

GAHC010104872022



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT  
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : AB/1484/2022**

SAHANUR ALAM LASKAR  
S/O SAHAB UDDIN LASKAR  
R/O VILL- BARENKA PART-III,  
P.O. BERENKA, P.S. SILCHAR  
DIST. CACHAR, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM  
REP. BY THE PP, ASSAM

**Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M BISWAS**  
**Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM**

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN**

**ORDER**

**31.08.2022**

Heard Ms. M. Das, learned counsel for the applicant and also heard Mr. P. Borthakur, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State respondent.

Apprehending arrest in connection with **Silchar P.S. Case No.4236/2021**, under Sections **468/471** of IPC, this application under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. has been preferred by the applicant, namely, **Sahanur Alam Laskar**, for grant of pre-arrest bail.

It is to be noted here that the said case has been registered on the basis of an

FIR lodged by the Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Cachar Silchar on 04.12.2022 to the effect that Shri Amitabh Borah, Assistant Manager, UIDAI, Assam has should one E-mail forwarding letter, which is forwarded from the NIC, Cachar in connection with ID activation of Operator in favour of M/s AN2 Pvt Ltd. For AADHAR Enrolment and this e-mail forwarding letter is sent to the NIC, Silchar by one Shanur Alam Laskar, Berenga Part-III, Cachar District Coordinator, M/s AN2 Pvt. Ltd. in which the signature of the informant was used, and on verification of the signature on the E-mail forwarding letter, is found to be forged.

Ms. Das, learned counsel for the applicant submits that pursuant to the order of this Court dated 15.06.2022, the applicant appeared before the IO and his statement was recorded and he has been cooperating with the investigating agency and therefore the interim order dated 15.06.2022 made absolute in the same terms and conditions.

On the other hand, producing the case diary before this Court, Mr. P. Borthakur, learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the IO has collected sufficient incriminating materials against the applicant. However, the investigation has progressed substantially and the applicant has been cooperating with the Investigating Agency.

Having heard the submissions of learned Advocates of both sides, I have carefully gone through the petition and the documents placed on record and also perused the case diary with the assistance of Mr. P. Borthakur, learned Addl. PP, Assam and it appears that:

1. The applicant has appeared before the IO and his statement has been recorded.
2. The IO has collected sufficient incriminating materials but the applicant has been extending cooperation in the investigation.
3. All the relevant documents have been seized by the IO.

In view of above, further custodial interrogation of the applicant is not necessary here in this case and, therefore, this Court is inclined to make the interim order dated 15.06.2022 made absolute in the said terms and conditions.

In terms of the above, anticipatory bail application stands disposed of.

Return the case diary.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**