft of Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees Ten Lac) drawn in the name of the complainant.

**(Gautam Kumar Choudhary, J.)**

Anit