GAHC010205642022

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3054/2022

SRI DEBASHISH ROY
S/O LT. NALINI MOHAN ROY, R/O ASKASHDEEP APARTMENT, HOUSE NO . 12, BHOLANATH MANDIR BYE LANE, DR. B.K. KAKATI ROAD, ULUBARI, GUWAHATI- 781007.

VERSUS

THE UNITED BANK OF INDIA and 3 ORS.
REP.BY THE MANAGING DIRECTOR AND CEO, UNITED BANK OF INDIA HEAD OFFICE, 11 HEMANTA BASU SARANI, KOLKATA- 700001.

2:THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

UNITED BANK OF INDIA HEAD OFFICE
11 HAMANTA BASU SARANI KOLKATA- 700001.

3:THE GENERAL MANAGER

HRM
IT
ADC and MIS
UNITED BANK OF INDIA HEAD OFFICE
11 HEMANTA BASU SARANI
KOLKATA- 700001.

4:THE ASSISTANT GENERAL MANAGER HRM

PA OE DIVISION
UNITED BANK OF INIDA HEAD OFFICE
11 HEMANTA BASU SARANI
KOLKATA- 700001.

5:PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK
REP. BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PLOT NO. 4
SECTOR 10
DWARKA
NEW DELHI- 110075

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. U K NAIR

Advocate for the Respondent : MS S MOCHAHARI

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY

ORDER

31.10.2022

Heard Mr. M.P. Sarma, learned counsel for the applicant-writ petitioner and Mr. S. Dutta, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. Siddhant Dutta, learned counsel for the opposite party nos. 1 to 4 – respondent nos. 1 to 4.

The applicant as the writ petitioner preferred the connected writ petition, W.P.[C] no. 2102/2015, seeking quashing of an order dated 11.12.2014 and also for expanding of adverse remarks recorded in the APARs of the petitioner for the year 2010-2011.

The instant interlocutory application has been preferred by the applicant-writ petitioner seeking impleadment of – Punjab National Bank, represented by its Managing Director and Chief Executive Officer, Plot no. 4, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075 - as a party-respondent in the connected writ petition.

It has been averred that during the pendency of the writ petition, the United Bank of India got amalgamated with the Punjab National Bank w.e.f. 01.04.2020 and due to such amalgamation, the merged entity viz. Punjab National Bank is a necessary party to the current proceedings. Mr. Dutta, learned senior counsel for the opposite party nos. 1 – 4 has not disputed the said projected position.

In view of the above averments and submissions, this Court is of the view that the merged entity, Punjab National Bank appears to be a necessary party for proper adjudication of the issues

involved in the connected writ petition. Accordingly, the prayer made in the instant interlocutory application seeking impleadment of the merged entity, Punjab National Bank as the party-respondent no. 5 stands allowed.

The office is to make necessary correction in the cause-title of the connected writ petition.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant