

**HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA**

**\_A\_G\_A\_R\_T\_A\_L\_A\_**

**Cont. Cas(C) No.77 of 2022**

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. B. Debnath, Advocate.  
For Respondent(s) : None.

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T. AMARNATH GOUD**

**\_O\_R\_D\_E\_R\_**

**29/07/2022**

Heard.

The present petition has been filed under Section-12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article-215 of the Constitution of India for drawing up of contempt proceeding against the respondent-contemnors, for willful and deliberate disobedience of the order dated 01.06.2022 passed by this Court in case No. WP(C) No.388 of 2022.

The fact of the case is that the petitioner purchased a plot of land measuring 10 gandas on 19.10.2012 and then the petitioner visited the office of the respondent No.2 dated 27.04.2022 to meet and there he was handed over a notice to submit documents to receive money. On 28.04.2022 the petitioner submitted a written objection by post and on 29.04.2022 he filed a writ petition which was numbered as WP(C) No.388 of 2022, an order was passed by this Court maintaining the status quo. On 07.06.2022 and 08.06.2022 the respondents digged the land of the petitioner violating the order dated 01.06.2022, thereafter, the petitioner was served with a notice to appear before the respondent No.2 on 17.06.2022. Later, on 17.06.2022, the petitioner informed the respondent No.2 in writing regarding disobedience of court's order and also filed a complaint to SP West. Finally, the impugned order was passed on falsehood by the respondent No.2.

After hearing the arguments advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and perusal of the records, it reveals that the order dated 01.06.2022 is only to the extent of directing the respondents to give an opportunity for personal hearing to the petitioner and then passed an order. In pursuance of the same, notice of hearing was issued to the petitioner on 17.06.2022. But the petitioner did not cooperate with the inquiry and sought for an adjournment. The same was considered and again a notice of hearing was given but, the petitioner again requested for adjournment on some reasons and finally, on 04.07.2022 after

considering the written explanation by the petitioner, the respondents have passed an order on 04.07.2022. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the said order, he is at liberty to challenge. This Court finds that there is no violation of order dated 01.06.2022.

In view of above, the present petition stands dismissed.

**JUDGE**

*A. Ghosh*

