S. No. 89

Supp Cause List

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH **AT SRINAGAR**

CPSW No. 449/2014

Mushfigah Parveen and Others

...Petitioner(s)

Through: None.

Vs.

Mr Peerzada Mushtaq Ahmad and Others

Through: Mr Irfan Andleeb, Dv.AG.

## **CORAM:**

## HON'BLE MR JUSTICE JAVED IQBAL WANI, JUDGE

ORDER 25.02.2022

Violation of order dated 07.09.2013 is alleged in the instant contempt petition passed in SWP No. 1048/2010 in terms whereof respondents were directed to accord consideration under Rules to the petitioners claim for release of pay scale in terms of the promotion granted to them vide order dated 03.05.2010. Consideration had to be accorded and order passed within a period of 1 month from the date the copy of order is made available to the respondents.

Compliance report had been filed, wherein it is being stated that petitioners were placed on stop gap arrangement basis to the next grade of Rs. 3050-4910 amongst others in terms of Agricultural order dated 03.05.2010, however, same was kept in abeyance in terms of order dated 19.05.2010 as others officers aggrieved of the same had filed representations thereto.

It is being further stated that subsequently due to the merging of the direct recruits including the petitioners and helpers who were regularized under SRO 64 some regularized helpers were found to be ahead of direct recruits resulting into rescinding of promotion granted in terms of order dated 11.11.2014.

It is being further stated that pursuant to DPC held on

24.05.2013 the petitioner No. 1 amongst others was cleared for promotion

against the post of GCO/Equ in the pay scale of Rs. 2610-3540 as per the

seniority w.e.f. 06.12.2010 notionally and w.e.f. 01.06.2013 monetarily.

It is being further stated that the claim of the petitioners for

their regularization in the pay scale is found to be meritless and that the

petitioners would be considered for promotion in the said grade as per

seniority as and when petitioners would be found eligible.

None appears for the petitioner.

Perusal of the order passed by this court dated 07.09.2013

seemingly has been complied with by the respondents, in view of the

compliance report filed, as such, nothing survives to be adjudicated upon in

the instant contempt petition. Contempt proceedings are accordingly, closed

and petition is **disposed of**.

Should the petitioners have any grievance or cause surviving to

re-agitate the matter, they may seek revival of the contempt petition within

four weeks from the date of passing of this order.

(JAVED IQBAL WANI) JUDGE

<u>SKINAGAK</u>

25.02.2022

Ishaq