

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

OWP No.952/2016
IA Nos.3/2016, 1/2016, 2/2016,
1/2017 & 1/2018

Satish Ganjoo

....Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

Through :- Mr. B S Bali, Advocate.

V/s

State and others

....Respondent(s)

Through :- Mr. Vishal Bharti, Dy.AG

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TASHI RABSTAN, JUDGE

ORDER
28.04.2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even if the petitioner succeeded in the writ petition, he is unable to accept the bid on the same rate which has been prescribed in the year 2016. Therefore, he submits that he has instructions from the petitioner not to press the present writ petition providing that the petitioner be given the liberty to participate in the event the respondents issue fresh tender notice.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn along with connected applications.

Interim directions stand vacated.

(Tashi Rabstan)
Judge

Jammu:
28.04.2022
Sahil T