

Serial No. 01
Supp. List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C). No. 279 of 2022

Date of Order: 29.07.2022

Sri. Bidul Goswami

Vs.

North Eastern Electric Power
Corporation Limited & Ors.

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H.S.Thangkhiew, Judge.

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Appellant(s) : Mr. P.J.Saikia, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. P.Deka, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. V.K.Jindal, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. V.Kumar, Adv.
Ms. E.Marwein, Adv.

- i) Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc: Yes/No
- ii) Whether approved for publication in press: Yes/No

ORAL

1. Heard Mr. P.J.Saikia, learned Sr. counsel assisted by Mr. P.Deka, learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. Mr. V.K.Jindal, learned Sr. counsel assisted by Mr. V.Kumar, learned counsel is present and accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents, so no further notice is called for.

3. Learned Sr. counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is aggrieved with the transfer order as he is having severe personal difficulties, due to the fact that his aged mother is suffering from heart diseases and neurological problems, which needs his constant care and attention. It is submitted that pursuant to the transfer order dated 10th June, 2022, the writ petitioner in accordance with the stated Transfer Policy of the respondents, had preferred a representation which was disposed of by the impugned letter dated 8th July, 2022, by a one-line order, wherein it was stated that the prayer of the writ petitioner is not agreed. In this view of the matter, learned Sr. counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petitioner is compelled to come before this Court for appropriate relief.

4. Mr. V.K.Jindal, learned Sr. counsel assisted by Mr. V.Kumar, learned counsel for the respondents, has strongly voiced his opposition to the prayer and has placed a decision rendered by the Division Bench of this Court in WA. No. 24 of 2022 (North Eastern Electric Power Corporation Ltd. & Ors. vs. Poresh Kumar Nath), wherein he submits, this judgment has imposed a bar on the passing of any interim orders or entertaining any such petitions, by this Court.

5. Be that as it may, in the interest of justice and on a perusal of the impugned letter which appears to be cryptic and non-speaking, the respondents are directed to reconsider the representation filed by the writ petitioner in accordance with the Transfer Policy, within a period of two

weeks from today and pass speaking orders. Till such disposal, status quo shall be maintained.

6. With the above direction, matter is closed and stands disposed of.

Judge

Meghalaya
29.07.2022
"Samantha PS"

