S. No. 35

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH AT JAMMU

CM(M) No. 6/2022 CM No. 489/2022

Raj Kumari ...Petitioner(s)

Through: - Mr. Dheeraj Sharma, Advocate.

V/s

Rajesh Kumar ...Respondent(s)

Through:- None.

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAVED IQBAL WANI, JUDGE

ORDER

- 1. Through the medium of the instant petition filed under Article 227, the petitioner has thrown challenge to the order dated 08.12.2021 in terms whereof, the Incharge Police Station, Domana has been directed to ensure the implementation of the order dated 30.11.2021 passed in the application for interim relief temporarily restraining the non-applicant/petitioner herein from causing any sought of interference into the suit land.
- 2. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the plaintiff/ respondent herein after obtaining interim order dated 30.11.2021 did not serve the same upon the defendant/petitioner herein but instead choose to seek implementation of the same upon filing of an application through Incharge Police Station, Domana and that the trial Court without issuing notice in the said application proceeded to pass the impugned order directing SHO, Police Station, Domana to ensure implementation of order dated 30.11.2021.

CM (M) No. 6/2022

3. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, under the garb of

2

order dated 08.12.2021, the plaintiff/respondent herein intended to change

the position of the subject matter of the suit on spot resulting into

registration of an FIR against the plaintiff/respondent in the Police Station,

Domana.

4. Be that as it may, fact remains that the trial Court has passed the

impugned order without issuing notice and hearing the defendant/petitioner

herein. The trial Court under law was required to issue a notice to the

defendant/petitioner herein before passing an order for implementation of

the interim order dated 30.11.2021. Since the impugned order has been

passed in the pending matter without issuing any notice and hearing the

defendant/petitioner herein as such, impugned order is not sustainable in

law.

5. Having regard to the nature of controversy raised in the petition,

coupled with the submissions made by the learned counsel for the

defendant/petitioner herein, the petition is taken up for final disposal at this

stage, without formally admitting the same and is disposed of in the

following terms:-

"The impugned order dated 08.12.2021 is set aside

however, the same shall not stand in the way of the

plaintiff/respondent herein in seeking fresh order and in

that event, the trial Court shall be free to pass fresh

orders only after issuing notice and after hearing the

petitioner/defendant".

6. Writ petition is disposed of along with connected CM(s).

(JAVED IQBAL WANI) JUDGE

Jammu
31.01.2022

RENU BALAR 6.14
2022.02.03 Renus
I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document