

JOHN
TELEN KOM

Digitally signed by
JOHN TELEN KOM
Date: 2022.02.02
12:51:07 +05'30'

Item 6

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WP(C)No.74 of 2022

(Through video conference)

1. Th. Hemchandra Singh, aged about 52 years, s/o (L) Th. Thambalngou of Luwangsangbam Matai, PO Mantripukhri & PS Heingang, Imphal West District, Manipur.
2. N.Surendrajit Singh, aged about 50 Years, S/O (L) N. Manihar Singh of Wangkhei Ningthem Pukhri Mapal, PO & PS, Porompat, Imphal East District Manipur.
3. G. Chandan Devi, aged about 44 years, D/o G. Shantikumar Sharma of Khagempalli Ningom Leirak, PO & PS Imphal, Imphal West District, Manipur.
4. H. Sharmila Devi, aged about 53 years, D/o (L) H. Kuno Sharma of Wangkhei Ningthem Purkhri Mapal, PO & PS Porompat, Imphal East District, Manipur.

.... *Petitioners*

- *Versus* -

1. The Jawaharlal Nehru Manipur Dance Academy, Imphal through its Director, D.M College Campus, PO & PS Imphal-795001.

2. The Director of Jawaharlal Nehru Manipur Dance Academy, Imphal D.M.
College Campus, PO & PS Imphal-795001.

.... Respondents

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MV MURALIDARAN

31.01.2022

[1] Heard Mr. H.S. Paonam, learned senior counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Y. Nirmolchand, learned senior counsel who takes notice for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

[2] Both the counsels appearing for the petitioner as well as the respondents agreed for disposal of the writ petition at the admission stage itself.

[3] The petitioners are serving in the respondents department as temporary employees from 01.09.2001; 01.05.2000; 25.04.1998 and 01.05.2000 respectively till date but their services were not been regularized by the respondents.

[4] Therefore, the writ petition has been filed by the writ petitioners seeking the prayer for regularization against the available vacancy of Dancer in the JN Dance Academy.

[5] During the course of arguments, Mr. H.S. Paonam, learned senior counsel for the petitioners submitted that though the petitioners have given representation on 24.01.2022 but it is not enclosed in the writ petition. Therefore, they may be given liberty to give fresh representation to the respondents for regularization of their services and further the respondents may be directed to pass appropriate speaking orders by giving personal opportunity to the petitioners and till such time their services should not be ousted.

[6] Mr. Y. Nirmolchand, learned senior counsel who takes notice for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 also agreed that the petitioner may be directed to give fresh representation and on receipt on the same, the respondents may also be directed to pass suitable orders on the petitioners' representation by giving sufficient time.

[7] Admittedly, the petitioners are working for a considerable period of time in the respondents' office but they were not consider for regularization. Apart from this, the learned senior counsel represented that the petitioners have given representation on 24.01.2022 but unfortunately it was not filed in this writ petition. Therefore, it is just and necessary to the petitioners should give fresh representation to the respondents. Therefore, without going into the merits and demerits of the petitioners' case, I am inclined to pass the following orders:

(a) This writ petition is disposed of.

(b) the petitioners are directed to give appropriate representation to the respondents within a period of 2(two) weeks from the date of receipt of this order and on receipt of the said representation, within the stipulated period of time, the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 are directed to dispose of the representation by giving personal opportunity and to pass appropriate speaking orders.

(c) the said exercise shall be done within a period of 8(eight) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order thereafter.

(d) till such time, the respondents are directed not to oust the petitioners from their service.

[8] Registry is directed to issue copy of this order to both the parties to their Whatsapp/e-mail.

JUDGE

John Kom