## IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction Original Side

Present :- Hon'ble Mr. Justice Md. Nizamuddin

W.P.O. No. 1034 of 2022

PRB SECURITIES PVT LTD

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

## With

W.P.O. No. 1035 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1036 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1038 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1039 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1040 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1041 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1042 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1043 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1044 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1045 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1046 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1047 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1078 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1080 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1084 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1099 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1102 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1104 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1105 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1111 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1112 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1113 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1114 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1116 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1117 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1119 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1120 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1124 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1125 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1127 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1129 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1131 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1132 of 2022, W.P.O. No. 1052 of 2022

## For the Petitioners

Mr. Subash Agarwal, Mr. Avijit Ghosal, Mr. Protyush Chatterjee, Ms. Amani Kayan, Mr. Zubeen Pandey, Mr. Anil Kumar Dugar, Mr. Rajarshi Chatterjee, Mr. Pradip Kumar Jewrajka, Ms. Pooja Jewrajka, Ms. Jyoti Rauth, **Advocates** 

2

For the Respondents

Ms. Sucharita Biswas, Advocate

Dated: 28th February, 2022

MD. NIZAMUDDIN, J.

Heard Learned Counsels appearing for the parties.

In view of involvement of common question of law and similarity of facts

in all these Writ Petitions, with the consent of the parties all these Writ

Petitions have been heard together and are being decided by the present

common judgement and order.

Common facts and issues involved in all these Writ Petitions as appear

on perusal of relevant record and upon considering the submissions of the

parties are that the petitioners are aggrieved by the issuance of impugned

notices under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 on the ground that

the same are barred by limitation and the respondent Income Tax Authority

concerned, before issuing the impugned notices under Section 148 of the

Income Tax Act, have not observed the statutory formalities under Section

148 A of the Income Tax Act as prescribed by the Finance Act, 2021 which

are applicable with effect from 1st April, 2021 before issuance of notices

under Section 148 of the Act on or after 1st April, 2021.

Issues arising in all the present Writ Petitions are purely legal and in all

these Writ Petitions the assessees/petitioners have sought relief of quashing

of the impugned re-assessment notices issued post 31st March, 2021 by the respondent Income Tax Authority concerned under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, assessees/petitioners have also sought relief by way of a declaration declaring Explanations A(a)(ii)/A(b) to the Notification No. 20 [S.O. 1432 (E) dated 31st March, 2021 and Notification No. 38 [S.O.1703 (E)] dated 27th April, 2021 to the extent that the same extend the applicability of the "provisions of Section 148, Section 149 and Section 151 of the Act, as the case may be, as they stood as on the 31st March, 2021, before the commencement of the Finance Act, 2021" to the period beyond 31st March, 2021 as ultra vires the parent legislation, viz., The Taxation and Other Laws (Relaxation and Amendment of Certain Provisions) Act, 2020 (hereinafter referred to as 'Relaxation Act, 2020').

At the outset, all the counsels appearing for the parties jointly submitted that the issues involved in these Writ Petitions are covered by the decision of the Division Bench of the Allahabad High Court dated 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2021 in the case of 'Ashok Kumar Agarwal –vs- Union of India through its Revenue Secretary North Block & Ors.' (Writ Tax No. 524/2021) decided in favour of assessees/petitioners on 30.09.2021 and order of Rajasthan High Court dated 25<sup>th</sup> November, 2021 in the case of Bpip Infra Private Limited-vs.- Income Tax Officer, Ward 4 (1), Jaipur (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13297/2021) and the order of Delhi High Court 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2021 in the case of Man Mohan Kohli –vs- Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax & Anr. in (W.P. (C) 6176 of 2021) and judgement and order of this Court dated 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2022 in the case of Manoj Jain Vs. Union of

India & Ors. in WPA No. 11950 of 2021 and in the case of Bagaria Properites and Investment Private Limited & Anr. in WPO 244 of 2021.

In view of judgement and order of this Court dated 17th January, 2022 in the case of Manoj Jain Vs. Union of India & Ors. in WPA No. 11950 of 2021 and in the case of Bagaria Properties and Investment Private Limited & Anr. in WPO No. 244 of 2021, all these Writ Petitions herein are disposed of by allowing the same. Explanations A(a)(ii)/A(b) to the Notifications dated 31st March, 2021 and 27th April, 2021 are declared to be ultra vires the Relaxation Act, 2020 and are therefore bad in law and null and void. All the impugned notices under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act are quashed with liberty to the Assessing Officers concerned to initiate fresh reassessment proceedings in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Act as amended by Finance Act, 2021 and after making compliance of the formalities as required by the law.

Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(MD. NIZAMUDDIN, J.)