

A.F.R.

Reserved on 28.01.2021

Delivered on 26.02.2021

Court No. - 48

Case :- SPECIAL APPEAL No. - 326 of 2020

Appellant :- Kiran Lata Singh

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Through Secretary, Department Of Basic Education And 5 Others

Counsel for Appellant :- Siddharth Khare, Ashok Khare (Sr. Advocate), Rajendra Singh Yadav, Satya Prakash Maurya

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Avneesh Tripathi, Gagan Mehta, Jagannath Maurya, Nisheeth Yadav

with

Special Appeal Nos. 167 of 2020, 315 of 2020, 316 of 2020, 317 of 2020, 318 of 2020, 319 of 2020, 320 of 2020, 321 of 2020, 322 of 2020, 323 of 2020, 324 of 2020, 325 of 2020, 327 of 2020, 328 of 2020, 329 of 2020, 330 of 2020, 331 of 2020, 332 of 2020, 333 of 2020, 334 of 2020, 335 of 2020, 336 of 2020, 337 of 2020, 338 of 2020, 339 of 2020, 340 of 2020, 341 of 2020, 342 of 2020, 343 of 2020, 344 of 2020, 345 of 2020, 346 of 2020, 347 of 2020, 355 of 2020, 356 of 2020, 357 of 2020, 358 of 2020, 359 of 2020, 360 of 2020, 361 of 2020, 362 of 2020, 363 of 2020, 364 of 2020, 365 of 2020, 366 of 2020, 367 of 2020, 368 of 2020, 369 of 2020, 370 of 2020, 379 of 2020, 380 of 2020, 381 of 2020, 382 of 2020, 383 of 2020, 384 of 2020, 388 of 2020, 389 of 2020, 390 of 2020, 391 of 2020, 392 of 2020, 393 of 2020, 394 of 2020, 395 of 2020, 396 of 2020, 397 of 2020, 398 of 2020, 399 of 2020, 400 of 2020, 401 of 2020, 402 of 2020, 403 of 2020, 404 of 2020, 405 of 2020, 409 of 2020, 410 of 2020, 411 of 2020, 412 of 2020, 413 of 2020, 414 of 2020, 415 of 2020, 416 of 2020, 417 of 2020, 418 of 2020, 423 of 2020, 424 of 2020, 425 of 2020, 426 of 2020, 427 of 2020, 428 of 2020, 429 of 2020, 430 of 2020, 431 of 2020, 433 of 2020, 434 of 2020, 435 of 2020, 436 of 2020, 437 of 2020, 438 of 2020, 441 of 2020, 442 of 2020, 443 of 2020, 447 of 2020, 448 of 2020, 449 of 2020, 450 of 2020, 451 of 2020, 452 of 2020, 453 of 2020, 454 of 2020, 455 of 2020, 456 of 2020, 457 of 2020, 458 of 2020, 459 of 2020, 460 of 2020, 461 of 2020, 462 of 2020, 466 of 2020, 467 of 2020, 468 of 2020, 469 of 2020, 470 of 2020, 471 of 2020, 472 of 2020, 473 of 2020, 474 of 2020, 477 of 2020, 478 of 2020, 479 of 2020, 480 of 2020, 482 of 2020, 483 of 2020, 484 of 2020, 485 of 2020, 487 of 2020, 488 of 2020, 489 of 2020, 490 of 2020, 491 of 2020, 496 of 2020, 497 of 2020, 498 of 2020, 499 of 2020, 500 of 2020, 501 of 2020, 502 of 2020, 503 of 2020, 504 of 2020, 505 of 2020, 506 of 2020, 507 of 2020, 508 of 2020, 509 of 2020, 510 of 2020, 511 of 2020, 512 of 2020, 513 of 2020, 514 of 2020, 515 of 2020, 518 of 2020, 519 of 2020, 520 of 2020, 521 of 2020, 522 of

2020, 523 of 2020, 524 of 2020, 525 of 2020, 526 of 2020, 527 of 2020, 528 of 2020, 529 of 2020, 530 of 2020, 531 of 2020, 532 of 2020, 533 of 2020, 534 of 2020, 535 of 2020, 536 of 2020, 537 of 2020, 538 of 2020, 539 of 2020, 540 of 2020, 541 of 2020, 542 of 2020, 543 of 2020, 544 of 2020, 545 of 2020, 546 of 2020, 547 of 2020, 548 of 2020, 549 of 2020, 550 of 2020, 551 of 2020, 552 of 2020, 556 of 2020, 558 of 2020, 559 of 2020, 560 of 2020, 561 of 2020, 562 of 2020, 563 of 2020, 564 of 2020, 565 of 2020, 566 of 2020, 567 of 2020, 568 of 2020, 569 of 2020, 570 of 2020, 571 of 2020, 572 of 2020, 573 of 2020, 574 of 2020, 575 of 2020, 576 of 2020, 577 of 2020, 578 of 2020, 579 of 2020, 580 of 2020, 581 of 2020, 582 of 2020, 583 of 2020, 584 of 2020, 585 of 2020, 586 of 2020, 587 of 2020, 588 of 2020, 589 of 2020, 590 of 2020, 591 of 2020, 592 of 2020, 593 of 2020, 594 of 2020, 595 of 2020, 596 of 2020, 597 of 2020, 598 of 2020, 599 of 2020, 600 of 2020, 601 of 2020, 602 of 2020, 603 of 2020, 604 of 2020, 605 of 2020, 606 of 2020, 607 of 2020, 608 of 2020, 609 of 2020, 610 of 2020, 611 of 2020, 612 of 2020, 613 of 2020, 614 of 2020, 615 of 2020, 616 of 2020, 617 of 2020, 618 of 2020, 619 of 2020, 620 of 2020, 621 of 2020, 622 of 2020, 623 of 2020, 624 of 2020, 625 of 2020, 626 of 2020, 627 of 2020, 628 of 2020, 629 of 2020, 630 of 2020, 631 of 2020, 632 of 2020, 633 of 2020, 634 of 2020, 635 of 2020, 636 of 2020, 637 of 2020, 638 of 2020, 639 of 2020, 640 of 2020, 641 of 2020, 642 of 2020, 643 of 2020, 644 of 2020, 645 of 2020, 646 of 2020, 647 of 2020, 648 of 2020, 649 of 2020, 650 of 2020, 651 of 2020, 652 of 2020, 653 of 2020, 654 of 2020, 655 of 2020, 656 of 2020, 657 of 2020, 658 of 2020, 659 of 2020, 660 of 2020, 661 of 2020, 662 of 2020, 663 of 2020, 664 of 2020, 665 of 2020, 666 of 2020, 667 of 2020, 668 of 2020, 669 of 2020, 670 of 2020, 671 of 2020, 672 of 2020, 673 of 2020, 674 of 2020, 675 of 2020, 676 of 2020, 677 of 2020, 678 of 2020, 679 of 2020, 680 of 2020, 681 of 2020, 682 of 2020, 683 of 2020, 684 of 2020, 685 of 2020, 719 of 2020, 730 of 2020, 736 of 2020, 737 of 2020, 738 of 2020, 739 of 2020, 740 of 2020, 741 of 2020, 742 of 2020, 743 of 2020, 744 of 2020, 745 of 2020, 746 of 2020, 748 of 2020, 749 of 2020, 762 of 2020, 763 of 2020, 764 of 2020, 765 of 2020, 766 of 2020, 768 of 2020, 769 of 2020, 770 of 2020, 771 of 2020, 772 of 2020, 773 of 2020, 777 of 2020, 780 of 2020, 781 of 2020, 782 of 2020, 783 of 2020, 784 of 2020, 785 of 2020, 786 of 2020, 787 of 2020, 788 of 2020, 789 of 2020, 795 of 2020, 796 of 2020, 797 of 2020, 798 of 2020, 799 of 2020, 800 of 2020, 801 of 2020, 802 of 2020 and 6 of 2021

and with

Special Appeals (Defective) No. 837 of 2020, 981 of 2020, 1000 of 2020, 1032 of 2020, 1034 of 2020, 1035 of 2020, 1036 of 2020, 1037 of 2020, 1039 of 2020, 1041 of 2020, 1042 of 2020, 1043 of 2020, 1044 of 2020, 1045 of 2020, 1046 of 2020, 1047 of 2020, 1048 of 2020, 1049 of 2020, 1050 of 2020, 1051 of 2020, 1052 of 2020, 1102 of 2020, 1107 of 2020, 1112 of 2020, 1116 of 2020, 1122 of 2020, 1146 of 2020, 1157 of 2020,

1159 of 2020, 1164 of 2020, 1165 of 2020, 1170 of 2020, 1171 of 2020, 1173 of 2020, 1176 of 2020, 1177 of 2020, 1179 of 2020, 1221 of 2020, 1235 of 2020, 1237 of 2020, 1241 of 2020, 1250 of 2020, 1251 of 2020, 1252 of 2020, 1253 of 2020, 1255 of 2020, 1256 of 2020, 1258 of 2020, 1259 of 2020, 1266 of 2020, 1284 of 2020, 1322 of 2020 and 26 of 2021.

Hon'ble Munishwar Nath Bhandari,J.

Hon'ble Saurabh Shyam Shamshery,J.

(Delivered by Hon'ble Munishwar Nath Bhandari, J.)

Heard Sri Ashok Khare, Senior Advocate assisted by Sri Siddharth Khare, Sri H.N. Singh, Senior Advocate assisted by Sri Vineet Kumar Singh, Sri Radha Kant Ojha, Senior Advocate assisted by Sri Shivendu Ojha, Sri Prabhakar Awasthi and Smt. Arti Raje, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners/appellants. Sri M.C. Chaturvedi, Additional Advocate General assisted by Sri Rama Nand Pandey, Additional Chief Standing Counsel and Sri Rajiv Singh is appearing for the State Government, Sri Ashok Mehta, Senior Advocate assisted by Sri Gagan Mehta is appearing for the Agra University and Sri J.N. Maurya, learned counsel is appearing for the U.P. Education Board/Basic Shiksha Adhikari.

The batch of appeals have been filed to assail the judgment dated 29.04.2020 whereby bunch of writ petitions were decided.

The writ petitions were filed to challenge the order passed by the District Basic Education Officer by which the appointments of the petitioners/appellants on the post of Assistant Teacher were cancelled/terminated. It was alleged that appointments sought were based on fake or tampered mark-sheets/degrees of B.Ed. Examination, 2005.

BRIEF FACTS OF THE CASE AND PREVIOUS LITIGATION

The facts available on record and taken into consideration by the learned Single Judge, reflect that the education was made a saleable product by the Dr. B.R. Ambedkar University, Agra (for short “University”) and thereby the candidates, who even did not appear in

B.Ed. Examination, 2005 were conferred with the mark-sheet and degree. The other allegation is for tampering of marks apart from candidates having same roll numbers on the mark-sheets.

The efface of the University came in the knowledge of the Court when a writ petition was filed by one Sunil Kumar bearing Writ Petition No. 2906 of 2013 on issuance of two mark-sheets to him by the University for B.Ed. Examination 2005. The learned Single Judge hearing the said writ petition directed the University to produce the tabulation sheets of B.Ed. Examination, 2005. In pursuance to the direction aforesaid, the tabulation sheets were produced. It was not bearing signature of any authorized officer/person of the University. The learned Single Judge hearing the said writ petition passed an order on 28.02.2013 directing the Vice Chancellor of the University to file an affidavit in respect to the B.Ed. Examination 2005. The affidavit was sworn. The learned Single Judge observed cross list/tabulation sheets to be manufactured documents in absence of signature of the officer. It was admitted by the University that tabulation sheets/cross list are always signed by the authorized officer/person otherwise it cannot be accepted as genuine.

In pursuance to the subsequent order dated 28.02.2013, the then Vice Chancellor Professor D.N. Jauhar filed an affidavit stating that a three member inquiry committee was constituted on the receipt of the complaint and information regarding manipulation and discrepancies in the mark charts. The committee found following discrepancies:-

- (i) Font of computer printing on some pages are different from other pages;
- (ii) Font quality on paper used in different pages defers;
- (iii) The signature of authorized person of agency was also found different on different pages.

The learned Single Judge hearing the writ petition of Sunil Kumar passed another order on 23.01.2014 for impartial inquiry into the affairs of the University in regard to B.Ed. Examination, 2005. In pursuance to the order dated 23.01.2014, the State Government constituted a Special Investigation Team (For short “S.I.T.”). The writ petition of Sunil Kumar was then treated to be a Public Interest Litigation, thus to be placed before the Division Bench. After referring the matter to the Division Bench, the record of said writ petition was not found traceable, thus matter could not be heard for further direction. The file was reconstituted in the year 2020 pursuant to the order of the Court.

The S.I.T. constituted by the State Government submitted its report dated 14.08.2017 before this Court after the investigation. As per the report, the allegation of issuance of fake mark-sheets apart from tampered mark-sheets were found based on the material collected during the course of investigation/inquiry.

After receipt of the report from the S.I.T., the University as well as the State Government decided to take action in the matter. The Director of Basic Education issued show cause notice to the petitioners/appellants. The show cause notice was challenged by a ***Writ Petition (A) No. 56739 of 2017 (Smt. Suryavati and 150 others Vs. State of U.P. and 24 others)***. An interim order was passed therein to continue the petitioners/appellants with payment of salary. The Court, however, allowed the Department to proceed in the matter in accordance to Rules. It was followed by large number of writ petitions.

The Basic Shiksha Adhikari passed the order of termination pursuant to the show cause notice. It was challenged separately by maintaining a writ petition. The first writ petition for it was ***Writ A No. 20244 of 2018 (Santosh Kuamr and others Vs. State of U.P. and others)***. The said writ petition was dismissed by the judgment dated 20.09.2018.

The judgment in the case of *Santosh Kumar (supra)* was challenged by maintaining an appeal where an interim order was passed.

The University also proceeded in the matter and accordingly a notice was published in the news papers requiring the doubtful candidates to submit reply to the questionnaire supported by material. The validity of the show cause notice of the University was also challenged by *Writ A No. 486 of 2020 (Tilak Singh and others Vs. State of U.P. and others)*. The said writ petition was dismissed by the learned Single Judge by its judgment dated 20.01.2020 with a direction to the University to proceed further in the matter.

In pursuance to the show cause notice given by the University, majority of candidates, alleged to have fake mark-sheets, did not submit any reply and in few cases, reply was submitted with incomplete information and documents or after the last date for it. Only 18 candidates/students submitted proper reply along with documents. The University cancelled B.Ed. degree of 2823 candidates by the order dated 07.02.2020, who failed to submit reply. The order dated 07.02.2020 was passed during the pendency of the writ petition. It was brought on record by way of an affidavit by the University. The hearing of the writ petition commenced thereafter on different dates. The arguments were concluded on 06.03.2020 and thereupon the impugned judgment was pronounced on 29.04.2020.

The learned Single Judge decided the batch of writ petitions with certain directions in paragraph 69 of the impugned judgment which has been assailed by the appellants herein.

In few appeals, there is delay and in few appeals, an application to seek leave for appeal has been submitted. The application for condonation of delay so as the leave to appeal are allowed as otherwise no objection to it has been raised by the side opposite. It is looking to the fact that few appeals have been preferred within limitation, thus, would be decided on

merits and judgments therein would apply on all the appeals and accordingly even leave to appeal is granted because the applicants are either effected by the impugned judgment or in their pending writ petitions they cannot get result unless judgment in question is set aside. Thus, prayed for leave and accordingly granted for the ends of justice. Accordingly, all the appeal were heard together.

Before we proceed to refer to the arguments of the learned counsel for the appellants, it would be material to refer judgment in the case of ***Tilak Singh & others Vs. State of U.P. and others, Writ-A 468 of 2020***. The said writ petition was decided by the judgment dated 20.01.2020. It is without causing interference the show cause notice given by the University though certain formalities were interfered which were basically to have verification of documents through the Principal etc. but no interference in the material information sought by the University was caused rather a direction was given to the University to proceed in the matter in regard to the degrees which are alleged to be forged. The procedure given under Section 67 of **U.P. State Universities Act, 1973** was not required to be applied for fake degrees though it was mandated for the tampered mark-sheet.

All the facts narrated above are relevant and otherwise summarised by the counsel for the appellants.

ARGUMENTS OF LEARNED COUNSEL FOR THE APPELLANTS

Learned counsel submits that the appellants were appointed on the post of Assistant Teacher after undergoing the selection as per U.P. Basic Education Staff Rules, 1973 (in short “***Rules of 1973***”). All the appellants were thus regular employees and even granted promotion during the intervening period. They could not have terminated from service without a departmental enquiry under the U.P. Government Servant (Punishment & Appeal) Rules, 1999 (In short “***Rules of 1999***”). They could not have been terminated from service only by serving a show cause notice. Learned Single Judge failed to consider this aspect of the matter. The

show cause notice was even stayed in the set of another litigation initiated by *Suryavati and 150 others (supra)*. During currency of the interim order, the respondents could not have proceeded to pass termination order. It is more so when there was no material with the State Government to infer B.Ed. degrees of the appellants either fake or tampered. The State Government could not have relied on the report submitted by the S.I.T. It was barely an opinion of the S.I.T. thus could not have been treated to be substantive piece of evidence. The report of the S.I.T. would be tested by the court in the criminal trial. Thus, the sole basis to terminate the services of the appellants was not made out.

It is further urged that the learned Single Judge could not have decided the writ petition in reference to the order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University to cancel the degrees finding it to be fake, as no opportunity was given to them to assail the order dated 07.02.2020. It is despite their request.

The learned Single Judge decided the writ petition after taking adverse inference pursuant to the order of the University dated 07.02.2020 cancelling the degrees of the appellants. The Court should have given opportunity to challenge the order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University.

The learned Single Judge has even drawn a statement to classify the number of candidates obtained fake mark-sheets while others having tampered mark-sheets. The third category is of the candidates having mark-sheets with same roll numbers. The statement of candidates given in the judgment should not be relied as otherwise S.I.T. has given data on their own assumption. The appellants have challenged S.I.T. report in the case of *Sunil Kumar (Supra)* which petition was converted into Public Interest Litigation and is yet to be decided. The finding of the learned Single Judge that the appellants have not challenged the S.I.T. report is thus perverted.

The learned Single Judge has relied the judgment in the case of ***Tilak Singh (Supra)*** though the said writ petition was against the show cause notice given by the University, thus dismissed. The judgment in the case of ***Tilak Singh (Supra)*** has not decided any issue pertaining to the case rather directed the University to proceed further in the matter, thus no final decision was given holding certain mark-sheet to be fake or tampered. The learned Single Judge should not have relied on the judgment in the case of ***Tilak Singh (Supra)***.

The report of S.I.T. considered by learned Single Judge was based on superficial mathematical calculation. No supporting material exist to arrive at the calculation of eligible candidates for B.Ed. Examination, 2005 with the figures students actually appeared followed by declaration of the result of excess candidates. The S.I.T. had failed to take note of the judgment of Lucknow Bench in the case of ***Shri Puran Prasad Gupta Memorial Degree College Vs. State of U.P. dated 06.04.2007 passed in Writ Petition No.399 (M.B.) 2007*** wherein a direction was given to declare the result of additional students. The S.I.T. failed to take note of those students while making calculation of the studens appeared in the examination. Thus, there was no reason for the learned Single Judge to place reliance on the S.I.T. report.

It is also submitted that even if the learned Single Judge was to proceed with a matter in reference to the order dated 07.05.2020 passed by the University cancelling the degrees, it should not have been in ignorance of the fact that out of total 3637 candidates, 814 candidates had submitted reply but while passing the order dated 07.02.2020, the University cancelled the degree of all the candidates without giving any reason. The order dated 07.02.2020 should not have been relied by the learned Single Judge.

So far as finding regarding tampered mark-sheet is concerned, the judgment of learned Single Judge is in ignorance of the fact the candidates had no access to the record of the University to become instrument to

tamper the mark-sheet. In fact mark-sheets were issued immediately with declaration of result. Thus, there was no occasion for any candidates to tamper the mark-sheet.

The tampering of mark-sheets in fact did not effect even the candidature to seek appointments on the post of the Assistant Teacher as it was based on the selection test where all the candidates remained successful. It was also stated that if candidates were involved in tampering of the mark-sheets, their marks would not have been reduced whereas in many cases, marks of the candidates were less compared to the marks in the foil recovered by the S.I.T. Thus, allegation of tampering of mark-sheet was not even made out. The allegation of tampering of the mark-sheets is also without any basis as neither tabulation sheets were available nor original marks foil were recovered by the S.I.T. The record seized by the S.I.T. is lying with it or with the Registrar of High Court. The original marks foil may accordingly be called to consider the argument aforesaid.

In view of above, termination order should have been interfered by the learned Single Judge. The denial of wages during the intervening period till the University passes the order after compliance of the procedure given under Section 67 of the State Universities Act, 1973 in regards to tampered mark sheets is also illegal.

Learned counsel for the appellants submitted that if a direction is given to the University to pass an order in regard to tampered mark-sheets after following the procedure given under Section 67 of the Act, then appellants would have no grievance rather submitted that subject to the outcome of the order pursuant to it, the order of termination be governed. If the decision of the University comes adverse to them then they would not question their termination order but in case of favourable report, it should be interfered thus appropriate order for it may be passed.

It is also submitted that the marks foil recovered by the SIT is not of all the papers of B.Ed. Examination rather is of two papers only. The

aforesaid should not have been taken to be a decisive factor to hold mark-sheets to be tampered.

Some of the counsel of the appellants even challenged the order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University cancelling B.Ed. degrees of 2005 of 2823 candidates. It is submitted that the University proceeded to pass the order dated 07.02.2020 solely based on the report of SIT. It is by presuming admission of the allegation by the candidates in absence of reply to show cause notice containing a questionnaire. The University could not have cancelled the degrees only in reference to the report of SIT. Thus the order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University may also be interfered. The learned counsel pressing the appeal for challenge to the order dated 07.02.2020 submitted that an opportunity to challenge the said order was not given by the learned Single Judge, thus it has been challenged in these appeals.

One set of the counsel appearing for the appellants, however, submitted that they do not prefer to challenge the order dated 07.02.2020 in these appeals as for that separate writ petitions can be filed or even filed. The fact however remains that one set of the appellants have challenged the order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University and accordingly, we need to decide the issue aforesaid, otherwise this judgment may be criticized alleging that despite a challenge to the order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University, consideration of argument has not been made by us. Accordingly, we proceed to determine all the issues raised before us not only in reference to the challenge to the judgment of the learned Single Judge but the order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University.

No other arguments have been raised by the appellants other than what have been mentioned above. The written arguments have been submitted by the counsel but we are confining to the oral argument before this Court and otherwise the written arguments should have been confined to the oral argument made before this Court.

The prayer of learned counsel for appellants is to set aside the termination order so as the judgment of learned Single Judge or pass any other appropriate order in the fitness of the case. The order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University may also be quashed. Learned counsel for the appellants have cited judgments to support their arguments which would be referred by this Court while recording finding on the rival submission of the parties.

The prayer of learned counsel for appellants is to consider the documents submitted on the direction of this Court to show that the candidates were having required documents to show their admission in the college followed by payment of fee apart from issuance of admit cards for appearance in the examination followed by other documents to show their appearance in the examination. At this stage, it is also stated that University has passed an order to cancel remaining fake mark-sheets and degrees other than of two students by the order dated 27.07.2020. It is out of 814 students/candidates.

ARGUMENTS OF LEARNED COUNSEL FOR SIDE OPPOSITE

Per contra, the contest to the appeals has been made by the counsel appearing for the State and the University. They have supported the judgment of the learned Single Judge.

It is submitted by the Senior Counsel appearing for the University that pursuant to the direction of this Court in the case of ***Sunil Kumar (supra)***, the University had initially constituted a Committee of three members. The Committee found that font of the cross sheet/tabulation sheet is different on certain pages. It is apart from the different font size in the cross sheet/tabulation register. The University, thus realized manipulation and malpractices in the B.Ed. examination of 2005. It decided to proceed against the officers involved in the manipulations.

The matter was referred to SIT by the State Government for investigation followed by a report in the light of the direction in the case of ***Sunil Kumar (supra)***. On the receipt of the report from S.I.T., the State

Government gave direction to University to proceed in the matter and accordingly the University decided to issue show cause notice to the candidates by publishing it in the newspaper requiring the candidates to submit their reply to the questionnaire with supporting material. The show cause notice was challenged by ***Tilak Singh and 495 other candidates***. The writ petition was dismissed vide judgment dated 20.01.2020 with a direction to the University to proceed in the matter of fake mark-sheets without observing Section 67 of the Act of 1973 but for tampered mark-sheets, to proceed under Section 67 of the Act of 1973. The University accordingly proceeded in compliance to the judgment in the case of ***Tilak Singh (supra)*** as the judgment in the said case was not challenged thus attained finality.

In pursuance to the notice published in the newspaper, reply was submitted only by 814 candidates within the time prescribed for it. It was out of 4766 candidates which was for fake degree as well as tampered. 2823 candidates did not send reply to show cause notice while 814 candidates sent the reply, however out of 814 also 796 candidates did not submit complete information sought in the show cause notice. Only 18 candidates submitted proper reply with material. The University thus proceeded to pass order dated 07.02.2020 holding that 2823 students are having fake degrees. It is thus incorrect to state that by the order dated 07.02.2020, all the degrees were declared fake, which includes the degrees of 814 candidates. It is also incorrect that the University has declared 2823 candidates to be fake based on the report of the SIT. In fact, the report of the SIT was the basis to initiate the action and accordingly proper questionnaire was prepared by the University to independently assess the allegations. The failure of the candidates to submit the reply was taken adverse. There was no reason for the candidates not to submit reply to the notice with relevant materials. The University proceeded in the matter pursuant to the direction of the Court in the case of ***Tilak Singh (supra)***. Thus there is no illegality in the order dated 07.02.2020.

The appellants have not submitted any material even while filing the appeal and direction of this Court other than seven candidates those who had even submitted reply to the show cause notice.

The learned counsel for the University has given details of all the students who could have appeared in B.Ed. Examination of 2005. It is by referring to the number of institutions recognized by the N.C.T. and the students to be admitted by each of the institutions. It is submitted that out of total 82 institutions having recognition from the N.C.T., each was entitle to admit 100 students, 50 percent through management quota and 50 percent through counselling or 15 percent through management quota and 85 percent through counselling. A controversy regarding quota through counselling came in reference to 25 self-financed/unaided colleges which was subject matter of litigation and pursuant to which, many self-financed/unaided colleges, were permitted to admit 135 students. The university however declared the final results keeping in mind the intake of each institution though 135 students appeared in many self-financed institutions. Thus, as per the direction of the Court in the case of *Sri Puram Prasad Gupta Memorial Degree College (supra)*, by the judgment dated 06.04.2007, result of all the students, who appeared in the examination was declared.

Taking aforesaid to be an input, it is submitted that maximum 9075 students could have appeared in the examination if it is figured out with 100 students for all the 82 colleges coming to 8200 with addition of 35 students for 25 self-financed/unaided institutions coming to 875 totalling to 9070. It is the maximum number of students though, admission was given to 8899 students.

8899 students appeared in the examination out of which 869 were those who were admitted in excess to the approved strength of 100 students pursuant to the direction of the Lucknow Bench. In fact, in all the self-financed/unaided institutions, total excess admission were 869

against 35 additional seats given to it. As against 8899 students appeared in the examination, the result of 8930 students was declared thereby it was in excess by 31 students. The marks foil recovered by the S.I.T. proves the fact aforesaid. The original marks foil was seen by the Court as well as counsel for the appellants during the course of argument. The tabulation chart/cross sheet of the University was however containing result of 12472 students.

In the light of the fact aforesaid, even the counsel for the appellants have admitted that result in the tabulation sheet is in excess to students appeared in the examination even pursuant to the direction of Bench at Lucknow. The question however raised by them is that the excess students may not be the appellants but others.

It is submitted that S.I.T. made report based on the record recovered by them from the University. The material recovered by the S.I.T. was input for the action and thereby University had issued show cause notice to verify the truth after giving an opportunity to the students. The students failed to send reply to the questionnaire with material. They were accordingly declared to be fake students as otherwise they would have given the reply to the questionnaire. It is more so when this Court in the case of *Tilak Singh (supra)* directed the University to proceed with the matter in regard to fake students and judgment in that case has already attained finality. Thus, the learned Single Judge has rightly drawn conclusion about the fake students and for that there is no basis to challenge the order dated 07.02.2020. The petitioners/appellants have not submitted any material or documents pursuant to questionnaire even while challenging the order of termination passed by the State Government or now the order dated 07.02.2020 other than by few. It is even while filing the appeal despite an opportunity for it. The documents have been filed only by few students who had otherwise submitted their reply pursuant to the show cause notice of the University. Thus, other than few students, who are maximum 18 in number, none has produced any material before

the Court to show truthfulness of their appearance in the examination. Accordingly, no basis remains to cause interference in the order dated 07.02.2020 and the order passed by learned Single Judge. The University has even passed an order dated 27.07.2020 to declare 812 students to be fake out of 814 students.

It is also stated that University has proceed to cause disciplinary inquiry against those involved in the racket.

So far as the allegation of tampering of the mark-sheets is concerned, the University would proceed to take action after applying Section 67 of the Act of 1973.

Learned counsel has even made a reference of the F.I.R. registered against those indulged in issuance of fake mark-sheets so as the tampered. The charge-sheet has also been filed pursuant to four F.I.Rs. It is also stated that a Committee under the Chairmanship of a retired Judge was constituted to fix the responsibilities of those officers involved in this case and accordingly respondents would proceed to take action against all those officers involved in this case.

Learned counsel for the University further submits that during the course of hearing of the case of ***Sunil Kumar (supra)***, it was noticed that three bags of fake mark-sheets were found at the residence of Principal of one affiliated college of the University. The fact aforesaid was flashed even in the newspaper, thus, the conduct of the then officer of the University and the students is writ large.

In view of the above, this Court may not cause interference in the judgment passed by the learned Single Judge.

Learned counsel appearing for the University has made further argument and it would be elaborately discussed during the course of consideration of the rival arguments to avoid repetition.

Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the State has also supported the judgment of learned Single Judge. It is submitted that in pursuance to the direction of this Court in the case of ***Sunil Kumar (supra)***, criminal racket involved in issuance of the fake and tampered mark-sheets came in the notice of the State Government. It may be when a writ petition was filed by Sunil Kumar showing two mark-sheets issued to him for B.Ed. Examination, 2005. The reference of the case to the S.I.T. was pursuant to the direction of the Court and accordingly State Government constituted 5 members to investigate the affairs of the University in reference to the B.Ed. Examination of 2005. The S.I.T. had given detailed report in reference to the material collected by it during the course of investigation. It could recover the material which includes marks foil to draw its report finding cases not only of tampering but issuance of the fake mark-sheets. It was also for issuance of mark-sheets to the students with same roll number.

On the receipt of the report, the Government issued direction not only to the University but even to the Basic Shiksha Adhikari for appropriate action. The show cause notice was issued and when reply to it was not found satisfactory, passed the order of termination finding case of obtaining service based on the forged or tampered mark-sheets. The writ petition was then filed by the appellants but therein also, they failed to supply material to prove their appearance in B.Ed. Examination of 2005. Seven appellants have produced the material pursuant to the direction of this Court to show their appearances in B.Ed. Examination 2005. It is while three other failed to produce document to prove their appearance in the Examination 2005. This itself is enough to show that even the petitioners/appellants failed to produce any material before the Court to prove their appearance in the Examination of 2005 so as to challenge the allegation of obtaining fake mark-sheets.

The racket was involved in this case has been admitted even by the appellants realising that as against the intake capacity of each college with

additional seats permitted by the High Court, the total students could not have been 12,472. The question however raised is as to whether the excess students having forged mark-sheets are the petitioners/appellants or others. The argument aforesaid has been raised without realising that to prove genuineness of B.Ed. degree, appellants failed to produce material in reference to the show cause notice before the order of termination and even along with the writ petition. Thus, truthfulness of the allegation against the petitioners/appellants gets satisfied on the face of record. In view of the above, the challenge to the judgment may not be accepted.

Learned Additional Advocate General has further submitted that for passing the order of termination, Regulations of 1999 were not required to be applied. The appointment taken based on the forged or tampered mark-sheets were void. The compliance of Regulation of 1999 is not envisaged in such cases rather for that the Government was not even required to issue a show cause notice. However, to provide an opportunity to submit the necessary documents to prove appearance in the B.Ed. Examination, 2005, a show cause notice was given. The order of termination was passed thereupon when appellant failed to give satisfactory reply supported with material. It is thus incorrect to state that the order of termination is simply based on the S.I.T. report.

It is also submitted that merely for the reason that petitioners/appellants are in service for the last 10 to 15 years would not vitiate the action taken by the State as the foundation of appointment is based on forgery. Such appointments remain void *ab-initio* and thereby the learned Single Judge has rightly refused to cause interference in the order of termination. The learned Additional Advocate General cited the judgments to support the argument which would be referred at the time of discussion of rival submissions.

Learned Additional Advocate General has further given reply to all the arguments raised by the learned counsel for appellants for challenge to

the judgment of learned Single Judge which could also be referred at the time of dealing with the arguments to avoid bulkiness of the judgment.

DISCUSSION OF THE ISSUES BY THE COURT

We have considered the rival submissions of the parties and scanned the matter carefully.

It is a case where District Basic Education Officer passed an order of termination/cancellation of the appointments given to the appellants on the post of Assistant Teacher on the ground that appointments were sought based on fake or tampered mark-sheets of B.Ed. Examination, 2005. The termination/cancellation of the appointments were after giving opportunity of hearing by serving a show cause notice. The order of termination/cancellation of appointments of the appellants was challenged by maintaining a writ petition. The learned Single Judge found appointment based on fake mark-sheets of B.Ed. Examination, 2005 so as the tampered. The fact about issuance of fake or tampered mark-sheets came to the notice of the respondents when S.I.T. made investigation pursuant to the case registered on the direction of this Court in the writ petition filed by one ***Sunil Kumar (supra)***. The brief detail of the litigation thereupon has been given but needs to be reiterated for ready reference.

The brief facts pertaining to the case show that on a writ petition filed by one ***Sunil Kumar (supra)***, this Court passed orders from time to time to direct the University not only to file affidavit but constitution of the investigation team by the State Government in regard to B.Ed. Examination 2005 conducted by the University. It is on finding manipulation in the B.Ed. Examination, 2005. Pursuant to the direction of the Court, S.I.T. conducted investigation followed by a report. The F.I.R. was registered for offence under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471, 204, 201 read with 120 I.P.C. and 13 (1) (d), 13 (2) and 13 (3) of Prevention of

Corruption Act. The charge sheet in all the cases has been filed other than one.

The judgment of learned Single Judge has been challenged by the appellants on the ground that non interference in the order of termination from the service is mainly in reference to the order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University declaring 2823 degrees to be fake. It is without realising that the order dated 07.02.2020 was passed during the pendency of the writ petition. The appellants had no opportunity to challenge it. The judgment of learned Single Judge in reference to dismissal of the writ petition of 2823 candidates has been questioned on the aforesaid ground apart from many other grounds. We would be dealing with each of the arguments raised by the counsel for parties.

The first argument raised by counsel for the appellant is in reference to the Regulation of 1999. It is stated that the order of termination has been passed without causing inquiry by applying the procedure given under the Rules of 1999. It is despite the fact that all the appellants were appointed under the Rules of 1973 and were permanent employees. Few of them were given promotion.

The judgments to support the argument have also been referred. The argument in reference to Rules of 1999 has been raised without realising the foundation for passing the order of termination. The termination order is in reference to the appointments based on the forged and tampered mark-sheets. If appointment is sought based on the forged or tampered documents, then remains void and in those circumstances, the procedure given under the U.P. Government Servant (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1999 is not required to be applied.

The main thrust of argument of the counsel for the appellants is without taking note of the aforesaid aspect. They have relied on the judgment of Apex Court in the case of ***Mahipal Singh Tomar Vs. State of U.P., 2013 (16) SCC 771***. A perusal of the judgment shows altogether on

different facts. Para 13 and 14 of the said judgment has been relied by the learned counsel for the appellants without taking note of the facts of that case. In the instant case, the appellants were served with the show cause notice and it is after considering their reply, if submitted, the order of termination was passed. It is in reference to the forged or tampered B.Ed. degree.

The other judgment referred by the learned counsel for the appellants is in the case of *Inderpreet Singh Kahlon Vs. State of Punjab and others, 2006 (11) SCC 356*. In the said case, the entire selection process was cancelled said to be vitiated by corruption. The Court found that the evidence need to be adduced before the Court to prove that selection was tainted due to mass cheating. The case in hand is having distinguishable facts inasmuch as before passing the order, the respondents issued show cause notice on the petitioners/appellants and thereupon the order was passed. Sufficient material has been produced before the Court to show that appointment was secured based on forged and tampered mark-sheets, thus it was void. The judgment aforesaid provide no assistance to the appellants on the facts of this case.

In fact, procedure given under the Rules of 1999 is to be applied if the appointment is not based on forged or tampered documents.

As against the judgment cited by the learned counsel for the appellants, learned counsel for the State has cited judgments directly applicable to the facts of the case. A reference of the judgment in the case of *Union of India and another Vs. Raghuwar Pal Singh, (2018) 15 SCC 463* for it would be relevant. In the said case, the order of termination was passed without an opportunity of hearing. The Apex Court refused to cause interference in the order and thereby the judgment of the High Court was set aside by restoring the judgment of Central Administrative Tribunal holding termination to be legal. Para 19 and 20 of the said judgment are quoted herein for ready reference:-

“19. We shall now consider the efficacy of the reason so recorded in the office order. The recruitment procedure in relation to the post of Veterinary Compounder is governed by the statutory rules titled, Central Cattle Breeding Farms (Class III and Class IV posts) Recruitment Rules, 1969, as amended from time to time and including the executive instructions issued in that behalf. As per the stated dispensation for such recruitment, the appointment letter could be issued only by an authorised officer and after grant of approval by the competent authority. Nowhere in the Original Application filed by the respondent, it has been asserted that such prior approval is not the quintessence for issuing a letter of appointment.

20. For taking this contention forward, we may assume, for the time being, that the then Director Incharge H.S. Rathore, Agriculture Officer had the authority to issue a letter of appointment. Nevertheless, he could do so only upon obtaining prior written approval of the competent authority. No case has been made out in the Original Application that due approval was granted by the competent authority before issue of the letter of appointment to the respondent. Thus, it is indisputable that no prior approval of the competent authority was given for the appointment of the respondent. In such a case, the next logical issue that arises for consideration is: whether the appointment letter issued to the respondent, would be a case of nullity or a mere irregularity? If it is a case of nullity, affording opportunity to the incumbent would be a mere formality and non grant of opportunity may not vitiate the final decision of termination of his services. The Tribunal has rightly held that in absence of prior approval of the competent authority, the Director Incharge could not have hastened issuance of the appointment letter. The act of commission and omission of

the then Director Incharge would, therefore, suffer from the vice of lack of authority and nullity in law.”

Same view was taken by the Apex Court in the case of ***State of Bihar and others Vs. Kirti Narayan Prasad, (2019) 13 SCC 250***. In the aforesaid case, the regularisation sought by the petitioner was not permitted as claim was based on forged appointment letters. The termination order was thus not interfered. Para 16 of the said judgment is quoted herein-:

“16. In the instant cases the writ petitioners have filed the petitions before the High Court with a specific prayer to regularize their service and to set aside the order of termination of their services. They have also challenged the report submitted by the State Committee. The real controversy is whether the writ petitioners were legally and validly appointed. The finding of the State Committee is that many writ petitioners had secured appointment by producing fake or forged appointment letter or had been inducted in Government service surreptitiously by concerned Civil Surgeon-cum-Chief Medical Officer by issuing a posting order. The writ petitioners are the beneficiaries of illegal orders made by the Civil Surgeon-cum-Chief Medical Officer. They were given notice to establish the genuineness of their appointment and to show cause. None of them could establish the genuineness or legality of their appointment before the State Committee. The State Committee on appreciation of the materials on record has opined that their appointment was illegal and void ab initio. We do not find any ground to disagree with the finding of the State Committee. In the circumstances, the question of regularisation of their services by invoking para 53 of the judgment in Umadevi (supra) does not arise. Since the appointment of the petitioners is ab initio void, they cannot be said to be the civil servants of the State. Therefore, holding disciplinary proceedings envisaged by

Article 311 of the Constitution or under any other disciplinary rules shall not arise.”

The same view was taken by the Apex Court in the case of ***Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority and another Vs. Karamjit Singh, AIR 2019 SC 1913; (2019) 16 SCC 782.***

The argument therein was in reference to the provisions of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The argument was not accepted by the Apex Court finding appointment to be illegal. The regularization sought by misrepresentation of fact. The judgment relied by the High Court in the case of ***Managing Director, ECIL Hyderabad, AIR 1944 SC 1074*** was not approved. Para 6, 6.1, 6.2 and 7 are quoted herein-:

“6. In the present case, the Single Judge had held that “rightly or wrongly”, the Respondent had obtained regularization, and was therefore entitled to a disciplinary enquiry. The Division Bench affirmed the Judgment of the Single Judge.

6.1. The High Court however failed to appreciate that the decision in Managing Director, ECIL, Hyderabad (supra) is applicable to “employees” of Government Departments. Since the very appointment of the Respondent on regular basis was illegal, he could not be treated as an “employee” of the Appellant – Authority.

In Rupa Rani Rakshit & Ors. v. Jharkhand Gramin Bank & Ors., this Court held that service rendered in pursuance of an illegal appointment or promotion cannot be equated to service rendered in pursuance of a valid and lawful appointment or promotion.

6.2. The illegality of such an appointment goes to the root of the Respondent’s absorption as a regular employee. The Respondent could not be considered to be an “employee”, and would not be entitled to any benefits under the

Regulations applicable to employees of the Appellant – Authority.

Therefore, the High Court erroneously placed reliance on the decision in Managing Director, ECIL, Hyderabad (supra), which would not be applicable to the facts of the present case.

7. The question of holding disciplinary proceedings as envisaged under [Article 311](#) of the Constitution, or under any other disciplinary rules did not arise in the present case since the Respondent was admittedly not an “employee” of the Appellant – Authority, and did not hold a civil post under the State Government. He was merely a daily wager on the muster rolls of the Appellant – Authority.”

The judgment of the Apex Court in the case of ***Nidhi Kaim and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others, (2017) 4 SCC 1*** is also relevant. The medical examination was cancelled when it was found to be based on cheating, unfair means and leakage of question paper. It was held that “fraud unravels everything”.

The another judgment of the Apex Court relied by the learned counsel for respondents is in the case of ***Bank of India and another Vs. Avinash D. Mandivikar and others, (2005) 7 SCC 690***. The judgment of the Apex Court in the case of ***R. Vishwanatha Pillai Vs. State of Kerala and others, (2004) 2 SCC 105*** was cited in reference to Article 311 which was not held to be applicable in case appointment is procured based on false caste certificate. Such an appointment was not held to be an appointment in the eyes of law. The dismissal of person from service, thus, was not to attract Article 311 so as the All India Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1969.

The judgment aforesaid answers the question raised by the appellants in regard to the application of Rules of 1999 for passing the order of termination. The observance of the Rules of 1999 and Article 311

of the Constitution of India is not warranted in the cases where appointment was taken by fraudulent means. Para 15 of the said judgment is quoted herein for ready reference:-

“This apart, the appellant obtained the appointment in the service on the basis that he belonged to a Scheduled Caste community. When it was found by the Scrutiny Committee that he did not belong to the Scheduled Caste community, then the very basis of his appointment was taken away. His appointment was no appointment in the eye of law. He cannot claim a right to the post as he had usurped the post meant for a reserved candidate by playing a fraud and producing a false caste certificate. Unless the appellant can lay a claim to the post on the basis of his appointment he cannot claim the constitutional guarantee given under the [Article 311](#) of the Constitution. As he had obtained the appointment on the basis of a false caste certificate he cannot be considered to be a person who holds a post within the meaning of [Article 311](#) of the Constitution of India. Finding recorded by the Scrutiny Committee that the appellant got the appointment on the basis of false caste certificate has become final. The position, therefore, is that the appellant has usurped the post which should have gone to a member of the Scheduled Caste. In view of the finding recorded by the Scrutiny Committee and upheld upto this Court he has disqualified himself to hold the post. The appointment was void from its inception. It cannot be said that the said void appointment would enable the appellant to claim that he was holding a civil post within the meaning of [Article 311](#) of the Constitution of India. As appellant had obtained the appointment by playing a fraud he cannot be allowed to take advantage of his own fraud in entering the service and claim that he was holder of the post entitled to be dealt with in terms of [Article 311](#) of the Constitution of India or the Rules framed thereunder. Where

an appointment in a service has been acquired by practising fraud or deceit such an appointment is no appointment in law, in service and in such a situation [Article 311](#) of the Constitution is not attracted at all.”

The same view has been taken by the Patna High Court in the case of ***Rita Misra and others Vs. Director, Primary Education, Bihar, AIR 1988 (Patna) 26*** which is approved by the Apex Court in the case of ***R. Vishwanatha (supra)***.

In view of the judgments referred to above, we find no substance in the first argument raised by the learned counsel for appellants. The procedure given under the Rule of 1999 was not required to be applied for an order of termination in reference to fake or tampered mark-sheets and degrees.

The other issue raised by counsel for the appellants is about the delay in initiation of action. It is stated that appellants are serving with the respondents (Basic Education) for the last 10 to 15 years. Their services have been terminated ignoring the aforesaid.

The issue of delay in passing the order of termination is of no substance. It is not only for the reason that the fraudulent affairs of the University came into notice to the High Court when the writ petition was filed by ***Sunil Kumar (supra)*** in the year 2013.

The efface of the University in regard to B.Ed. Examination 2005 was investigated by the S.I.T. on the direction of the Court. It is on collection of material, the fact about issuance of fake mark-sheets apart from tampered came in notice of the State. The action was immediately initiated thereupon not only by the State but the University. The issue of delay has otherwise been considered by the Apex Court in the case of ***R. Vishwanatha Pillai Vs. State of Kerala and others, (2004) 2 SCC 105*** therein the action was taken after 27 years. The Apex Court refused to accept the plea in the light of the fact that the appointments secured based

on fraud is void. The writ petition therein was filed by the son to secure the pensionary benefits. The long service was not accepted as a ground to secure the pensionary benefits. Para 15 and 19 of the said judgment are quoted herein for ready reference-:

“15. This apart, the appellant obtained the appointment in the service on the basis that he belonged to a Scheduled Caste community. When it was found by the Scrutiny Committee that he did not belong to the Scheduled Caste community, then the very basis of his appointment was taken away. His appointment was no appointment in the eyes of law. He cannot claim a right to the post as he had usurped the post meant for a reserved candidate by playing a fraud and producing a false caste certificate. Unless the appellant can lay a claim to the post on the basis of his appointment he cannot claim the constitutional guarantee given under the [Article 311](#) of the Constitution. As he had obtained the appointment on the basis of a false caste certificate he cannot be considered to be a person who holds a post within the meaning of [Article 311](#) of the Constitution of India. Finding recorded by the Scrutiny Committee that the appellant got the appointment on the basis of false caste certificate has become final. The position, therefore, is that the appellant has usurped the post which should have gone to a member of the Scheduled Caste. In view of the finding recorded by the Scrutiny Committee and upheld upto this Court he has disqualified himself to hold the post. Appointment was void from its inception. It cannot be said that the said void appointment would enable the appellant to claim that he was holding a civil post within the meaning of [Article 311](#) of the Constitution of India. As appellant had obtained the appointment by playing a fraud he cannot be allowed to take advantage of his own fraud in entering the service and claim that he was holder of the post entitled to be dealt with in

terms of [Article 311](#) of the Constitution of India or the Rules framed thereunder. Where an appointment in a service has been acquired by practising fraud or deceit such an appointment is no appointment in law, in service and in such a situation [Article 311](#) of the Constitution is not attracted at all.

19. It was then contended by Shri Ranjit Kumar, learned senior counsel for the appellant that since the appellant has rendered about 27 years of service the order of dismissal be substituted by an order of compulsory retirement or removal from service to protect the pensionary benefits of the appellant. We do not find any substance in this submission, as well. The rights to salary, pension and other service benefits are entirely statutory in nature in public service. Appellant obtained the appointment against a post meant for a reserved candidate by producing a false caste certificate and by playing a fraud. His appointment to the post was void and non est in the eyes of law. The right to salary or pension after retirement flow from a valid and legal appointment. The consequential right of pension and monetary benefits can be given only if the appointment was valid and legal. Such benefits cannot be given in a case where the appointment was found to have been obtained fraudulently and rested on false caste certificate. A person who entered the service by producing a false caste certificate and obtained appointment for the post meant for Scheduled Caste thus depriving the genuine Scheduled Caste of appointment to that post does not deserve any sympathy or indulgence of this Court. A person who seeks equity must come with clean hands. He, who comes to the Court with false claims, cannot plead equity nor the Court would be justified to exercise equity jurisdiction in his favour. A person who seeks equity must act in a fair and equitable manner. Equity jurisdiction cannot be exercised in

the case of a person who got the appointment on the basis of false caste certificate by playing a fraud. No sympathy and equitable consideration can come to his rescue. We are of the view that equity or compassion cannot be allowed to bend the arms of law in a case where an individual acquired a status by practising fraud.”

The Apex Court refused to grant relief on compassion or equity even though the deceased had rendered 27 years of service. The prayer to substitute the order of dismissal by compulsory retirement or removal to protect pensionary benefits was not accepted therein.

In view of the above, we do not find that mere rendering the service for more than 10 years can be a ground to set aside the order of termination. If the prayer is accepted, then it would mean endorsement of fake or tampered mark-sheets.

The next question advanced by counsel for the appellants is in reference to an interim order passed by the Court in the case of ***Smt. Suryavati and 150 others (supra)*** on the show cause notice issued by the State Government. A perusal of the interim order goes against the appellants in view of the fact that learned Single Judge while protecting the salary of the appellants, allowed the respondents to proceed in the matter as per Rules and accordingly there was no restrain to proceed in the matter for passing the order of termination or cancellation of the order of appointment. If there would have been disobedience of the Court's order in the said writ petitions, the appellants could have moved for contempt. The fact is that no contempt petition was preferred. Thus, challenge to the order of termination in reference to the interim order passed by the Court in the case of ***Suryavati and 152 others Vs. State of U.P. and 24 others, Writ A No. 56739 of 2017*** remains of no consequence. For ready reference, the operative portion of the order dated 29.11.2017 in the case of ***Suryavati and 152 others (supra)*** is quoted herein:-

“Reliance has been placed on the decisions rendered by this Court in Writ Petition No. 399 (MB) of 2007 (Shri Puran Prasad Gupta Memorial Degree College Versus State of U.P. and others) decided on 6 April 2007 and in Service Single No. 3335 of 2001 (Akhtyar Ahmad Versus State of U.P. and others) decided on 7 August 2015.

Submission requires consideration.

Till the next date of listing, it will be open for the respondents to initiate proceedings against the petitioners for removal/termination in accordance with the Rules. Respondents, however, in the mean time are restrained from interfering in the functioning of the petitioners, they shall be entitled to their salary as and when due which shall abide by the outcome of the proceedings initiated against the petitioners.”

It is not correct to state that respondents passed order of termination in defiance of the order quoted above. There was no restrain in passing the order of termination.

It is also urged by the learned counsel for appellants that the report of S.I.T. was made basis for passing the order of termination whereas report is not final in nature rather would be subject matter of trial in criminal case. The fact aforesaid has been clarified by the learned Additional Advocate General for the State. The sequence of the events in reference to the earlier litigation has been given in the opening paras. It started with a writ petition filed by **Sunil Kumar (supra)**. The S.I.T. was constituted by the State Government pursuant to the direction of this Court. The S.I.T. collected material in the course of inquiry/investigation. A report was then submitted.

The basis for taking action is the material collected by the S.I.T. and not the report alone. It is thus incorrect to state that no material was available with the respondents to proceed in the matter. The fact about

manipulation came in the notice of the High Court on filing of a writ petition by ***Sunil Kumar (supra)***, when he was given two mark-sheets of B.Ed. Examination, 2005. A direction and on the production of affidavit by the Vice Chancellor, this Court observed about manufacturing of tabulation sheets, thus, directed the State Government to refer the matter to CBCID. The S.I.T. was constituted thereupon. During the course of investigation, the marks foil were recovered to assess how many marks were given by the examiner to each of the candidates apart from the fact as to how many candidates appeared in the B.Ed. Examination 2005. The details of it has been given by the learned Single Judge. The result of 12472 students was declared whereas admission and appearance of the students in the examination was less than to it. The figure of students appeared in the examination revealed from the record. It is not only in reference to the intake capacity of each institution approved by the N.C.T. but subsequent judgment of Bench at Lucknow in the case of ***Sri Puram Prasad Gupta Memorial Degree College (supra)***. Total 8899 students appeared in the examination out of which 869 were those who appeared pursuant to the direction of the Bench at Lucknow. The result of the examination thereupon was declared for 8930 students. The declaration of excess result of 31 students was again pursuant to the direction of the Bench at Lucknow. As against it, the mark-sheets were issued in favour of 12472 students.

The fact aforesaid could not be disputed even by the counsel for the appellants other than to emphasize that S.I.T. did not take care of the judgment of the Bench at Lucknow in the case of ***Sri Puram Prasad Gupta Memorial Degree College (supra)***. The argument aforesaid has been raised without realising that the S.I.T. had taken figure of additional 869 students in excess to the admission otherwise given by the colleges. It was pursuant to the interim order and judgment of Bench at Lucknow. It was admitted by the counsel for the appellants that fraudulent mark-sheets have been issued but how it can be said to be to the appellants and not to

the others. The argument aforesaid is relevant because nobody could dispute about declaration of result of the students in excess to admission as well as the appearance in the examination. It is therefore only the tabulation sheet/cross list produced by the University was not containing signatures of any authorized officer/person and additional pages were in different font. The question remains as to how the appellants alone can be said to have obtained fake mark-sheets out of those whose name appeared in tabulation sheet in excess to the students appeared in the examination. The determination of the aforesaid issue is not difficult in view of the fact that even while filing the writ petitions to question the order of termination, the appellants did not submit relevant documents to show their admission in any of the colleges with payment of fee apart from all other material to prove relevant facts as otherwise submitted by few appellants now namely:-

- (i) Surendra Kumar S/o Sri Mauji Lal
- (ii) Rajiv Singh Yadav S/o Sri Ram Ladait Yadav
- (iii) Sudeep Kumar S/o Sri Ajay Pal Singh
- (iv) Smt. Reeta Gautam D/o Sri Ram Gautam
- (v) Reeta Yadav D/o Sri Janki Lal Yadav
- (vi) Anuradha D/o Sri Rajendra Singh
- (vii) Rekha Lavania D/o Sri Vijendra Singh

The documents aforesaid were submitted when Court asked the appellants to prove their case but other than few, none else could submit the documents rather if the documents in reference to Sarvesh Kumar Chaturvedi and Rajesh Kumar Chaturvedi S/o Sri Shankar Lal Chaturvedi, submitted by the Senior Counsel Sri Ashok Khare are perused, they are not of the nature submitted by other appellants named above. No material was submitted by the petitioners along with the writ petition to prove their admission in the college and appearance in the

B.Ed. Examination, 2005. The documents submitted along with the writ petition were largely the mark-sheets and the degrees which have been considered to be fake. The majority of mark-sheets are not containing even the enrollment number. No reason has been given as to why other than 18 candidates, which includes candidates named above, did not file reply to the notice given by the University along with complete material if they were genuine candidates. The material was not submitted even in response to the notice given by the Basic Education Officer. It is otherwise a fact that name of the appellants did not find place in the marks foil.

The marks foil so recovered by the S.I.T. were called in the Court during the course of hearing even for perusal of counsel for the appellants. It was when they had shown their doubt about availability of the marks foil. The doubt was mainly raised by those counsel who have appeared for the students having the tampered mark-sheets. The original marks foil is material to show that appellants did not appear in the examination, thus are having fake mark-sheets. The marks foil was seen by the counsel for the appellants also. It is thus not correct to state that S.I.T. report alone was basis for passing termination order rather it is even the material collected during the course of investigation. There was no reason for the appellants not to produce relevant material while filing the writ petition to prove themselves to be genuine students.

Learned counsel for appellants further stated that the basis to dismiss the writ petitions by the learned Single Judge is the order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University to cancel the degrees.

It is without a liberty to challenge the order. It is a fact that during the pendency of the writ petition, the University cancelled the degrees issued in favour of 2823 students who failed to submit reply along with material to prove their appearance in the examination after admission in any of the colleges. The impugned judgment however shows detail

arguments of the appellants against the order dated 07.02.2020 and discussion of each issue thereupon. It is thus not correct to state that learned Single Judge did not allow challenge or arguments against the order dated 07.02.2020. Those candidates have challenged the order of termination without placing material to show their admission and appearance in the B.Ed. Examination 2005. The impugned judgment shows extensive arguments of the counsel for the appellants against the order dated 07.02.2020 without a formal challenge. The learned Single Judge has discussed all the arguments while passing the judgment.

The order dated 07.02.2020 is not based on the S.I.T. report alone. The University called for the information in its show cause notice. 2823 students failed to submit reply to notice and even failed to place any material along with the writ petitions to prove their admission in the college apart from appearance in the examination. The questionnaire given by the University through its notice is as under:-

1	छात्र/छात्रा का नाम	
2	छात्र/छात्रा का स्थाई/पत्रव्यवहार का पता, मो0 नंबर एवं आधार कार्ड नंबर:	
3	छात्र/छात्रा के पिता का नाम:	
4	प्रवेश परीक्षा का अनुक्रमांक:	
5	जिस महाविद्यालय में प्रवेश लिया उसका नाम	
6	प्रवेश काउन्सलिंग अथवा प्रबंधकीय कोटे में हुआ (स्पष्ट उल्लेख करें)	
7	काउन्सलिंग संख्या/प्रबंधकीय कोटे में प्रवेश सूची में स्थान (काउन्सलिंग पत्र संलग्न करें)	
8	महाविद्यालय में प्रवेश के समय प्रवेश शुल्क ड्राफ्ट/नकद जमा कराने का विवरण	ड्राफ्ट/रसीद संख्या/धनराशि..... दिनांक (प्रमाण सहित)
9	महाविद्यालय में स्कॉलरशिप प्राप्त की दशा में विवरण।	ड्राफ्ट/रसीद संख्या/धनराशि..... दिनांक (प्रमाण सहित)
10	नामांकन संख्या	
11	मुख्य परीक्षा बी0एड0 05 का अनुक्रमांक	
12	बी0एड0 वर्ष 2005 मुख्य परीक्षा के परीक्षा केन्द्र का नाम	
13	बी0एड0 वर्ष 2005 परीक्षा में बैठने का प्रवेश पत्र की छायाप्रति	
14	बी0एड0 वर्ष 2005 की परीक्षा में सम्मिलित होने के बाद अंकतालिका स्वयं प्रमाणित कर संलग्न करें।	
15	यदि अस्थाई प्रमाण पत्र विश्वविद्यालय द्वारा	

	निर्गत किया गया हो तो प्रमाण पत्रों की संख्या समस्त अस्थाई प्रमाणपत्रों की छाया प्रति संलग्न करें।	
16	मूल उपाधि का विवरण क्रमांक संख्या	
17	अन्य कोई विवरण/सूचना	

“नोट—: उपरोक्त से संबंधित सभी अभिलेखों की स्वप्रमाणित प्रतियां/प्रमाणक अनिवार्य रूप से संलग्न करें। संलग्नों की संख्या अंकों में (शब्दों में)..... संबंधित महाविद्यालय के प्राचार्य द्वारा अग्रसारण—: प्रमाणित किया जाता है कि श्री/श्रीमती/कुमारी..... पुत्र/पुत्री..... निवासी..... ने महाविद्यालय में वर्ष 2004–05 काउन्सलिंग मैनेजमेंट के अंतर्गत विधि सम्मत प्रवेशित छात्र/छात्रा थे/थी। श्री को जो अंकतालिका विश्वविद्यालय द्वारा जारी की गयी थी उसके अंक प्राप्त हुये थे तथा सैद्धान्तिक में श्रेणी तथा प्रायोगिक में श्रेणी था।

छात्र/छात्रा के हस्ताक्षर.....

प्राचार्य

दिनांक

हस्ताक्षर एवं मुहर”

No explanation has been given for non-submission of information sought by the University along with material, if candidates appeared in the B.Ed. Examination, 2005. The information sought in the questionnaire was not such which would not have been available if a candidate took admission in the college and appeared in the examination.

It is also urged that appellants had appeared in the selection test and stood in the merit, thus could not have been terminated. Mere appearance in the selection test for the post of Assistant Teacher and qualifying it would not make the candidates eligible for the post having fake or tampered mark-sheets. In absence of valid B.Ed. degrees, they were not eligible for appointment as B.Ed. course is an essential qualification for appointment on the post of Assistant Teacher.

It is at this stage we are again considering the order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University as few appellants have challenged the order aforesaid in these appeals. The order dated 07.02.2020 was passed by the University after issuance of show cause notice. It was not interfered by this Court in the case of *Tilak Singh (supra)*. The notice was published in the news papers where a questionnaire was given to each of the candidates to answer it and submit reply along with supporting material. 2823 candidates did not submit any reply to the notice and

accordingly they were declared to be holder of fake mark-sheets. The degrees as well as mark sheets were thus cancelled. The order dated 07.02.2020 has been questioned by the appellants without supplying any material even along with the appeal other than seven candidates named in the earlier paragraph. It is despite an opportunity by the University and even by the State.

It is more so when name of such students came during the course of investigation as no record for their appearance in examination was found. The marks foil recovered in the investigation were not containing their names. The cross list/tabulation sheet was observed to be manufactured documents by this Court in the case of *Sunil Kumar (supra)* as it was not containing signature of any authorized officer/person and it was having different font. In fact there were addition of pages in the tabulation sheet and thereby it was containing the result over and above those appeared in the examination. The University conducted the inquiry and it is on the recommendation of the Committee that the order dated 07.02.2020 was passed. Why the reply to questionnaire was not given by the appellants could not be explained if they are genuine students. It was not submitted even while filing the writ petition. It goes against the appellants whose degrees have been cancelled holding it to be fake.

In view of the above, we do not find any substance in the arguments for challenge to the order dated 07.02.2020. We are alive of the situation that few writ petitions have been separately instituted to question the order dated 07.02.2020 and are pending but it does not affect the right of others to challenge it in the appeal. The order dated 02.07.2020 came on record during the pendency of the writ petition and extensive arguments were made even before the learned Single Judge, who recorded finding on each argument. No error in the finding has been shown other than to state that appellants were not permitted to challenge the order dated 07.02.2020 though manifold arguments against the said order were made before the learned Single Judge. The argument of the appellants that learned Single

Judge did not give opportunity to question the order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University, thus cannot be accepted. The opportunity for it has otherwise been given by this Court in the appeal on the request of counsel for the appellants and otherwise arguments were even raised before the learned Single Judge also who has recorded its finding on each argument and has not been questioned. This virtually nullifies the argument of learned counsel for appellants to question the judgment in reference to the order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University.

The University has already taken decision in regard to other 814 students also which includes 18 those students who filed detailed reply and submitted material to support it. The copy of the order dated 29.07.2020 in reference to 814 students was placed on record by Sri Ashok Khare, Senior Advocate along with written arguments. The direction of the learned Single Judge in regard to 814 candidates has been thus complied. They did not submit material along with the writ petition or the appeal for challenge to the order of termination other than few. It was submitted only by seven candidates pursuant to the direction in these appeals to show their admission in the college and appearance in the examination. The documents should have been filed otherwise for scrutiny by the State. The order dated 29.07.2020 has been kept in abeyance by the University pursuant to the interim order in these appeals. The interim orders are vacated; accordingly order would be revived.

The other argument of learned counsel for appellants is that the S.I.T. report is not final because a challenge to it has been made in the case of ***Sunil Kumar*** converted into a P.I.L. The argument aforesaid is of no relevance in view of the fact that this Court has passed a detailed judgment holding action of the respondents to be independent to the report. The material collected during the course of investigation has been used. There is no contest and denial regarding issuance of fake mark-sheets though it has been qualified as to how fake candidates can be appellants alone and not others. The argument aforesaid has already been

dealt with by this Court. The S.I.T. report alone was not taken as a piece of evidence rather the material recovered by the S.I.T. is also basis for passing the order. Marks foil were otherwise perused by the counsel for the appellants on their request, as was called by this Court from the respondents. The name of fake candidates does not exist in the marks foil. In the majority of mark-sheets submitted by them does not even contain enrollment number. In view of the above, the appellants declared to be fake students should have produced material in their defence.

The impugned judgment of learned Single Judge is not otherwise based on the judgment of this Court in the case of *Tilak Singh (supra)*. It is however a fact that issue about the competence of the officer/council, apart from other issues, adjudicated therein and attained finality in absence of further challenge, thus relied upon by the learned Single Judge. The issues settled therein are not open for challenge in these cases. The learned Single Judge was aware of the fact that judgment aforesaid was against the show cause notice.

The argument has also been made by the counsel for the appellants that result of B.Ed. Examination 2005 was declared on two occasions, one prior to the judgment in the case of *Shri Puran Prasad Gupta Memorial Degree College (supra)* dated 06.04.2007 and another subsequent to it. The S.I.T. has not taken note of the aforesaid. The argument aforesaid has been raised without taking into consideration that as against 82 institutions, how many students could have been admitted pursuant to the intake capacity given by the N.C.T. to those institutions with actual figure of students. The material recovered by the S.I.T. has made the picture clear. The figure of total students was taken with addition to the students pursuant to the judgment of Bench at Lucknow in the case of *Sri Puram Prasad Gupta Memorial Degree College (supra)*.

Learned counsel for the appellants has failed to refer the interim order passed in the said case from time to time while challenging number

of the students taken by the S.I.T. and even while doing so, they could not come out with a figure as to how many students appeared pursuant to the judgment of Bench at Lucknow. In fact the effort of the appellants is only to confuse the Court. The learned Additional Advocate General appeared for the State clarified the picture after referring to the material collected by the S.I.T. as to how many students appeared in the examination.

An argument has been raised even in reference to the marks foil. It contains marks of only two papers, thus could not have been relied by the S.I.T. and this Court. The marks foil recovered during the course of investigation were called for perusal of the Court as well as counsel for the appellants. It is true that marks foil of only two papers have been recovered but therein also marks appeared in the mark-sheets were found different than what has been recorded in the marks foil, prepared based on the marks allotted by the examiner. They were sufficient to prove tampering of mark sheets and even the number of the students appeared in the examination.

At this stage, another argument was raised that the name of those appeared in the marks foil is to be treated as genuine candidates. The argument for it was raised by the counsel appearing for the candidates having tampered mark-sheets. The argument aforesaid is accepted for tampered mark-sheets. The name of 2823+814 students does not exist in the marks foil which was even examined by the Court on random basis in the presence of counsel for the appellants. Two candidates out of 814 students appeared as ex-students, thus, they are excluded from the list of fake students. They are Km. Anita Maurya D/o Bhola Singh and Vijay Singh S/o Hari Singh. The case of seven candidates named in earlier paras needs to be considered separately for which necessary direction would be passed.

The counsel were invited to refer the name of any of the candidates whose mark-sheets/degree was declared to be fake. The name given by

the counsel for the appellants were not found in the marks foil and it was perused not only for one institution but more than one on random basis. It is also that other than seven out of 814 students/candidates failed to produce any material to prove their appearance in the examination. In view of the above, their termination order are not interfered. The action of the State for 814 candidates is taken to be independent to the action of University. The learned Single Judge found that even mark-sheets produced by them do not contain enrollment number.

In view of the above, we do not find substance in any of the arguments raised on behalf of appellants/students whose mark-sheets/degrees were found to be fake and accordingly we do not find any reason to cause interference in the order of termination.

The issue now remains about the tampered mark-sheets. Learned counsel for appellants submits that University has yet to undertake exercise in reference to the tampered mark-sheets. Thus, the order of the learned Single Judge may be interfered. Whatever decision is taken by the University after applying the procedure given under Section 67 of the Act of 1973, the aforesaid would be acceptable to the appellants. Thus, their order of termination may be made subject to final outcome of the exercise yet to be taken by the University for tampered mark-sheets.

The counsel for University has raised no objection. The learned counsel for the State however made a contest. It is submitted that marks foil are sufficient to show tampering of mark-sheets.

It is however submitted that if any direction in reference to the request of the appellants is given, they should not be reinstated at this stage but their termination be made subject to final outcome of the exercise to be undertaken by the University.

In that case, issue regarding lessor marks in the tampered mark-sheets in few cases would also be considered by the University though so far as the State is concerned, they still support their the order of

termination/cancellation of appointments as it is based on the material collected by the S.I.T. The marks folio show different marks than appeared in the mark-sheets. The tabulation sheets was also showing marks at variance to marks folio.

In view of the above, the respondent-State prayed to maintain order for termination of those involved in the tampering of mark-sheets. According to them, the fact about tampering gets established as one Sunil Kumar was issued two different mark-sheets containing different marks. It happened due to tampering of marks. The said Sunil Kumar then preferred the writ petition to challenge the issuance of two mark-sheets showing different marks. It is thereupon only the manipulation and malpractices played by the University came in the notice of the High Court. It is however admitted that the direction of the learned Single Judge in regards to tampered mark-sheets has not been challenged by the State.

In view of the above and as we find no error in the judgment to direct the University to proceed in the matter as per Section 67 of the Act of 1977 and making termination order subject to the outcome of the aforesaid, we accept the prayer of the appellants and for that to modify the direction only in regards to payment of salary of the intervening period.

In view of the above, we would pass appropriate order in the concluding paragraph for the tampering of mark-sheets.

No arguments in reference to the candidates given mark-sheets on one roll number has been made rather the appeal was filed by only those candidates who have been terminated holding their mark-sheets to be fake or tampered. Accordingly, limited to that extent, the judgment is rendered.

In the light of the discussion made above, we *dispose of* all these appeals with following directions substituting the judgment of learned Single Judge:-

(1) No interference is made in the order of termination/cancellation of appointment of those who obtained service based on fake mark-sheets other than those whose writ petition was allowed by the learned Single Judge. The direction aforesaid would apply not only to 2823 candidates whose mark-sheets/degrees were cancelled by the University by order dated 07.02.2020 but remaining 814 candidates also other than those excluded by the learned Single Judge and one Km. Anita Maurya D/o Bhola Singh of T.R.K. College, Aligarh and Vijay Singh S/o Hari Singh of K.R.T.T. College, Mathura. This direction would further exclude seven other candidates, viz. (i) Surendra Kumar S/o Sri Mauji Lal; (ii) Rajiv Singh Yadav S/o Sri Ram Ladait Yadav; (iii) Sudeep Kumar S/o Sri Ajay Pal Singh; (iv) Smt. Reeta Gautam D/o Sri Ram Gautam; (v) Reeta Yadav D/o Sri Janki Lal Yadav; (vi) Anuradha D/o Sri Rajendra Singh; (vii) Rekha Lavania D/o Sri Vijendra Singh. The respondent-State and University are directed to reconsider the case of above named seven candidates in the light of the documents submitted by them pursuant to the liberty given by this Court in these appeals. It would be in coordination. Necessary exercise in regard to those candidates would be made within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order. If their admission in the college coupled with appearance in the examination is found proved, then the termination order would be recalled in reference to them. For a period of one month, those candidates would continue in service subject to outcome of the direction given above. List of 812 candidates out of 814 is enclosed as Schedule-I. Their order of termination of 812 candidates has been examined independently by the Court. It was not otherwise made dependent by the State to the order to be passed by the University for 814 candidates.

(2) So far as the challenge to the order dated 07.02.2020 passed by the University is concerned, no interference therein is made. Extensive arguments against the said order were made even before the learned Single Judge without a formal challenge to it but arguments so made were

then dealt with by the learned Single Judge. The finding recorded therein does not suffer from error and even no argument to challenge the finding has been made other than to state that no opportunity was given to challenge the order dated 07.02.2020 ignoring that extensive arguments were made by the learned counsel. The finding in regard to it has been recorded by this Court also.

(3) The judgment of learned Single Judge is interfered in regard to the direction to the concerned District Basic Education Officer to effect the recovery of benefits obtained pursuant to the interim order of the Court. The liberty given by the learned Single Judge to the District Basic Education Officer for recovery is set aside.

(4) So far as the termination orders in reference to tampered mark-sheets are concerned, as urged by the learned counsel for the appellants, the University is directed to complete the exercise, as directed by the learned Single Judge, after observance of the provisions of law referred in the judgment and otherwise directed by this Court in the case of ***Tilak Singh (supra)***. The order in reference to those candidates would be made within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The order of termination/cancellation of appointments would be governed by the outcome of the order passed by the University, as agreed by the learned counsel for the appellants. If the University hold mark-sheets to be genuine instead of tampered of any of the appellants, the order of termination/cancellation of appointments, those would stand set aside. However, if the University records a finding about any of the candidates holding tampered mark-sheets, then the order of termination/cancellation of appointments would have effect but it would be from the date of the order passed by the University and accordingly for a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this judgment by the University, the order of termination/cancellation of appointments of the candidates holding tampered mark-sheets is kept in abeyance. Those candidates would be allowed to work with payment of salary.

It is, however, made clear that in case any of the candidate fails to participate in the proceedings initiated by the University or delays it, this order would not be to their benefit and accordingly, the direction herein above would remain operative only for a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order by the University. On the expiry of the period given above, the order of termination/cancellation of appointments would become effective and thereby the University is directed to complete the exercise within the period given above. In case any candidate fails to cooperate with them, then a separate order for it can be passed but University would not, in any case, delay the process and for that no extension would be given by this Court rather default of the University to comply the direction aforesaid would have consequences of stoppage of salary of those who have to take action and to pass order in pursuance to the direction of this Court. The Vice Chancellor of the University would monitor compliance of this order and in case of delay, he would not be entitle to salary.

The University would be at liberty to make inspection of the marks folio lying with the Registrar, High Court or the S.I.T. for the purpose of verification, if so required and accordingly Registrar, High Court as well as S.I.T. is directed to cooperate with the University for it.

(5) The list of 2823 students has been enclosed along with the impugned judgment and list of remaining 812 candidates is enclosed as schedule I to this judgment.

All the appeals are *disposed of* with the aforesaid.

द्वारा:- माननीय सौरभ श्याम शमशेरी, न्यायमूर्ति

1. यह मेरा सौभाग्य है, की मुझे मेरे भ्राता न्यायमूर्ति श्री मुनीश्वर नाथ भंडारी द्वारा लिखा गया, एक अर्थपूर्ण व सारगर्भित निर्णय को पढ़ने का मौका मिला। मैं उनके द्वारा प्रश्नगत विषय पर दिये गये तथ्यात्तमक व विधिक विश्लेषण, निष्कर्ष व

निर्देशों से पूर्ण रूप से सहमत हूँ और केवल 'भारत वर्ष में गुरु की महत्ता' व 'छल का प्रभाव' पर पूरक निर्णय दे रहा हूँ:-

भारत वर्ष में गुरु की महत्ता

गुरुर्ब्रह्मा गुरुर्विष्णु गुरुर्देवो महेश्वरः।

गुरु साक्षात् परब्रह्मा तस्मै श्रीगुरवे नमः॥

(गुरु ही ब्रह्मा है, गुरु ही विष्णु है और गुरु ही भगवान शंकर है।

गुरु ही साक्षात् परब्रह्म है, ऐसे गुरु को मैं प्रणाम करता हूँ।)

2. भारतीय समाज में गुरु के उच्च स्थान की परिकल्पना उपरोक्त श्लोक से सर्वविदित होती है, जहाँ उसको भगवान की प्रतिमूर्ति माना जाता है। शिक्षक, ब्रह्मा के रूप में, ज्ञान और बुद्धिमत्ता का निर्माण करता है, विष्णु के रूप में, विद्वता का परिक्षण करता है तथा महेश्वर के रूप में अज्ञान का संहार करता है। कबीर दास जी गुरु को भगवान से भी ऊपर मानते हैं, जब वो कहते हैं :

“गुरु गोविन्द दोऊ खड़े, काके लागू पाय।

बलिहारी गुरु आपने, गोविन्द दियो बताय”।

3. शैक्षिक प्रक्रिया की सफलता, बहुत कुछ शिक्षक पर निर्भर करती है, क्योंकि यही वो शिक्षक हैं, जो छात्रों में उद्देश्य का प्रत्यारोपण और चरित्र का निर्माण करते हैं, इसलिए शिक्षकों की गुणवत्ता, योग्यता व चरित्र का शिक्षण प्रणाली की क्षमता के लिये सर्वाधिक महत्ता है, जिससे छात्र भविष्य में एक जिम्मेदार नागरिक बन सके।

4. शिक्षक का व्यवसाय एक पवित्र व्यवसाय है, यह केवल जीविका चलाने का साधन मात्र नहीं है। शिक्षक, राष्ट्र निर्माण में महत्वपूर्ण भूमिका निभाते हैं। यह शिक्षक ही है जो न केवल ज्ञान प्रदान करते हैं, वरन् भविष्य के नागरिकों को ऐसे साँचें में ढालते हैं, जिससे उनको दिल व दिमाग की विशेषताओं के अतिरिक्त, देश के प्रति कर्तव्य, नितिपरायणता और निष्ठा का भी बोध हो।

5. शिक्षक, माता पिता के बाद, 'गुरु देवो भव' की तरह सुशोभित होते हैं, क्योंकि वो बालक में सांस्कृतिक लोकाचार, बौद्धिक उत्कृष्टता व अनुशासित होने का भाव जागृति करने में मुख्य कारक होते हैं।

6. महर्षि अरविंद ने शिक्षकों के सम्बन्ध में कहा कि "शिक्षक राष्ट्र की संस्कृति के चतुर माली होते हैं। वे संस्कारों की जड़ों में खाद देते हैं और अपने श्रम से सींचकर उन्हें शक्ति में निर्मित करते हैं।" उनका मानना था कि किसी राष्ट्र के वास्तविक निर्माता उस देश के शिक्षक होते हैं। एक विकसित, समृद्ध एवम् हर्षित राष्ट्र व विश्व के निर्माण में शिक्षकों की भूमिका ही सबसे अधिक महत्वपूर्ण होती है। (देखें – अविनाश नागरा बनाम नवोदय विधालय समिति (१९९७) २ एस सी सी ५३४, सुष्मिता वासु व अन्य बनाम बैलीगुनजें शिक्षा समिति (२००६) ७ एस सी सी ६८०, महाराष्ट्र राज्य बनाम विकास साहेबराव राउनदले (१९९२) ४ एस सी सी ४३५)

‘छल का प्रभाव’

7. वर्तमान प्रकरण के तथ्य व परिस्थितियाँ, उपरोक्त वर्णित शिक्षक की समाज में महत्ता से पूर्ण रूप से विपरीत है। तथ्यों की पुनरावृत्ति न करते हुए, यह विदित है कि विश्वविद्यालय व कॉलेजों के अधिकारियों, कर्मचारियों व अन्य ने अपीलार्थियों को सदोष अभिलाभ पहुँचाने के उद्देश्य के लिए छल व कपट करके कुटरचित दस्तावेजों का निर्माण किया और इन्हें फ़र्जी शिक्षा स्नातक की अंकतालिका व डिग्री प्रदान करायी गयी, जिसके आधार पर इन्होंने सहायक शिक्षक के पद पर नियुक्ति प्राप्त कर ली। यह विधि की अतिसामान्य अभिधारणा है, कि कोई ऐसा कृत्य जिसका आधार छल या कपट या धोखाधड़ी हो तो वो प्रारंभ से ही शून्य माना जायेगा। अतः यह नियुक्ति आरम्भ से ही शून्य है।

8. अपीलार्थियों ने छल व कपट से शिक्षक बनकर न केवल छात्रों के भविष्य से खिलवाड़ किया है, वरन् शिक्षक के सम्मान को ठेस भी पहुँचाई है। प्रकरण के तथ्यात्मक व विधिक विश्लेषण अपीलार्थियों के प्रतिकूल है।

9. विधि की यह स्पष्ट व्यवस्था है कि 'धोखाधड़ी पवित्र कृत्य को भी निष्प्रभावी कर देती है' और 'धोखाधड़ी और न्याय कभी एक साथ नहीं रहते हैं।' दुवयपदेशन स्वयं में ही एक धोखा है।

10. जब कोई व्यक्ति कुटरचित / जाली दस्तावेजों के आधार पर नियुक्ति प्राप्त करता है, तो वो कृत्य नियोक्ता के प्रति दुवयपदेशन व छल माना जायेगा और

इसलिये, न तो उसके पक्ष में कोई न्यायसंगतता उत्पन्न होगी और न ही नियोक्ता को विबंधन होगा, अगर बिना किसी जाँच के वो उसको सेवा से हटा दें और न ही सेवा की अवधि की कोई प्रासंगिकता रहेगी । [देखें :- राम चन्द्र सिंह बनाम सावित्री देवी और अन्य, (२००३) ८ एस सी सी ३१९; जैनेन्द्र सिंह बनाम उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य: (२०१२) ८ एस सी सी ७४८; सुधाकर पाठक और अन्य बनाम उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य व एक अन्य, २०१९(१) ए.डी.जे. ५८९ (डी.बी.); बिहार राज्य व अन्य बनाम देवेन्द्र शर्मा 2019 एस सी सी ऑन लाइन एस सी 1360]

11. वर्तमान प्रकरण में जहां प्रारम्भ से ही छल, कपट व धोखाधड़ी के आधार पर पद प्राप्त किया हो, वो शिक्षक भविष्य में छात्रों को अच्छे संस्कार दे पायेंगे, इसकी संभावना नगण्य है। अपीलार्थियों ने यह जानकारी होते हुए भी की उनकी डिग्री या अंकतालिका वास्तविक नहीं है और वो छल व कपट के द्वारा प्राप्त किया गया एक कुटुरचित दस्तावेज है, उसको वास्तविक दस्तावेज के रूप में नियोक्ता को दे कर, पदनियोक्ति प्राप्त करी है, जैसा की पूर्व में उल्लेखित किया गया है, ऐसी नियुक्ति प्रारम्भ से ही शून्य मानी जायेगी। छल और धोखाधड़ी के आधार पर ली गयी नियुक्ति के कारण न केवल योग्य प्रत्यक्षी के अधिकार का हनन किया है वरन् आने वाली पीढ़ी के भविष्य पर भी कुठाराघात किया है।

12. इस न्यायालय के निर्देश पर गठित विशिष्ट अनुसंधान दल द्वारा दस्तावेजों व अन्य साक्ष्यों के आधार पर इस निष्कर्ष पर पहुँचना कि प्रकरण में बड़े स्तर पर धांधली हुई है तथा उन छात्रों को सूचीबद्ध करना जो फेक छात्र हैं, जिनकी अंकतालिका टैम्पर्ड या जिन्होंने प्रतिरूपण किया है, में कोई त्रुटि प्रतीत नहीं होती है, क्योंकि अपीलार्थी, विश्वविद्यालय द्वारा जारी करी गई प्रश्नावली के उत्तर के साथ, ऐसा कोई भी साक्ष्य न तो प्राधिकारी या न्यायालय के समक्ष प्रस्तुत कर पाये, जिससे उनकी डिग्री व अंकतालिका वास्तविक मानी जा सके। वर्तमान प्रकरण में नैसर्गिक न्याय के सिद्धान्तों का भी पूर्णतः परिपालन हुआ है, जबकि धोखाधड़ी के आधार पर नौकरी लेने वालों के प्रकरण में, इन सिद्धान्तों के परिपालन का अपवाद है। इस विशिष्ट अनुसंधान दल ने विश्वविद्यालय से वर्ष

2005 के शिक्षा स्नातक के संबंधित सभी उपलब्ध दस्तावेजों को एकत्र किया, उनका अध्ययन किया और सारणीबद्ध किया। सारणी के ध्यानपूर्वक परिशीलन से यह विदित होता है कि, यह सारणी विश्वविद्यालय द्वारा उपलब्ध दस्तावेजों के आधार पर, स्पष्ट रूप से शीर्षकों में विभक्त करके बनाई गयी है। ऐसा कोई कारण नहीं है, जिसके आधार पर विशेष अनुसंधान दल की रिपोर्ट पर संशय करा जा सके।

13. अतः अपीलार्थियों को कोई भी राहत नहीं दी जा सकती है। मैं भ्राता न्यायमूर्ति द्वारा प्रश्नगत विषय पर दिये गये विधिक विश्लेषण, निष्कर्ष व निर्देशों से पूर्ण रूप से सहमत हूँ।

Order Date:- 26.02.2021

Schedule-I

S. No.	ID	Roll No.	Name of Candidate	Father's Name	College Code	College Name	Recommendation
1.	F4	5027134	VANDANA	M. CHANDRA	27	AK COLLEGE, SIKOHABAD	Remain in the "Fake" Category
2.	F7	5027137	RAJESH KUMARI	BRIJ LAL	27	AK COLLEGE, SIKOHABAD	Remain in the "Fake" Category
3.	F10	5027140	MEENA KUMARI	KHOOB SINGH	27	AK COLLEGE, SIKOHABAD	Remain in the "Fake" Category
4.	F11	5027141	PRAMILA LODHI	RAI SINGH	27	AK COLLEGE, SIKOHABAD	Remain in the "Fake" Category
5.	F19	5027149	SURESH CHANDAR	KANHAIYA LAL	27	AK COLLEGE, SIKOHABAD	Remain in the "Fake" Category
6.	F23	5027153	DEVENDRA MANI	SELEM SINGH	27	AK COLLEGE, SIKOHABAD	Remain in the "Fake" Category
7.	F24	5027154	RAJESH YADAV	N.K. YADAV	27	AK COLLEGE, SIKOHABAD	Remain in the "Fake" Category
8.	F31	5027301	SANJAY PRATAP SINGH	LALLU SINGH	27	AK COLLEGE, SIKOHABAD	Remain in the "Fake" Category
9.	F35	5027305	MANOJ KUMAR	R. SINGH	27	AK COLLEGE, SIKOHABAD	Remain in the "Fake" Category
10.	F36	5027306	GURUPENDRA KASHYAP	RAM SANEHI LAL	27	AK COLLEGE, SIKOHABAD	Remain in the "Fake" Category
11.	F37	5027307	DIGAMBER SINGH	JAGDISH SINGH	27	AK COLLEGE, SIKOHABAD	Remain in the "Fake" Category
12.	F46	5280087	MANOJ KUMARI	GENDA LAL	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the "Fake" Category
13.	F47	5280088	BHUP SINGH	PATI RAM	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the "Fake" Category
14.	F48	5280089	VIJAY SHANKAR SUMAN	RAMDAS SUMAN	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the "Fake" Category
15.	F57	5280117	ANIL KUMAR	RAM KHILARI	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the "Fake" Category
16.	F58	5280118	VINITA PAL	HARI RAM PAL	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the "Fake" Category
17.	F62	5280122	DEENDAYAL	MUNNA SINGH	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the "Fake" Category
18.	F63	5280123	SANDIP YADAV	RANANJAY YADAV	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the "Fake" Category
19.	F65	5280125	RUCHI SINGH	RAJENDRA SINGH	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the "Fake" Category
20.	F66	5280126	MANGAL SINGH	SHIV DAYAL	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the "Fake" Category
21.	F67	5280127	AKHILESH KUMAR	SIDDH NATH	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the "Fake" Category
22.	F68	5280128	ALOOK RAJ VARSHNEY	A.K. VARSHNEY	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the "Fake" Category

23.	F69	5280129	ANEK SINGH	SARNAM SINGH	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
24.	F70	5280130	VIMAL PRATAP SINGH	K.S. YADAV	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
25.	F74	5280134	KAUSHAL KISHOR	R.C. RATHORE	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
26.	F78	5280138	PANKAJ MISHRA	C.P. MISHRA	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
27.	F80	5280140	RAM NIRANJAN	SUMIRAM	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
28.	F81	5280141	SANDIP KUMAR VARSHNEY	M.C. VARSHNEY	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
29.	F83	5280143	SHYAM VIHARI	HARI SHANKAR	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
30.	F84	5280144	SRI NIWAS SINGH	PURAN SINGH	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
31.	F85	5280145	SUJIT SINGH	R.V. SINGH EIKARWAR	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
32.	F86	5280146	VIJENDRA SINGH	SARNAM SINGH	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
33.	F88	5280148	MANISH KUMAR	MAHAVIR SINGH	280	APS COLLEGE, BAJNA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
34.	F92	5101087	SANDHYAYA YADAV	RAVINDRA YADAV	101	ACADEMY OF MGT. & EXCELLENCE, GAILANA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
35.	F93	5101088	VAVESH KUMAR	MALKHAN SINGH	101	ACADEMY OF MGT. & EXCELLENCE, GAILANA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
36.	F95	5101090	SATENDRA KUMAR	VIR PAL SINGH	101	ACADEMY OF MGT. & EXCELLENCE, GAILANA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
37.	F111	5101121	UPENDRA KUMAR	RAM SHANKAR	101	ACADEMY OF MGT. & EXCELLENCE, GAILANA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
38.	F112	5101122	NARENDRA KUMAR	AJAY PAL SINGH	101	ACADEMY OF MGT. & EXCELLENCE, GAILANA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
39.	F138	5101148	BRIJESH KUMAR	VIRENDRA SINGH YADAV	101	ACADEMY OF MGT. & EXCELLENCE, GAILANA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category

40.	F142	5101303	KUNJ VIHARI VERMA	OM PRAKASH	101	ACADEMY OF MGT. & EXCELLENCE, GAILANA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
41.	F143	5101304	BRAJESH KUMAR	NATHU RAM	101	ACADEMY OF MGT. & EXCELLENCE, GAILANA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
42.	F144	5101305	SAVITA	RAM KHILARI SINGH	101	ACADEMY OF MGT. & EXCELLENCE, GAILANA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
43.	F148	5101309	SANTOSH KUMARI	GIRIRAJ PRASAD	101	ACADEMY OF MGT. & EXCELLENCE, GAILANA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
44.	F150	5101311	RENU PAL	MAHESH BABU PAL	101	ACADEMY OF MGT. & EXCELLENCE, GAILANA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
45.	F158	5116132	SANTOSH KUMAR	RAJENDRA PRASAD	116	ACMY INST OF MGT. & TECH., SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
46.	F164	5116138	BABITA	CHANDRA PAL	116	ACMY INST OF MGT. & TECH., SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
47.	F168	5116142	RAM RATAN	PREM CHAND	116	ACMY INST OF MGT. & TECH., SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
48.	F205	5116305	RAJ KUMARI	RAM KISHAN	116	ACMY INST OF MGT. & TECH., SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
49.	F209	5116309	DEEPENDRA SINGH	SATINDRA SINGH	116	ACMY INST OF MGT. & TECH., SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
50.	F211	5116311	POONAM RATHORE	RAM BARAN SINGH	116	ACMY INST OF MGT. & TECH., SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
51.	F214	5116314	KAILASH BABU	BABU LAL	116	ACMY INST OF MGT. & TECH., SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
52.	F215	5116315	PAWAN KUMAR	KRIPAL SINGH	116	ACMY INST OF MGT. & TECH., SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
53.	F220	5243074	SURENDRA KUMAR	MAUJI LAL	243	ADARSH KRISHNA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, BHOOP NAGAR, MAINPURI	Remain in the “Fake” Category
54.	F221	5243075	SANDEEP	AJAY PAL	243	ADARSH	Remain in the

			KUMAR	SINGH		KRISHNA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, BHOOP NAGAR, MAINPURI	“Fake” Category
55.	F222	5243076	RAJ VIR SINGH YADAV	RAM YADAV	243	ADARSH KRISHNA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, BHOOP NAGAR, MAINPURI	Remain in the “Fake” Category
56.	F223	5243083	POONAM SHAKYA	R.P. SHAKYA	243	ADARSH KRISHNA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, BHOOP NAGAR, MAINPURI	Remain in the “Fake” Category
57.	F225	5243085	JAGVIR SINGH	SHIV SINGH	243	ADARSH KRISHNA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, BHOOP NAGAR, MAINPURI	Remain in the “Fake” Category
58.	F228	5243088	SATYA PRAKASH	RAMESHWAR DAYAL	243	ADARSH KRISHNA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, BHOOP NAGAR, MAINPURI	Remain in the “Fake” Category
59.	F229	5243089	RANVIR SINGH	ROSHAN SINGH	243	ADARSH KRISHNA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, BHOOP NAGAR, MAINPURI	Remain in the “Fake” Category
60.	F234	5243094	SUMAN	RAMESH CHANDRA	243	ADARSH KRISHNA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, BHOOP NAGAR, MAINPURI	Remain in the “Fake” Category
61.	F235	5243095	VINEET KUMAR BHARTI	MAHARAM SINGH	243	ADARSH KRISHNA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, BHOOP NAGAR, MAINPURI	Remain in the “Fake” Category
62.	F247	5232116	ARUN PRATAP	S. LAL	232	AGRA PUBLIC TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
63.	F248	5232117	NARENDRA PAL SINGH	CHATURI SINGH	232	AGRA PUBLIC TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
64.	F249	5232118	VINESH KUMAR	SHIV DAYAL	232	AGRA PUBLIC TEACHERS	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						TRAINING COLLEGE, ARTONI, AGRA	
65.	F253	5232122	KAVITA	NARENDRA KUMAR	232	AGRA PUBLIC TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
66.	F254	5232123	UJAGER SINGH	RAM SAHAYA	232	AGRA PUBLIC TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
67.	F255	5232124	DHARMENDRA KUMAR KANSHANA	CHHATRA BHAN SINGH	232	AGRA PUBLIC TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
68.	F260	5232129	RAJESH KUMAR	KHACHEROO LAL	232	AGRA PUBLIC TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
69.	F261	5232130	OM PRAKASH BAGHEL	NARAYAN SINGH BAGHEL	232	AGRA PUBLIC TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
70.	F264	5232133	KM. SARITA	VIDHYA RAM	232	AGRA PUBLIC TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
71.	F265	5232134	RENU	RADHEY LAL VARUN	232	AGRA PUBLIC TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
72.	F271	5232140	KAPTAN SINGH	DHARAM SINGH	232	AGRA PUBLIC TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
73.	F273	5232142	SANDEEP KUMAR	RADHEY LAL	232	AGRA PUBLIC TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
74.	F282	5279087	SACHIN GOYAL	MAHENDRA PAL GOYAL	279	ALIGARH TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
75.	F283	5279088	SWATI KASHYAP	RAM SANEHI LAL	279	ALIGARH TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
76.	F285	5279090	MANOJ KUMAR	LOKPAL SINGH	279	ALIGARH TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
77.	F294	5279118	SIMAN LATA	RAJENDRA PRASAD	279	ALIGARH TEACHERS TRAINING	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						COLLEGE, ALIGARH	
78.	F295	5279119	JITENDRA SINGH	BHARAT SINGH	279	ALIGARH TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
79.	F299	5279123	AVADESH VERMA	MUNSHI SINGH	279	ALIGARH TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
80.	F302	5279126	MANOJ BHUSHAN VARUN	ROSHAN LAL	279	ALIGARH TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
81.	F327	5172087	NARENDRA PRATAP	SHAB SINGH	172	AMARDEEP COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
82.	F328	5172088	DHARM PAL	BANI PAL	172	AMARDEEP COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
83.	F329	5172089	SUNIL KUMAR	ATAR SINGH	172	AMARDEEP COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
84.	F331	5172091	NARSH	DURGA PRASAD	172	AMARDEEP COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
85.	F333	5172093	ALOK YADAV	UMESH CHANDRA	172	AMARDEEP COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
86.	F340	5172308	BALWANT SINGH	CHARAN SINGH	172	AMARDEEP COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
87.	F344	5172312	NAVIN CHANDRA MAURYA	RAM ACHAL MAURYA	172	AMARDEEP COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
88.	F361	5281117	POOJA KANOJIA	M.C. KANOJIA	281	ANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
89.	F362	5281118	BHUVNESHWAR SINGH	CHIRANJIT SINGH	281	ANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
90.	F363	5281119	SURENDRA PRATAP SINGH	LATA SINGH	281	ANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
91.	F364	5281120	VIRESH KUMAR	POKH PAL	281	ANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
92.	F365	5281121	SURJEET KUMAR	MAHAVIR SINGH	281	ANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
93.	F366	5281122	ALKA SINGH	PREM PAL	281	ANAND	Remain in the

				SINGH		COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	“Fake” Category
94.	F368	5281124	YADVENDRA SINGH	NIHAL SINGH	281	ANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
95.	F369	5281125	RISHI KUMAR	BANVARI LAL	281	ANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
96.	F370	5281126	SATYA PRAKASH	FATEH SINGH	281	ANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
97.	F384	5281140	SHALINI	SHANTI SWAROOP GUPTA	281	ANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
98.	F397	5281303	RITU VARSHNEY	LAJPAT RAJ VARSHNEY	281	ANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
99.	F399	5281305	MITHILESH	DEVI SINGH	281	ANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
100.	F400	5281306	UMESH KUMAR	RAM BHAROSE	281	ANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
101.	F407	5281313	VISHNU KUMAR	CHARAN DAS	281	ANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, KEETHAM AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
102.	F418	5131090	RAM VIR SINGH	JAI BAHADUR SINGH	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
103.	F419	5131091	DHARMVIR SINGH	GANGA RAM SINGH	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
104.	F426	5131116	YATIN NARAYAN	A.N. SHARMA	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
105.	F429	5131119	HEMANT KUMAR	GUMANI RAM	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
106.	F439	5131129	SADHNA KUMARI	SUMANT SINGH	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
107.	F452	5131142	ANUJ KUMAR	SHIV CHARAN	131	ANJALI INST.	Remain in the

			GAUTAM	LAL GAUTAM		OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	“Fake” Category
108.	F454	5131144	SURENDRA PAL	SHRI RATI RAM	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
109.	F455	5131145	OM VIR SINGH	LAL BAHADUR SINGH	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
110.	F459	5131302	REKHA	MAHAVIR PRASAD	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
111.	F461	5131304	VIKASH YADAV	RAJ VIR SINGH	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
112.	F462	5131305	GYANENDRA KUMAR	BHOOP SINGH	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
113.	F463	5131306	LAXMI CHANDRA	BRIJ LAL	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
114.	F464	5131307	GUDDU KUMAR	BADRI PRASAD	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
115.	F466	5131309	GOVIND KUMAR	S. RAM	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
116.	F467	5131310	JITENDRA BAHADUR SINGH	J. SINGH	131	ANJALI INST. OF MGT. & SC., ETMADPUR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
117.	F473	5120131	HARISH KUMAR	PRAMOD KUMAR	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
118.	F482	5120140	BHAWAN SINGH	MANIK CHANDRA	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
119.	F495	5120226	SHASHI	MOHAR PAL SINGH	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
120.	F497	5120228	UDAY VIR SINGH	JAI SINGH	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
121.	F501	5120232	PREM LATA	H.S. SINGH	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
122.	F502	5120233	SHASHI KALA	HODIL SINGH	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
123.	F503	5120234	SUMIT VERMA	MADAN SINGH	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
124.	F504	5120235	ANJANA RAJAK	B.L. RAJAK	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category

125.	F505	5120236	RENU	JAI SINGH	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
126.	F507	5120238	ATUL SINGH BHADURIYA	LAKHAN SINGH	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
127.	F523	5120305	RAKESH KUMAR	OM PRAKASH	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
128.	F524	5120306	RATAN KUMAR	KAILASH CHANDRA MISHRA	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
129.	F525	5120307	GHANSHYAM SINGH	JAGDISH SINGH	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
130.	F528	5120310	ABHISHEK MATHUR	P.K. MATHUR	120	ARYAN INSTITUTE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
131.	F534	5005304	MANJU	RAM NATH	5	B.D. JAIN GIRLS COLLEGE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
132.	F536	5005306	MEENAKSHI	OMKAR SINGH	5	B.D. JAIN GIRLS COLLEGE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
133.	F558	5017276	MADHU RANI	RAMESH CHANDRA	17	B.S.A COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
134.	F559	5017277	SHOBHA MISHRA	K.C. MISHRA	17	B.S.A COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
135.	F563	5017304	SANJU YADAV	RAM VEER SINGH YADAV	17	B.S.A COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
136.	F566	5017307	RAJEEV KUMAR	RAM SWAROOP SINGH	17	B.S.A COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
137.	F581	5234095	DHARMENDRA SHARMA	RAJEEV SHARMA	234	BALMUKUND BAJARI COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
138.	F585	5234116	LATA THAKUR	MAHESH CHANDRA	234	BALMUKUND BAJARI COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
139.	F586	5234117	SURENDRA PRATAP SINGH	JAI SINGH	234	BALMUKUND BAJARI COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
140.	F591	5234122	SACHENDRA KUMAR	R.S. LAL	234	BALMUKUND BAJARI COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
141.	F592	5234123	JYOTI SHARMA	B.P. PATHAK	234	BALMUKUND BAJARI COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category

142.	F607	5234138	ASHISH KUMAR MISHRA	RAVINDRA NATH MISHRA	234	BALMUKUND BAJARI COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
143.	F615	5234146	CHANDRA PAL	KISHORI LAL	234	BALMUKUND BAJARI COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ARTONI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
144.	F621	5134089	MITHILESH	HARI SINGH	134	BON MAHARAJ, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
145.	F626	5134094	KARM VIR SINGH	SHIV RAM SINGH	134	BON MAHARAJ, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
146.	F629	5134301	DEEPAK SINGH	AMAR SINGH	134	BON MAHARAJ, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
147.	F630	5134302	SHASHI PAL SINGH	GANPATI SINGH	134	BON MAHARAJ, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
148.	F640	5246089	MANJU YADAV	R.S. YADAV	246	BRAJ RAJ SINGH COLLEGE, KUBERPUR, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
149.	F644	5246093	GOPAL DUTT PANDEY	GAURI SHANKER PANDEY	246	BRAJ RAJ SINGH COLLEGE, KUBERPUR, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
150.	F650	5246118	ANITA	HARI KISHAN	246	BRAJ RAJ SINGH COLLEGE, KUBERPUR, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
151.	F654	5246122	SHIV KUMARI	DAYA RAM	246	BRAJ RAJ SINGH COLLEGE, KUBERPUR, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
152.	F655	5246123	MANJU CHAUDHARY	BUDDSEN CHAUDHARY	246	BRAJ RAJ SINGH COLLEGE, KUBERPUR, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
153.	F672	5246146	DINESH CHAND	RAM NARESH SINGH	246	BRAJ RAJ SINGH COLLEGE, KUBERPUR, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
154.	F688	5136096	ASHOK KUMAR	BHIKA RAM	136	C-IMPACT, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
155.	F699	5136305	GEETA YADAV	JANGI LAL YADAV	136	C-IMPACT, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
156.	F702	5136308	SHEN LATA	GYAN SINGH	136	C-IMPACT, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
157.	F705	5136311	MAN MOHAN CHITRAVANSHI	V.K. CHITRAVANSHI	136	C-IMPACT, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
158.	F707	5136313	PRAMILA SINGH	S.B. SINGH	136	C-IMPACT, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
159.	F713	5136320	REKH PAL	NAWAB SINGH	136	C-IMPACT,	Remain in the

			SINGH			AGRA	“Fake” Category
160.	F717	5008083	RAMVIR SINGH	INDRA PAL SINGH	8	D.S. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
161.	F719	5008085	PREETI CHAURASIA	SURAJ NARAYAN CHAURASIA	8	D.S. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
162.	F723	5008089	OM NARAYAN SINGH	HUB LAL	8	D.S. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
163.	F725	8008091	UMA KANT YADAV	LAKHAN SINGH	8	D.S. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
164.	F729	5008095	POONAM	SALIG RAM	8	D.S. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
165.	F740	5008106	SUNITA	RAGHUNATH SINGH	8	D.S. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
166.	F741	5008107	RAMKESH YADAV	SADARAM SINGH	8	D.S. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
167.	F748	5008304	SANGITA VERMA	KISHOR KUMAR	8	D.S. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
168.	F756	5030302	PRITI VERMA	AMAR SINGH VERMA	30	DAU DAYAL MAHILA VIDHYALAYA, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
169.	F757	5030303	JUGNU VERMA	UDAL SINGH VERMA	30	DAU DAYAL MAHILA VIDHYALAYA, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
170.	F760	5030306	PREETI SHARMA	R.N. SHARMA	30	DAU DAYAL MAHILA VIDHYALAYA, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
171.	F768	5275092	VED PRAKASH JAIN	UDAI RAM JAIN	275	DEV EDUCATION COLLEGE, BARAHEN, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
172.	F770	5216086	NEERAJ BHADAURIA	K.K. SINGH	216	DR. ISLAM MAZID TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
173.	F777	5216093	KHUSHI RAMA	LALA RAM	216	DR. ISLAM MAZID TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
174.	F778	5216094	JEEVAN LAL SENGAR	KAMAN SINGH	216	DR. ISLAM MAZID TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
175.	F780	5216096	SANTOSH KUMAR SHARMA	H.P. SHARMA	216	DR. ISLAM MAZID TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
176.	F786	5216305	SATYAVIR SINGH	JAI PAL SINGH	216	DR. ISLAM MAZID TEACHERS TRAINING	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						COLLEGE, KASGANJ	
177.	F788	5216307	DHARMENDRA SINGH	ANEK SINGH	216	DR. ISLAM MAZID TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
178.	F789	5216308	MEENA KUMARI	JAI SINGH	216	DR. ISLAM MAZID TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
179.	F793	5126308 A	NARESH KUMAR	RAJENDRA PRASAD	216	DR. ISLAM MAZID TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
180.	F797	5245092	HRADESH KUMAR	RAM PRAKASH SINGH	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
181.	F798	5245093	SHWETA SINGH SIKARWAR	RAMESH KUMAR SINGH	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
182.	F799	5245094	SATYA BEER	RAM RATAN	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
183.	F802	5245116	SEEMA TOMAR	N.S. TOMAR	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
184.	F803	5245117	DHEERAJ RATHORE	RAMESHWAR RATHORE	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
185.	F804	5245118	PRITI RATHORE	RAMESHWAR RATHORE	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
186.	F808	5245122	JAY DAYAL SINGH	KANCHAN SINGH	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
187.	F810	5245124	NEERAJ KAUSHIK	G.C. KAUSHIK	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
188.	F817	5245131	SHAIL BALA	GAJENDRA SINGH	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
189.	F818	5245132	GAYATRI DEVI	S. CHANDRA	245	F.S. COLLEGE	Remain in the

			SAXENA			OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	“Fake” Category
190.	F824	5245138	KALPANA	RAMPHER SINGH	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
191.	F825	5245139	SEEMA	JAGDISH SINGH	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
192.	F826	5245140	BAVITA	SATYAVEER SINGH	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
193.	F830	5245144	RENU SHARMA	S.P. SINGH	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
194.	F832	5245146	SUNIL KUMAR	SUBAY SINGH	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
195.	F833	5245147	ROHIT MALIK	N. SINGH MALIK	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
196.	F834	5245148	MANOJ KUMAR	BIPIN KUMAR	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
197.	F840	5245154	HARI BHAN SINGH	KHEM SINGH	245	F.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
198.	F863	5123067	SHAILENDRA KUMAR	CHATTAR SINGH	123	FAIYAZ HUSSAIN, NIDHOLI KALAYAN, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
199.	F890	5123304	ROSHAN ARA	F.M. KHAN	123	FAIYAZ HUSSAIN, NIDHOLI KALAYAN, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
200.	F893	5075031	BHOOPENDRA SINGH	SHER SINGH	75	FAIZ-E-ALAM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
201.	F903	5075041	MEERA	CHOTTE LAL YADAV	75	FAIZ-E-ALAM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
202.	F959	5075131	SEEMU	R.P. TIMORI	75	FAIZ-E-ALAM MODERN	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	
203.	F961	5075133	SARVESH KUMAR	RUSTAM SINGH	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
204.	F962	5075134	HENA DEVI	RAJENDRA KUMAR	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
205.	F963	5075135	NAND LAL SHARMA	P.S. SHARMA	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
206.	F969	5075141	SUBODH KUMAR	SHRI KRISHAN LAL	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
207.	F970	5075142	SANJAY DIWAKER	RAJ BAHADUR	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
208.	F971	5075143	VIRENDRA SINGH	HARGYAN SINGH	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
209.	F972	5075144	MANOJ KUMARI	PREM SINGH	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
210.	F973	5075145	KRISHNA BIR SINGH	HARISHANDRA	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
211.	F974	5075146	INDRAPAL SINGH	SURESH KUMAR	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
212.	F975	5075147	GULVER SINGH	MAKHAN SINGH	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
213.	F977	5075149	VARSHA RANI	MUNSHI SINGH	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
214.	F984	5075306	RANJEET BHARTI	RAJ BAHADUR BHARTI	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
215.	F987	5075309	DEVENDRA KUMAR SINGH	BHAGWATI PRASAD	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE	Remain in the “Fake” category

						COLLEGE, MATHURA	
216.	F991	5075313	SOM DUTT	RAMJI LAL	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
217.	F993	5075315	ARJUN SINGH SAVIDIYA	BABOO RAM	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
218.	F994	5075316	SATISH KUMAR	MANIK SINGH	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
219.	F996	5075318	HEMLATA	MANIK CHAND	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
220.	F997	5075319	GYANENDRA SINGH	SHANTI SWAROP JADON	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
221.	F998	5075320	CHANDRISH RANA	GURUDAYAL SINGH	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
222.	F999	5075321	DINESH KUMAR	BABOO LAL	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
223.	F1000	5075322	REENA KUMARI	RAJ KUMAR	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
224.	F1002	5075324	KUSUM KASHYAP	PRATAP SINGH	75	FAIZ-E-AM MODERN DEGREE COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
225.	F1015	5195116	BABLI	RAM SEWAK	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
226.	F1017	5195118	AJAY KUMAR YADAV	GODHAN SINGH YADA	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
227.	F1018	5195119	SUNIL KUMAR	RAM SEWAK YADAV	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
228.	F1020	5195121	NAMRATA	KRISHNA VIR	195	GAGAN	Remain in the

			YADAV	SINGH		COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	“Fake” category
229.	F1021	5195122	BANDANA SHARMA	S.R.SHARMA	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
230.	F1022	5195123	UMESH KUAMR	HAKIM SINGH	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
231.	F1024	5195125	DHARMENDRA KUMAR	HARI OM VERMA	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
232.	F1025	5195126	PRIYNKA ARUN	A.K.MAHESHW ARI	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
233.	F1028	5195129	SALINI	R. SINGH	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
234.	F1032	5195133	RAM LAKHAN SINGH	ATAR SINGH	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
235.	F1034	5195135	JASWANT SINGH	S.P. SINGH	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
236.	F1035	5195136	SHRINESH KUMAR	INDRAJEET SINGH	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
237.	F1036	5195137	SANDEEP KUMAR	HOTI LAL	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
238.	F1037	5195138	BED PRAKASH	JAGDISH PRASAD	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
239.	F1039	5195140	ARCHANA	MEVA RAM	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF	Remain in the “Fake” category

						MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	
240.	F1040	5195141	ANJALI PARASHAR	K.P. PARASAR	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
241.	F1042	5195143	RAM PRAKASH SISHODIYA	RADHEY SHYAM	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
242.	F1043	5195144	YASHODA KUAMRI	HUKUM SINGH	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
243.	F1044	5195145	SURESH CHAND	MOTI LAL	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
244.	F1045	5195146	BHOOP SINGH	HAKIM SINGH	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
245.	F1047	5195148	MUKUL KUMAR	LAXMI SINGH	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
246.	F1048	5195149	VISHAL SINGH	AMAR SINGH	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
247.	F1049	5195150	OM PRAKASH SINGH	FAGUNI SINGH	195	GAGAN COLLEGE OF MANAGEMENT & TECHNOLOGY, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
248.	F1050	5014063	SADHANA	S.S.YADAV	14	GANJDUNDWARA COLLEGE, GANJDUNDWARA	Remain in the “Fake” category
249.	F1063	5014305	ZEENAT ARSHI	ABDUL MAZID	14	GANJDUNDWARA COLLEGE, GANJDUNDWARA	Remain in the “Fake” category
250.	F1065	5194087	MANOJ KUMAR	KUWAR PAL SINGH	194	GRAMODHAR MAHAVIDHYALA, NAGLA PARSI, DADU, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
251.	F1067	5194089	PRAVENDRA	SHISHUPAL	194	GRAMODHAR	Remain in the

			KUMAR	SINGH		MAHAVIDHYAL A, NAGLA PARSI, DADU, ALIGARH	“Fake” category
252.	F1069	5194091	DINESH CHANDRA	RAM RATAN	194	GRAMODHAR MAHAVIDHYAL A, NAGLA PARSI, DADU, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
253.	F1076	5086088	AKHILESH KUMAR SINGH	J.P. PATHAK	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
254.	F1077	5086089	SUNITA DEVI	NEM SINGH	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
255.	F1086	5086116	RANJANA SRIVASTAVA	R.S.SRIVASTAV A	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
256.	F1089	5086119	VINOD KUMAR	MUNNA LAL	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
257.	F1093	5086123	JAI PRAKASH	MAGH SINGH	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
258.	F1094	5086124	DHARMENDRA KUAMR VERMA	MADAN LAL VERMA	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
259.	F1095	5086125	NAM SINGH	LAL SINGH	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
260.	F1096	5086126	SHALINI KULSHRESHTH A	P.K. KULSHRESHTA	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
261.	F1097	5086127	GEETA	RAMVIR SINGH	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
262.	F1098	5086128	SHAILJA SINGH	R.P.SINGH	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
263.	F1099	5086129	SANGITA YADAV	D.S.YADAV	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
264.	F1100	5086130	DHARMENDRA CHAUDHARY	HARDEV SINGH CHAUDHARY	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
265.	F1104	5086134	AMIT YADAV	R.P.SINGH	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
266.	F1105	5086135	BHAWANA YADAV	R.S.YADAV	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
267.	F1107	5086137	SANGITA	MAHENDRA PAL SINGH	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
268.	F1108	5086138	SUNITA KUMARI	JAGDISH SINGH	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
269.	F1109	5086139	VIJENDRA SINGH	OM PRAKASH	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
270.	F1110	5086140	OM PRABHA	KAMAL SINGH	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL	Remain in the “Fake” category

						AYA, ALIGARH	
271.	F1111	5086141	ANIL KUMAR	RAM NIWAS	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
272.	F1112	5086142	BABITA PANDEY	J.P. PANDEY	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
273.	F1116	5086146	SHASHI WALA	DHANI RAM	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
274.	F1117	5086147	HEMENDRA SINGH	SOHORAN SINGH	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
275.	F1118	5086148	MAHESH KUMAR	SHIV VINOD KUMAR	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
276.	F1120	5086150	SUSHMA	RAJENDRA KUMAR	86	GYAN MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
277.	F1121	5155089	PANKAL KUMAR	RAVINDRA KUMAR	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
278.	F1130	5155118	BHARTI VERMA	A.K.VERMA	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
279.	F1131	5155119	RAJINI	HUBBLAL	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
280.	F1132	5155120	ANUJ KUMAR	SHIV CHARAN SINGH	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
281.	F1137	5155125	MAMTA KUMARI	KHEM KARAN SINGH	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
282.	F1138	5155126	ROHINI SINGH	VIJAY PAL SINGH	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
283.	F1142	5155130	PRAMOD KUMAR	SOBRAN SINGH	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
284.	F1144	5155132	PRAMILA FAUJDAR	G.S.FAUJDAR	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
285.	F1149	5155137	YAVNESH KUMAR SINGH	A.K.SINGH	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
286.	F1155	5155143	PUSHPENDRA KUMAR	SHIV NANDAN YADAV	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
287.	F1163	5155302	PRAMOD KUMAR	RAM CHAND	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
288.	F1164	5155303	GEETA	ML	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
289.	F1165	5155304	PREM SINGH	ML	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
290.	F1166	5155305	MEENAKSHI SINGH	RISHIPAL SINGH	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
291.	F1167	5155306	CHANDRA PAL	KHYALI RAM	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
292.	F1168	5155307	SUVARNA SINGH BAGHEL	C.B.SINGH BAGHEL	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
293.	F1169	5155308	APARNA SINGH	C.B. SINGH	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
294.	F1170	5155309	KAVITA KHAIR	AMAR SINGH	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
295.	F1173	5155312	LOKESH BABU	MAKHAN SINGH	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
296.	F1176	5155315	VIJENDRA KUMAR	BHEO DEV SINGH	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category

297.	F1181	5155320	NAVEEN KUMAR	RAJ KUMAR	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
298.	F1183	5155322	ANITA	MAHARAJ SINGH	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
299.	F1184	5155323	UPENDRA KUMAR	SHIVDAS SAGAR	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
300.	F1185	5155324	JYOTI PATHAK	ASHOK KUMAR PATHAK	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
301.	F1186	5155325	RITU VERMA	RADHEY SHYAM	155	IIMT, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” category
302.	F1187	5204086	KM. PANKAJ	INDER PAL SINGH	204	J.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” category
303.	F1191	5204090	VINEET KUMAR	RAM KRISHNA	204	J.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” category
304.	F1193	5204092	INDU PRABHA	YOGENDRA KUMAR	204	J.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” category
305.	F1194	5204093	YATENDRA KUMAR YADAV	JANAK SINGH YADAV	204	J.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” category
306.	F1195	5204094	RANU PONWAR	SHYAMVIR SINGH	204	J.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” category
307.	F1199	5204303	RANVEER SINGH	DAYA RAM	204	J.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
308.	F1200	5204304	SUBODH KUMAR	B.R. CHANDRODAY	204	J.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
309.	F1201	5204305	SUMAN KUMAR CHAUHAN	RAJVIR SINGH	204	J.S. COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, SIKOHABAD, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
310.	F1258	5265049	TIWARI SHAILESH	RAM SAHAY TIWARI	256	JAY MURTI COLLEGE, NAGLA, BALL, SIRSAGANJ, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
311.	F1268	5265059	RAJESH KUMAR	HAR DAYAL SINGH	265	JAY MURTI COLLEGE, NAGLA, BALL, SIRSAGANJ, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
312.	F1274	5265065	SATYAVIR SINGH	RANJEET SINGH	265	JAY MURTI COLLEGE, NAGLA, BALL, SIRSAGANJ,	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						FIROZABAD	
313.	F1278	5265069	UMESH BABU	BHIKAM SINGH	265	JAY MURTI COLLEGE, NAGLA, BALL, SIRSAGANJ, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
314.	F1290	5265081	YADUVIR SINGH	RAJVIR SINGH	265	JAY MURTI COLLEGE, NAGLA, BALL, SIRSAGANJ, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
315.	F1297	5265088	CHANDRA VIR SINGH CHHONKAR	GANPATI RAM	265	JAY MURTI COLLEGE, NAGLA, BALL, SIRSAGANJ, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
316.	F1355	5265149	SUBASH BABOO	GIRESH BABOO	265	JAY MURTI COLLEGE, NAGLA, BALL, SIRSAGANJ, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
317.	F1358	5019304	NEEL MANI SHARMA	D.S. PANDEY	19	K.R. GIRLS COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
318.	F1374	5129094	TARUN PRATAP SINGH CHAUHAN	RAM PRAKASH SINGH CHAUHAN	129	K.R. TEACHER TRAINING COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
319.	F1407	5129127	NARENDRA KUMAR	SUMAN SHYAM SINGH	129	K.R. TEACHER TRAINING COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
320.	F1433	5129305	AJAY KUMAR	ASHOK KUMAR	129	K.R. TEACHER TRAINING COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
321.	F1436	5129308	RAKSHA RAM	RAM LAL	129	K.R. TEACHER TRAINING COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
322.	F1437	5129309	DINESH KUMAR	RATAN SINGH	129	K.R. TEACHER TRAINING COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
323.	F1438	5129310	SUMAN LATA KUSHWAHA	HANUMAN KUSHWAHA	129	K.R. TEACHER TRAINING COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
324.	F1439	5129311	INDRISH KHAN	AHLKAR KHAN	129	K.R. TEACHER TRAINING COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
325.	F1440	5129312	RAKESH KUMAR BHAGEL	DEVI SINGH BHAGEL	129	K.R. TEACHER TRAINING COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
326.	F1458	5223018	JAYPAL SINGH KUNTAL	BHOMSAIN	223	KEHRIMAL GAUTAM SMARAK MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
327.	F1499	5223059	ARVIND KUMAR RANA	HARI SINGH RANA	223	KEHRIMAL GAUTAM SMARAK MAHAVIDHYAL	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						AYA, ALIGARH	
328.	F1561	5223124	SATYA PAL SINGH	INDRAJEET SINGH	223	KEHRIMAL GAUTAM SMARAK MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
329.	F1588	5193085	ANKIT KUMAR	M.S. VERMA	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
330.	F1590	5193087	SHASHI	RAM SANABI	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
331.	F1595	5193092	SWETA DAUNERIA	DAYA SHANKER DAUNERIA	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
332.	F1603	5193117	SEEMA RAY	BRIJ KISHOR RAY	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
333.	F1605	5193119	SUNEETA SHARMA	G.S. SHARMA	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
334.	F1610	5193124	REKHA	DHARAM SINGH	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
335.	F1612	5193126	MITHLESH KUMARI RAJPUT	RAM DAS RAJPUT	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
336.	F1615	5193129	SARITA CHAURASIA	BHAGWAN DAS	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
337.	F1616	5193130	ARCHANA	SURESH CHANDRA	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
338.	F1621	5193135	NEELAM CHAUHAN	MAHIPAL SINGH	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
339.	F1622	5193136	PROEETI MISHRA	A.K. MISHRA	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
340.	G1623	5193137	SANGEETA DEVI	JAWAHER LAL SINGH	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
341.	F1632	5193146	SUNITA SHAKYA	MUNNI LAL	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
342.	F1633	5193147	MAMTA	GANGA SINGH	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
343.	F1635	5193149	PUSHPA SRIVASTAVA	R.K. SRIVASTAVA	193	KHER KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						AYA, KHER, ALIGARH	
344.	F1638	5024302	NEERAJ KUMARI	UMA SHANKAR SHANKALA	24	KR. R.C.M. COLLEGE, MAINPURI	Remain in the “Fake” Category
345.	F1645	5188088	PRATIBHA SARSWAT	R.B. SARSWAT	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
346.	F1656	5188117	HITESH KUMAR SINGH	CHHTRA PAL SINGH	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
347.	F1657	5188118	MANGAL SAIN	OMKAR SINGH	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
348.	F1659	5188120	RAJESH KUMAR	SHRIPAL SINGH	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
349.	F1660	5188121	VIJENDRA SINGH	NATRAPAL SINGH	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
350.	F1662	5188123	VIJAY SINGH	RAM KHILARI	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
351.	F1663	5188124	PUSHPENDRA SINGH	RAM DAS	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
352.	F1668	5188129	SOHAN RANA	U.S. RANA	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
353.	F1669	5188130	VIMAL SHARMA	R.P. SHARMA	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
354.	F1672	5188130	SHIVA KANT TIWARI	R.P. TIWARI	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
355.	F1674	5188135	SHASHI KALA	RAVI SHANKER	188	KRISHNA	Remain in the

				MISHRA		COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	“Fake” Category
356.	F1679	5188140	MEENA KUMARI	M.I. SAGAR	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
357.	F1691	5188303	MAHESH CHAND SHARMA	UMA SHANKAR SHARMA	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
358.	F1692	5188304	RAVINDRA KUMAR VERMA	SUGREEV SINGH	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
359.	F1694	5188306	SEEMA DEVI	BALBIR SINGH	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
360.	F1696	5188308	ANJU YADAV	KAYAM SINGH	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
361.	F1697	5188309	SEEMA YADAV	RAM KISHOR	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
362.	F1700	5188312	ROHITASHWA KUMAR	VISHAMBHAR	188	KRISHNA COLLEGE OF SPORTS AND EDUCATION, BAMROLI KATRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
363.	F1714	5147116	NARESH KUMAR	KALI CHARAN	147	KRISHNA COLLEGE, BAMROLI KATARA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
364.	F1715	5147117	CHETAN ARYA	BHARAT SINGH VERMA	147	KRISHNA COLLEGE, BAMROLI KATARA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
365.	F1717	5147119	RAGINI SINGH	NATHI LAL	147	KRISHNA COLLEGE, BAMROLI KATARA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
366.	F1720	5147122	SAUBHAGY KANWAR	C.P. SINGH	147	KRISHNA COLLEGE, BAMROLI KATARA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
367.	F1725	5147122	SAUBHAGY KANWAR	C.P. SINGH	147	KRISHNA COLLEGE, BAMROLI	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						KATARA, AGRA	
368.	F1726	5147127	NEELAM KUMARI	JADISH CHANDRA	147	KRISHNA COLLEGE, BAMROLI KATARA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
369.	F1729	5147131	VIPIN SINGH YADAV	DILIP SINGH YADAV	147	KRISHNA COLLEGE, BAMROLI KATARA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
370.	F1746	5147148	SUSHIL SARSWAT	S.N. SARASWAT	147	KRISHNA COLLEGE, BAMROLI KATARA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
371.	F1751	5147304	SARSWATI	DIWALI LAL VERMA	147	KRISHNA COLLEGE, BAMROLI KATARA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
372.	F1752	5147305	SUMITA GAUTAM	R.C. GAUTAM	147	KRISHNA COLLEGE, BAMROLI KATARA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
373.	F1763	5147316	SATISH CHANDRA	BALVIR SINGH	147	KRISHNA COLLEGE, BAMROLI KATARA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
374.	F1769	5189088	SHYAM JEEVAN	CHHOTE LAL	189	M.D. COLLEGE, LAWERS COLONY, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
375.	F1771	5189090	ANGURI DEVI	JAY PAL SINGH	189	M.D. COLLEGE, LAWERS COLONY, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
376.	F1779	5189098	MUKEHS KUMAR	JAI SRI GOVIND	189	M.D. COLLEGE, LAWERS COLONY, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
377.	F1784	5189304	SHASHI KUMARI	BAL KISHAN	189	M.D. COLLEGE, LAWERS COLONY, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
378.	F1808	5126096	KUSHAL PAL SINGH	RAM SINGH	126	MEGH SINGH DEGREE COLLEGE, AVIDGARH, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
379.	F1836	5126141	CHANDRA PAL SINGH	NARAYAN SINGH	126	MEGH SINGH DEGREE COLLEGE, AVIDGARH, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
380.	F1846	5126301	LAXMI DUBEY	K.N. DUBEY	126	MEGH SINGH DEGREE COLLEGE, AVIDGARH, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
381.	F1847	5126302	VIMAL KUMAR	SAVRAM	126	MEGH SINGH DEGREE COLLEGE, AVIDGARH, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
382.	F1848	5126303	GOVIND KUMAR SHARMA	RAM JI LAL	126	MEGH SINGH DEGREE COLLEGE, AVIDGARH, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
383.	F1851	5126306	KAMAL NAYAN SINGH	TORAM SINGH	126	MEGH SINGH DEGREE	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						COLLEGE, AVIDGARH, AGRA	
384.	F1865	5237086	NEELAM SHARMA	RAMESH CHANDRA SHARMA	237	MOTHER TERISA WOMEN COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
385.	F1875	5237118	RADHA SHARMA	OM PRAKASH SHARMA	237	MOTHER TERISA WOMEN COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
386.	F1877	5237120	REETA YADAV	RAGHUVIR SINGH YADAV	237	MOTHER TERISA WOMEN COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
387.	F1881	5237124	VANDANA SRIVASTAVA	R.S. SRIVASTAVA	237	MOTHER TERISA WOMEN COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
388.	F1882	5237125	REEKHA KUMARI	KHEM KARAN SINGH	237	MOTHER TERISA WOMEN COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
389.	F1885	5237128	MACHALESH	RAJA RAM	237	MOTHER TERISA WOMEN COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
390.	F1886	5237129	MOHAN DEVI	RAM SAROOP	237	MOTHER TERISA WOMEN COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
391.	F1887	5237130	REKHA KUMARI	MANIK CHAND	237	MOTHER TERISA WOMEN COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
392.	F1890	5237133	CHAMELI	DATA RAM	237	MOTHER TERISA WOMEN COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
393.	F1891	5237134	ANITA	HORI LAL	237	MOTHER TERISA WOMEN COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
394.	F1896	5237140	MEENA	BIHARI LAL	237	MOTHER TERISA WOMEN COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
395.	F1907	5011077	MAHESH	KHUBI RAM	11	P.C.BAGLA COLLEGE, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
396.	F1908	5011078	AJAY KUMAR SHARMA	C K SHARMA	11	P.C.BAGLA COLLEGE, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
397.	F1909	5011079	NEERU	VINOD KUMAR	11	P.C.BAGLA	Remain in the

						COLLEGE, HATHRAS	“Fake” Category
398.	F1912	5011082	SANDHYA SAXENA	RAM SANEHI SAXENA	11	P.C.BAGLA COLLEGE, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
399.	F1913	5011083	MANOJ KUMAR	NEK RAM SINGH	11	P.C.BAGLA COLLEGE, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
400.	F1916	5011102	RAJESH	RAM DAS	11	P.C.BAGLA COLLEGE, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
401.	F1917	5011103	SANDHYA	MALKHAN SINGH	11	P.C.BAGLA COLLEGE, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
402.	F1919	5011105	RENU SHARMA	G.D. SHARMA	11	P.C.BAGLA COLLEGE, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
403.	F1923	5011109	ATIVEER	KAPTAN SINGH	11	P.C.BAGLA COLLEGE, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
404.	F1931	5011303	RAJESH VERMA	NARAYAN SINGH	11	P.C.BAGLA COLLEGE, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
405.	F1968	5146085	DHARMENDRA SINGH	GYANENDRA SINGH	146	R.B. DEGREE COLLEGE, NARAICH, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
406.	F1989	5146306	PANKAJ KUMAR	SATYA PRAKASH	146	R.B. DEGREE COLLEGE, NARAICH, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
407.	F1990	5146307	BALVEER SINGH	SAHAB SINGH	146	R.B. DEGREE COLLEGE, NARAICH, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
408.	F1991	5146308	ANITA	SARVAN SINGH	146	R.B. DEGREE COLLEGE, NARAICH, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
409.	F1993	5146310	RAJVIR SINGH	SURAJ PAL	146	R.B. DEGREE COLLEGE, NARAICH, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
410.	F1994	5146311	REETA	LAJJA RAM	146	R.B. DEGREE COLLEGE, NARAICH, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
411.	F1997	5002301	PRABHAT ARYA	S.C. ARYA	2	R.B.S. COLLEGE, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
412.	F2034	5145132	PRASHANT YADAV	PARMANAND YADAV	145	R.K. COLLEGE OF SYSTEM & MANAGEMENT, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” category
413.	F2038	5145136	ASHU	BHART SINGH	145	R.K. COLLEGE OF SYSTEM & MANAGEMENT, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” category
414.	F2052	5145303	RENU KATIYAR	S.C. KATIYAR	145	R.K. COLLEGE OF SYSTEM & MANAGEMENT, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” category
415.	F2057	5145308	DINESH KUMAR	HUKUM SINGH	145	R.K. COLLEGE	Remain in the

						OF SYSTEM & MANAGEMENT, FIROZABAD	“Fake” category
416.	F2058	5145309	RISHI KUMAR	RAJVIR SINGH	145	R.K. COLLEGE OF SYSTEM & MANAGEMENT, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” category
417.	F2059	5145310	DHARMBIR SINGH	JAWAHAR SINGH	145	R.K. COLLEGE OF SYSTEM & MANAGEMENT, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” category
418.	F2063	5145314	PUSHPENDRA SINGH	NATHU SINGH	145	R.K. COLLEGE OF SYSTEM & MANAGEMENT, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” category
419.	F2066	5102251	ANAND KUMAR	VED RAM	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
420.	F2067	5102252	AKHAND PRATAP SINGH	NATHU SINGH RATHORE	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
421.	F2071	5102256	KARAN SINGH	BAL KUKAND	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
422.	F2073	5102258	LOKENDRA VERMA	K.C. VERMA	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
423.	F2074	5102259	SONU SHARMA	BHAGWATI PRASAD SHARMA	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
424.	F2078	5102263	HARENDRA PAL SINGH	LAXMAN SINGH	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
425.	F2081	5102266	MOHD. MUSTEEN	MOHD. IDRIS	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
426.	F2082	5102267	SHIVENDRA SINGH	TEJ SINGH	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
427.	F2084	5102269	RUPENDRA SINGH CHHONKAR	MAHAVIR SINGH CHONKAR	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
428.	F2086	5102271	SHIVENDRA SINGH	TEJ SINGH	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
429.	F2087	5102272	RUPENDRA SINGH CHHONKAR	KAPTAN SINGH	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
430.	F2090	5102275	LAKENDRA KUMAR	RAMESH CHANDRA	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
431.	F2094	5102279	SUBHASH	BHARAT SINGH	102	R.K. GUPTA	Remain in the

			CHAND			MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	“Fake” category
432.	F2095	5102280	ANJALI	VISHNU NARAYAN	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
433.	F2096	5102280	ANJALI	VISHNU NARAYAN	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
434.	F2097	5102282	MAMTA SINGH	PORAN SINGH	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
435.	F2100	5102285	DAKASH KUMARI BHARDWAJ	JAI PRAKASH BHARDWAJ	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
436.	F2101	5102286	UMA KUMARI	JAGDISH PRASAD SHARMA	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
437.	F2102	5102287	REKHA LAVANIA	VIJENDRA SINGH	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
438.	F2103	5102288	ALPNA SHARMA	REEMESH CHANDRA SHARMA	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
439.	F2107	5102292	MANOJ KUMAR SHARMA	CHANDRA PAL SHARMA	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
440.	F2109	5102294	SHIV KUMAR YADAV	VIJAY PAL YADAV	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
441.	F2111	5102296	DINESH KUMAR	DEVI CHARAN GUPTA	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
442.	F2115	5102300	SARITA RANI	VIJENDRA SINGH	102	R.K. GUPTA MEM. INST. OF TECH. & MGT. AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
443.	F2116	5207084	YOGESH KUMAR	DHARDHAR SHARMA	207	R.S.S. COLLEGE BALDEV, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
444.	F2119	5207087	MANORAMA YADAV	RAM PRAKASH YADAV	207	R.S.S. COLLEGE BALDEV, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
445.	F2121	5207089	RAVI CHAUHAN	KRISHNA AVATAR SINGH	207	R.S.S. COLLEGE BALDEV, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
446.	F2122	5207090	SUSHILA	AVAD NARAYAN	207	R.S.S. COLLEGE BALDEV, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
447.	F2124	5207092	DISHA VARSHNEY	DIPENDRA KUMARI	207	R.S.S. COLLEGE BALDEV, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
448.	F2129	5233087	MITHLESH	GYA PRASAD	223	RADHAKRISHA	Remain in the

						N KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRA, AGRA	“Fake” category
449.	F2135	5233118	SUMAN LATA	MEGH SINGH	233	RADHAKRISHA N KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
450.	F2137	5233120	REENA KUMARI	R.S.VARSHNEY	233	RADHAKRISHA N KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
451.	F2138	5233121	REENA INDAULIA	GORDHAN SINGH	233	RADHAKRISHA N KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
452.	F2139	5233122	SNEHLATA GAUTAM	R.A.GAUTAM	233	RADHAKRISHA N KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
453.	F2146	5233129	NEETU SINGH	GOPI CHAND	233	RADHAKRISHA N KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
454.	F2150	5233133	MANJU VIDYARTHI	R.C.VIDYARTHI	233	RADHAKRISHA N KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
455.	F2176	5222089	ANIL KUMAR	DWARIKA SINGH	222	RAGHUVEER SARAN DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
456.	F2179	5222092	SANJAY KUMAR	AJAB SINGH	222	RAGHUVEER SARAN DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
457.	F2190	5222122	0	0	222	RAGHUVEER SARAN DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
458.	F2199	5222131	ARVIND KUMAR	JAGDISH SINGH	222	RAGHUVEER SARAN DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
459.	F2200	5222132	RAKESH	BABU LAL	222	RAGHUVEER SARAN	Remain in the “Fake” category

						DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	
460.	F2202	5222134	MANOJ KUMAR	HAMRAJ SINGH	222	RAGHUVEER SARAN DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
461.	F2203	5222135	ANIL KUMAR	KESHAV DEV	222	RAGHUVEER SARAN DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
462.	F2204	5222136	TARUN KUMAR	SURESH CHAND	222	RAGHUVEER SARAN DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
463.	F2205	5222137	SUBHASH CHANDRA	KHACHER MAL	222	RAGHUVEER SARAN DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
464.	F2215	5222147	RAVI PRAKASH	MATADIN	222	RAGHUVEER SARAN DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
465.	F2221	5222223	KUMMED BABU	RAM SEWAK	222	RAGHUVEER SARAN DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
466.	F2232	5222234	ASLAM	MOHD RAFEEK	222	RAGHUVEER SARAN DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
467.	F2239	5222241	NIHAL SINGH	TETRA PAL SINGH	222	RAGHUVEER SARAN DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
468.	F2262	5277120	ANIL YADAV	TARA CHAND YADAV	277	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TEACHERS EDUCATION, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
469.	F2264	5277122	MONIKA RAJPUT	C.M.RAJPUR	277	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TEACHERS EDUCATION, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
470.	F2265	5277123	VIJAY KUMARI	MAHENDRA PAL SINGH	277	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TEACHERS EDUCATION, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category

471.	F2266	5277124	DHRAM VEER SHARMA	SITA RAM SHARMA	277	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TEACHERS EDUCATION, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
472.	F2267	5277125	VINOD KUMAR SINGH	VIRENDRA SINGH	277	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TEACHERS EDUCATION, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
473.	F2270	5277128	MOHAN LAL SHARMA	SEWA LAL SHARMA	277	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TEACHERS EDUCATION, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
474.	F2274	5277132	SUBHANA PARVEEN	ISLAM MUDDIN	277	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TEACHERS EDUCATION, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
475.	F2293	5277301	SANJAY KUMAR	PRATAP SINGH	277	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TEACHERS EDUCATION, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
476.	F2294	5277302	HAMBIR SINGH	P.S.SHIKAWAR	277	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TEACHERS EDUCATION, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
477.	F2296	5277304	NEEROO	AJAY VEER SINGH	277	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TEACHERS EDUCATION, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
478.	F2297	5277305	KAMAL SINGH	CHANDRA PAL SINGH	277	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TEACHERS EDUCATION, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
479.	F2305	5277313	KALPANA MAURYA	JAI PRAKASH MAURYA	277	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TEACHERS EDUCATION, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
480.	F2307	5104084	PREM SINGH	RAJENDRA SINGH	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
481.	F2309	5104086	KALPANA MISHRA	K.C.MISHRA	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
482.	F2323	5104121	REENA	MAHENDRA PAL SINGH	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
483.	F2324	5104122	ASHISH PAL GANGWAR	DRAGPAL SIINGH GANGWAR	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
484.	F2325	5104123	SAVITA PAL SINGH	MAHESH CHAND BAGHEL	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category

485.	F2327	5104125	JODHAN SINGH	HARDAN SINGH	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
486.	F2328	5104126	SUNIL KUMAR	P.D. YADAV	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
487.	F2330	5104128	AMIT SINGH	SANJAY SINGH	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
488.	F2331	5104129	HEMANT KUMAR SARASWAT	KESHAV DEV	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
489.	F2333	5104131	HARENDRA SINGH	LOKMAN SINGH	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
490.	F2336	5104134	RAGHUVENDRA PRATAP SINGH	R.B.SINGH	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
491.	F2337	5104135	VANDANA	SUDHIR KUMAR	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
492.	F2338	5104136	BHOOP SINGH	BEHERI LAL	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
493.	F2339	5104137	PREM CHANDRA	OM PRKASH	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
494.	F2343	5104141	VIRENDRA PRATAP MISHRA	MAHENDRA PAL	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
495.	F2346	5104144	SUBODH KUMAR	YOGESH KUMAR	104	RAJIV ACADEMY FOR TECH & MGT., MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
496.	F2368	5185124	SADHANA SINGH	D.P. SINGH	185	RAMESHWARI DEVI KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, PATHOLI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
497.	F2392	5185148	MANJU RANI KUSHWAHA	NATHU SINGH KUSHWAHA	185	RAMESHWARI DEVI KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, PATHOLI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
498.	F2394	5185150	MAYA PRAKASH	CHANDRA PAL SINGH	185	RAMESHWARI DEVI KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, PATHOLI, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
499.	F2396	5210082	SACHIN	R.K.GUPTA	210	S.M.DEGREE COLLEGE, PALI DUNGRA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
500.	F2397	5210083	OMVEER SINGH	SOVRAN SINGH	210	S.M.DEGREE COLLEGE, PALI	Remain in the “Fake” category

						DUNGRA, MATHURA	
501.	F2401	5210087	MANJU TOMAR	RAM NIWAS TOMAR	210	S.M.DEGREE COLLEGE, PALI DUNGRA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
502.	F2402	5210088	JATIPAL SINGH	HARVIR SINGH	210	S.M. DEGREE COLLEGE PALI DUNGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
503.	F2403	5210089	RAM BAHADUR SINGH	SAGAR SINGH	210	S.M. DEGREE COLLEGE PALI DUNGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
504.	F2404	5210090	SEEMA YADAV	V.S. YADAV	210	S.M. DEGREE COLLEGE PALI DUNGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
505.	F2420	5210160	SURENDRA PAL SINGH	RAM SAHAY	210	S.M. DEGREE COLLEGE PALI DUNGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
506.	F2421	5007087	SNEH LATA	R.C. CHAUHAN	7	S.R.K. COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
507.	F2422	5007088	BIJENDRA SINGH	RAM DAS	7	S.R.K. COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
508.	F2423	5007089	KASHMIRI	SON PAL	7	S.R.K. COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
509.	F2424	5007090	SUSHIL KUMAR	RAM CHARAN LAL	7	S.R.K. COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
510.	F2428	5007094	RAJESH KUMAR	DULI CHAND	7	S.R.K. COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
511.	F2435	5007116	JITENDRA SINGH CHANDEL	UDAY VIR SINGH CHANDEL	7	S.R.K. COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
512.	F2437	5007304	HARIYENDRA KUMAR	MAHENDRA PAL SINGH	7	S.R.K. COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
513.	F2438	5007305	SANDEEP KUMAR CHETAN	BACHCHU SINGH	7	S.R.K. COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
514.	F2439	5007306	SATENDRA KUMAR	RAMHARI SHARMA	7	S.R.K. COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
515.	F2445	5007312	HARVENDRA KUMAR	GYAN SINGH	7	S.R.K. COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
516.	F2480	5650085	BRAJ RAJ SINGH	HAKIM SINGH	650	S.S. COLLEGE, SIKANDRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
517.	F2487	5009112	RAJEEV KUMAR	SHIV RAJ SINGH	9	S.V. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
518.	F2490	5009115	ARCHANA SAGAR	R.K. SAGAR	9	S.V. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
519.	F2491	5009116	HARI PRASAD	GAJJRAJ SINGH	9	S.V. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
520.	F2492	5009303	YOGENDRA SINGH	RAMVIR SINGH	9	S.V. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
521.	F2497	5009308	NEELIMA JADON	KAMAL SINGH JADON	9	S.V. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category

522.	F2499	5009310	VIVEK KUMAR	MALKHAN SINGH	9	S.V. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
523.	F2500	5009311	HEMA RANI	KISHAN CHAND	9	S.V. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
524.	F2511	5231091	MANJU RANI	PHOOL SINGH	231	SANT RAMKRISHAN KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, BALKESWAR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
525.	F2512	5231092	SUSHMA KUMARI	PRITAM ISNGH	231	SANT RAMKRISHAN KANYA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, BALKESWAR, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
526.	F2515	5074054	JAGAT SINGH	MAHANAND SINGH	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA, CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
527.	F2518	5074057	ABHISHEK KUMAR	SHIV SINGH	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA, CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
528.	F2523	5074062	PUNEET KUMAR SINGH	MAHAVIR SINGH	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA, CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
529.	F2527	5074066	UDAI PRATAP SINGH	CHHOTY SINGH	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA, CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
530.	F2529	5074068	SURESH KUMAR MALANI	SAVAL DAS	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA, CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
531.	F2539	5074078	ADITYA KUMARI	GANGA SINGH	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA, CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
532.	F2541	5074080	KALPANA	NAURANGI LAL	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA, CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
533.	F2547	5074086	VIMLESH	RAM BHAROSE LAL	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA, CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
534.	F2562	5074110	CHANDRA BAN SINGH	KHAZAN SINGH	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA, CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
535.	F2564	5074112	SALINI	OM PRATAP SINGH	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA,	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	
536.	F2565	5074113	REKHA KUMARI	MUNNA LAL YADAV	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA, CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
537.	F2566	5074114	CHANDRA MOHAN SHARMA	KHEM CHAND SHARMA	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA, CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
538.	F2567	5074115	RAVI SHANKER	KASHI RAM	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA, CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
539.	F2580	5074128	JAYAMALA KUSHWAHA	ASHOK KUMAR	74	SARVODAYA MAHAVIDHYAL YA, CHAUMUHA, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
540.	F2619	5221117	SUSHMA RANI	RAJ PAL SINGH	221	SHANTINIKETA N COLLEGE, TEHRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
541.	F2620	5221118	RAJESH BHASKER	R.N. BHASKER	221	SHANTINIKETA N COLLEGE, TEHRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
542.	F2622	5221120	SATISH CHANDRA YADAV	SURENDRA SINGH YADAV	221	SHANTINIKETA N COLLEGE, TEHRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
543.	F2658	5221306	VISHAMBAR SINGH	DURG SINGH	221	SHANTINIKETA N COLLEGE, TEHRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
544.	F2659	5221307	RAM PRASAD SONKAR	FAUHDAR SONKER	221	SHANTINIKETA N COLLEGE, TEHRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
545.	F2666	5221314	SHASHI PRABHA MATHUR	NATHU RAM MATHUR	221	SHANTINIKETA N COLLEGE, TEHRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
546.	F2667	5221315	SHUSHILA	BISHAMBHAR DAYAL	221	SHANTINIKETA N COLLEGE, TEHRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
547.	F2669	5221317	RAJESH SAGAR	BABU LAL SAGAR	221	SHANTINIKETA N COLLEGE, TEHRA, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
548.	F2672	5087085	PUNIT KUMARI	LAL SINGH	87	SHIVDAN SINGH INSTITUTE OF MGMT. & TECH., ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
549.	F2673	5087086	SUDHIR KUMAR	CHANDRA SINGH	87	SHIVDAN SINGH INSTITUTE OF MGMT. & TECH., ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
550.	F2675	5087088	NISHAN SINGH	SOHAN LAL	87	SHIVDAN SINGH INSTITUTE OF MGMT. & TECH., ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category

551.	F2677	5087090	SHAKUNTALA	MAN SINGH	87	SHIVDAN SINGH INSTITUTE OF MGMT. & TECH., ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
552.	F2686	5087118	SANGRAM SINGH	RAGHUVIR SINGH	87	SHIVDAN SINGH INSTITUTE OF MGMT. & TECH., ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
553.	F2687	5087119	VIBY	DAHARMPAL SINGH TOMAR	87	SHIVDAN SINGH INSTITUTE OF MGMT. & TECH., ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
554.	F2690	5087122	MITHLESH KUMARI	CHUNILAL	87	SHIVDAN SINGH INSTITUTE OF MGMT. & TECH., ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
555.	F2703	5087135	PRADEEP KUMAR YADAV	DEVENDRA KUMAR YADAV	87	SHIVDAN SINGH INSTITUTE OF MGMT. & TECH., ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
556.	F2709	5087141	NUTAN KUMARI	JAGDISH PRASAD SAHA	87	SHIVDAN SINGH INSTITUTE OF MGMT. & TECH., ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
557.	F2715	5087147	KOMAL SINGH	RAM LAL	87	SHIVDAN SINGH INSTITUTE OF MGMT. & TECH., ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
558.	F2728	5079301	BRAJESH KUMARI	SAHAB SINGH	79	SHIVDAN SINGH SMRITI COLLEGE, IGLAS, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
559.	F2729	5079302	RAJ KUMARI	BRIJENDRA SINGH	79	SHIVDAN SINGH SMRITI COLLEGE, IGLAS, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
560.	F2730	5079303	JAYCHANDRA SINGH	HAMIR PAL SINGH	79	SHIVDAN SINGH SMRITI COLLEGE, IGLAS, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
561.	F2735	5079308	NIRAJA DIXIT	K.M. DIXIT	79	SHIVDAN SINGH SMRITI COLLEGE, IGLAS, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
562.	F2745	5079318	RADHA RANI	MANIK CHAND	79	SHIVDAN SINGH SMRITI COLLEGE, IGLAS, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category

563.	F2746	5079319	LAXMINARAYAN VARSHNEY	JAGDISH PRASAD VARSHNEY	79	SHIVDAN SINGH SMRITI COLLEGE, IGLAS, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
564.	F2747	5079320	VIKASH SARASWAT	AVNISH CHANDRA SARASWAT	79	SHIVDAN SINGH SMRITI COLLEGE, IGLAS, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
565.	F2749	5212087	DHARMENDRA KUMAR	HORI LAL	212	SHRI JEE BABA COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
566.	F2754	5212092	SHASHI BHUSHAN	MOHAN LAL	212	SHRI JEE BABA COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
567.	F2770	5149116	PREM SINGH	BABU LAL	149	SHRI KRISHAN YOGIRAJ MAHAVIDHYAL A, RATIBHANPUR, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
568.	F2771	5149117	MAMTA CHAUDHARY	GOVIND SINGH FAUJDAR	149	SHRI KRISHAN YOGIRAJ MAHAVIDHYAL A, RATIBHANPUR, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
569.	F2773	5149119	SUNIL KUMAR	AZAD SINGH	149	SHRI KRISHAN YOGIRAJ MAHAVIDHYAL A, RATIBHANPUR, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
570.	F2774	5149120	ANIL KUMAR	JAGJIT SINGH	149	SHRI KRISHAN YOGIRAJ MAHAVIDHYAL A, RATIBHANPUR, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
571.	F2775	5149121	LALITA YADAV	JAYPAL YADAV	149	SHRI KRISHAN YOGIRAJ MAHAVIDHYAL A, RATIBHANPUR, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
572.	F2777	5149123	SHELENDRA SINGH	BRAJESH TOMAR	149	SHRI KRISHAN YOGIRAJ MAHAVIDHYAL A, RATIBHANPUR, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
573.	F2805	5149302	ALDRIL	MURARI LAL	149	SHRI KRISHAN YOGIRAJ MAHAVIDHYAL A, RATIBHANPUR, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
574.	F2808	5149305	SANTOSH KUMAR	SURESH CHANDRA	149	SHRI KRISHAN YOGIRAJ MAHAVIDHYAL A, RATIBHANPUR, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
575.	F2810	5149307	PRIYANKA	RAJN PAL SINGH	149	SHRI KRISHAN YOGIRAJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						MAHAVIDHYAL A, RATIBHANPUR, HATHRAS	
576.	F2812	5149309	RAJESH KUMAR	MAN SINGH	149	SHRI KRISHAN YOGIRAJ MAHAVIDHYAL A, RATIBHANPUR, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
577.	F2815	5149312	SURENDRA SINGH	MANGELAL	149	SHRI KRISHAN YOGIRAJ MAHAVIDHYAL A, RATIBHANPUR, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
578.	F2820	5225086	MAMTA YADAV	M.L. YADAV	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
579.	F2821	5225087	LAKHAN SINGH	BHAGWAN SINGH	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
580.	F2822	5225088	DIVAKER GUPTA	SATISH CHANDRA GUPTA	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
581.	F2831	5225118	MANOJ KUMAR	RAJENDRA SINGH	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
582.	F2833	5225120	SAURABH BISHNOI	N.K. BISHNOI	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
583.	F2836	5225123	ANOOP KUMAR	KISHOR KUMAR	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
584.	F2839	5225126	SANTOSH KUMAR	MAHAVIR SINGH	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
585.	F2840	522127	SHASHI KANT MOURYA	RAJARAM MOURYA	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
586.	F2842	5225129	SUNITA TRIVEDI	K.N. TRIVEDI	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	
587.	F2843	5225130	ALOK GOEL	MAHENDRA PAL GOEL	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
588.	F2844	5225131	NABAB SINGH	SUBEDAR SINGH	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
589.	F2846	5225133	MAHESH CHANDRA	RAM SANEHI	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
590.	F2847	5225134	MANOJ SINGH	MOHAN SINGH	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
591.	F2848	5225135	SHESH CHANDRA	ISHWAR DAYAL	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
592.	F2849	522136	VIRENDRA SINGH	***** SINGH	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
593.	F2850	522137	DALVEER SINGH	BASDEV SINGH	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
594.	F2852	522139	MANISH KUMAR SINGH YADAV	SUSHIL KUMAR SINGH	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
595.	F2855	522142	JYOTI	S.P. KHAIR	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
596.	F2857	522144	NEELAM	OM PRAKASH SINGH	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
597.	F2858	5225145	KAVITA JADON	OM PRAKASH JADON	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	
598.	F2861	5225148	REKHA YADAV	K.V. SINGH	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
599.	F2863	5225150	MONA GUPTA	GOPAL GUPTA	225	SHRI RADHAGOVIN D MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, HERA PUR GOPI, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
600.	F2870	5239092	SADHNA SIKARWAR	GULAB SINGH SIKARBAR	239	SIR M.U. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
601.	F2887	5239126	GUDDI	RAM SHYAM VERMA	239	SIR M.U. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
602.	F2888	5239127	REKHA RANI	RATAN KUMAR	239	SIR M.U. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
603.	F2890	5239129	GYANENDRA KUMAR	BHUP SINGH	239	SIR M.U. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
604.	F2891	5239130	MEENA KUMARI	MAHENDRA PAL SINGH	239	SIR M.U. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
605.	F2894	5239133	SUNITA	CHANDRA BHAN	239	SIR M.U. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
606.	F2895	5239134	MITHLESH KUMARI	RAM SINGH ARYA	239	SIR M.U. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
607.	F2896	5239135	GULAN SINGH	RAM SINGH ARYA	239	SIR M.U. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
608.	F2897	5239136	RASHMI VERMA	R. K. VERMA	239	SIR M. U. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
609.	F2899	5239138	PUSH LATA	MAHVIR SINGH	239	SIR M. U. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
610.	F2900	5239139	DEVENDRA SHARMA	BHIMSEN SHARMA	239	SIR M. U. TEACHERS	Remain in the “Fake” category

						TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH	
611.	F2901	5239140	MOHD. KALEEM KHAN	MOHD MANSHUR KHAN	239	SIR M. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH U.	Remain in the “Fake” category
612.	F2904	5239143	KUNWAR SINGH	RAGHUVIR SINGH	239	SIR M. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH U.	Remain in the “Fake” category
613.	F2907	5239146	SONIA SINGH	JAGDISH PRASAD	239	SIR M. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH U.	Remain in the “Fake” category
614.	F2908	5239147	BABLU	RAM AVATAR	239	SIR M. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH U.	Remain in the “Fake” category
615.	F2909	5239148	NIRMALA	RAM DAS	239	SIR M. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH U.	Remain in the “Fake” category
616.	F2911	5239150	ANJANA	AJAYVIR SINGH	239	SIR M. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH U.	Remain in the “Fake” category
617.	F2912	5239208	KAILASH	RANCHOR SINGH	239	SIR M. TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE, ETAH U.	Remain in the “Fake” category
618.	F2913	5201083	DEEPA	MAHARAJ SINGH	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
619.	F2914	5201084	POONAM KUMARI	NATHUR RAM	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
620.	F2917	5201087	SURVATI	NATTHU SINGH	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
621.	F2918	5201088	PREM PAL SINGH	SUNDER LAL	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
622.	F2925	5201116	ANIL KUMAR SINGH	SUSHIL KUMAR SINGH	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR	Remain in the “Fake” category

						BARBARA, KASGANJ	
623.	F2926	5201117	PRAVEEN KUMAR UPADHYAY	M.S. SHARMA	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
624.	F2927	5201118	MAHESH CHANDRA	RAM NATH SINGH	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
625.	F2929	5201120	SURBHI YADAV	SATISH KUMAR YADAV	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
626.	F2930	5201121	DEVENDRA SINGH	KUMAR PAL SINGH	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
627.	F2932	5201123	SUSHIL KUMAR	GYAN PRASAD	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
628.	F2938	5201129	NARENDRA KUMAR	R.C. VERMA	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
629.	F2939	5201130	CHANDRA PRAKASH YADAV	DEVAN SINGH YADAV	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
630.	F2943	5201134	ANIL KUMAR	BHAN LAL GUPTA	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
631.	F2945	5201136	SUNIL KUAMR YADAV	AKBAR SINGH YADAV	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
632.	F2946	5201137	MANOJ KUMAR	S.L. VERMA	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
633.	F2947	5201138	MANOJ KUMAR	KANCHI LAL	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA,	Remain in the “Fake” category

						KASGANJ	
634.	F2948	5201139	BHUVNESHWAR PRAKASH SINGH	NARENDA KUMAR	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
635.	F2949	5201140	ARCHANA KUMAR	SUKHRAM SINGH	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
636.	F2956	5201147	OM HARI	K.P. SINGH	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
637.	F2957	5201148	MANOJ VERMA	MITTAL VERMA	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
638.	F2958	5201149	RAM SINGH	POORAN SINGH	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
639.	F2959	5201150	SHUKVIR SINGH	PRATAP SINGH	201	SMT. GENDA DEVI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, ALIPUR BARBARA, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” category
640.	F2960	5077080	ANOOP SINGH	DESHRAJ SINGH	77	SMT. GOMTI SMARAK VIGYAN MAHAVIDHYAL A, PARSON, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
641.	F2965	5077085	RAJENDRA SINGH	NARAYAN SINGH	77	SMT. GOMTI SMARAK VIGYAN MAHAVIDHYAL A, PARSON, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
642.	F2966	5077086	GEETA RANI	TEERAK PAL	77	SMT. GOMTI SMARAK VIGYAN MAHAVIDHYAL A, PARSON, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
643.	F2967	5077087	NEERAJ KUMAR SHARMA	RAMESHCHANDRA SINGH	77	SMT. GOMTI SMARAK VIGYAN MAHAVIDHYAL A, PARSON, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
644.	F2969	5077089	PRASOON RATOR	R.S. RATHOR	77	SMT. GOMTI SMARAK VIGYAN MAHAVIDHYAL A, PARSON, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category

645.	F2971	5077091	CHETANYA KUMAR	J.P. SHARMA	77	SMT. GOMTI SMARAK VIGYAN MAHAVIDHYAL A, PARSON, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
646.	F2978	5077154	ANEETA SHARMA	SHRI CHANDRA SHARMA	77	SMT. GOMTI SMARAK VIGYAN MAHAVIDHYAL A, PARSON, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
647.	F2980	5077156	KULDEEP VERMA	BADAN SINGH	77	SMT. GOMTI SMARAK VIGYAN MAHAVIDHYAL A, PARSON, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
648.	F2983	5077159	TEJ PAL SINGH	KHERI SINGH	77	SMT. GOMTI SMARAK VIGYAN MAHAVIDHYAL A, PARSON, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
649.	F2991	5148084	PREM PAL	BHOOP SINGH	148	SMT. LONGSHREE DEVI COLL, NAGLA AAL, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
650.	F3002	5148095	SACHIN KUMAR	SUKHPAL SINGH	148	SMT. LONGSHREE DEVI COLL, NAGLA AAL, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
651.	F3043	5082161	PAWAN KUMAR CHAUHAN	RAMESH CHANDRA CHAUHAN	82	SRI FAROOQ HUSSAIN (P.G.) COLLEGE, NIDHAULI KALAN, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
652.	F3044	5082162	HARENRA SINGH	BABU RAM	82	SRI FAROOQ HUSSAIN (P.G.) COLLEGE, NIDHAULI KALAN, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
653.	F3045	5082163	MAHENDRA PRATAP SINGH	BRAJ RAJ SIGH CHAUHAN	82	SRI FAROOQ HUSSAIN (P.G.) COLLEGE, NIDHAULI KALAN, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
654.	F3046	5082164	JITENDRA KUMAR SINGH	B.R. SAGAR	82	SRI FAROOQ HUSSAIN (P.G.) COLLEGE, NIDHAULI KALAN, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
655.	F3047	5082165	NEETU SINGH	BABU RAM SAGAR	82	SRI FAROOQ HUSSAIN (P.G.) COLLEGE, NIDHAULI KALAN, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
656.	F3054	5082306	AMIT KUMAR VARSHNEY	BANWARI LAL VARSHNEY	82	SRI FAROOQ HUSSAIN (P.G.) COLLEGE, NIDHAULI KALAN, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
657.	F3055	5082307	VIMLESH KUMARI	RAJA RAM	82	SRI FAROOQ HUSSAIN (P.G.)	Remain in the “Fake” category

						COLLEGE, NIDHAULI KALAN, ETAH	
658.	F3063	5227084	AJAY KUMAR SINGH	AMAR PAL SINGH	277	SRI GIRRAJ MAHARAJ COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
659.	F3066	5227087	NARENDRA SINGH	RAGHUVeer SINGH	277	SRI GIRRAJ MAHARAJ COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
660.	F3067	5227088	ANAND KUMAR SAKYA	RAM LAL SAKYA	277	SRI GIRRAJ MAHARAJ COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
661.	F3068	5227089	BIJENDRA KUMAR GAUTAM	CHARAN SINGH GAUTAM	277	SRI GIRRAJ MAHARAJ COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
662.	F3069	5227090	PRITI VERMA	LALARAM VERMA	277	SRI GIRRAJ MAHARAJ COLLEGE, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
663.	F3093	5181122	NIDHI SHARMA	JAGDISH SHARMA	181	SRI JAGVIR SINGH CHAUHAN SMRATI MAHAVIDHYAL AY, ALIPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
664.	F3094	5181123	LAXMI ARYA	BANWARI LAL	181	SRI JAGVIR SINGH CHAUHAN SMRATI MAHAVIDHYAL AY, ALIPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
665.	F3099	5181128	VINIT SINGH	CHETRAM SINGH	181	SRI JAGVIR SINGH CHAUHAN SMRATI MAHAVIDHYAL AY, ALIPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
666.	F3102	5181131	RUPENDRA KUMAR	ASHOK SINGH	181	SRI JAGVIR SINGH CHAUHAN SMRATI MAHAVIDHYAL AY, ALIPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
667.	F3103	5181132	SURAJ SINGH	P.D. YADAV	181	SRI JAGVIR SINGH CHAUHAN SMRATI MAHAVIDHYAL AY, ALIPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
668.	F3105	5181134	SUNIL KUMAR SINGH	MAHI PAL SINGH	181	SRI JAGVIR SINGH CHAUHAN SMRATI MAHAVIDHYAL AY, ALIPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
669.	F3109	5181138	HARENDRA	RADHA	181	SRI JAGVIR	Remain in the

				CHARNA		SINGH CHAUHAN SMRATI MAHAVIDHYAL AY, ALIPUR, ETAH	“Fake” category
670.	F3123	5278088	SATYANDRA KUMAR	GYAN SINGH	278	SRI POORAN PRASAD GUPTA MEMORIAL DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA HIGHWAY, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
671.	F3124	5278089	SARIKA	AMAR SINGH	278	SRI POORAN PRASAD GUPTA MEMORIAL DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA HIGHWAY, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
672.	F3125	5278090	SANJAY KUMAR	CHOOTE LAL	278	SRI POORAN PRASAD GUPTA MEMORIAL DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA HIGHWAY, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
673.	F3126	5278091	RAMESH SINGH	POTHI RAM	278	SRI POORAN PRASAD GUPTA MEMORIAL DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA HIGHWAY, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
674.	F3127	5278092	SHEEM KHITOLIYA	PRABHU DAYAL	278	SRI POORAN PRASAD GUPTA MEMORIAL DEGREE COLLEGE, SIKANDRA HIGHWAY, AGRA	Remain in the “Fake” category
675.	F3142	5197116	GAURAV SINGH	NARENDRA PAL SINGH	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
676.	F3145	5197119	BIRENDRA SINGH	SARDAR SINGH	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
677.	F3146	5197120	BANTI	SHER SINGH	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO	Remain in the “Fake” category

						, HATHRAS	
678.	F3147	5197121	HARI MOHAN SINGH	BACHCHU SINGH	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
679.	F3148	5197122	GYNENDRA PRATAP SINGH	JAGDISH SINGH YADAV	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
680.	F3149	5197123	AMIT SINGH	JITENDRA SINGH	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
681.	F3151	5197125	SATISH KUMAR	RAJENDRA SINGH	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
682.	F3153	5197127	AJAY KUMAR SINGH	SHYAM SINGH	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
683.	F3168	5197142	VIMLESH YADAV	NEM SINGH	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
684.	F3169	5197143	RAM SHANKER SINGH	AWADH NARAYAN	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
685.	F3170	5197144	KULWANT KUMAR SINGH	H.S. SINGH	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
686.	F3171	5197145	TEJ VEER SINGH	GOPAL SINGH	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
687.	F3174	5197148	RAJ VIR SINGH	DORI LAL	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
688.	F3175	5197149	SANDHYA	AMAR SINGH	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category

689.	F3178	5197308	MANOJ KUMAR PARIHAR	R.S. PARIHAR	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, SIKANDRARAO , HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” category
690.	F3189	5240117	SUSHIL KUMAR	RAJ BAHADUR	240	SRI SURAJ SINGH MEMORIAL COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
691.	F3195	5240123	ARVIND SRIVASTAVA	RAM GOPAL SRIVASTAVA	240	SRI SURAJ SINGH MEMORIAL COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
692.	F3200	5240128	MAMTA KUMARI	RAGHUNATH	240	SRI SURAJ SINGH MEMORIAL COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
693.	F3209	5240137	SUMAN SHARMA	G.S. SHARMA	240	SRI SURAJ SINGH MEMORIAL COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
694.	F3210	5240138	ANIL KUMAR	BALVIR SINGH	240	SRI SURAJ SINGH MEMORIAL COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
695.	F3211	5240139	KRISHAN KUMAR	DESH RAJ SINGH	240	SRI SURAJ SINGH MEMORIAL COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
696.	F3214	5240142	GANESH CHANDRA	PREETAM LAL	240	SRI SURAJ SINGH MEMORIAL COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
697.	F3216	5240144	SANGITA SINGH	S.P. SINGH	240	SRI SURAJ SINGH MEMORIAL COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
698.	F3219	5240147	YOGENDRA SINGH	RAM SHANKAR	240	SRI SURAJ SINGH MEMORIAL COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
699.	F3221	5240149	VISHNU KUMAR	RAM JI LAL SAVITA	240	SRI SURAJ SINGH MEMORIAL COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
700.	F3222	5240150	UMESH KUMAR	CHATRA PAL SINGH	240	SRI SURAJ SINGH MEMORIAL COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” category
701.	F3224	5242086	VISHRAM SINGH	A KUMAR	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category

702.	F3225	5242087	VIKAS CHANDRA	NAWAB SINGH	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
703.	F3227	5242089	MUKESH BABU	MAHAVIR SINGH	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
704.	F3228	5242090	HARI CHANDRA SINGH	DHANI RAM	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
705.	F3235	5242116	VANDANA	JAGDISH BABU	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” category
706.	F3236	5242117	BABITA KUMARI	MANIK CHAND	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
707.	F 3237	5242118	UDAYA PRATAP SINGH	SUNHARI LAL	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
708.	F3238	5242119	JITENDRA SINGH	CHANDRA BHAN	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
709.	F3239	5242120	VIVEK KUMAR	DORI SINGH	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
710.	F3240	5242121	SARLA KUMARI	JALIM SINGH	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
711.	F3241	5242122	SARVESH KUMAR SINGH	POKH PAL SINGH	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
712.	F3243	5242124	DEOKI PRASAD	BHUDEV SINGH	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
713.	F3253	5242134	NIRJA RANI	J.P. SINGH	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
714.	F3257	5242138	CHAMPA AGARWAL	RAM NIWAS AGARWAL	242	SURYA EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
715.	F3260	5242141	RAVINDRA	RAM	242	SURYA	Remain in the

			KUMAR VERMA	SWAROOP VERMA		EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTE, FARAH, MATHURA	“Fake” Category
716.	F3270	5010069	BEENA	JAIPAL SINGH	10	T.R.K. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
717.	F3272	5010071	PRIYANKA RANI	HEM SINGH	10	T.R.K. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
718.	F3276	5010303	SUSHILA KUMARI	KOMAL SINGH	10	T.R.K. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
719.	F3277	5010304	KRISHAN LATA YADAV	KAMAL SINGH YADAV	10	T.R.K. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
720.	F3279	5010306	SEEMA VERMA	RAJ VIR SINGH	10	T.R.K. COLLEGE, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
721.	F3286	5209068	SUKRITI TIWARI	RAM CHANDRA TIWARI	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
722.	F3289	5209071	ASHOK KUMAR	LAKHAN SINGH	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
723.	F3290	5209072	RAJNISH SHARMA	GAURI SHANKER SHAMRA	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
724.	F3291	5209073	PRATIBHA PUSHKAR	R.C. PUSHKAR	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
725.	F3292	5209074	DHARVENDRA SINGH	GYANENDRA SINGH	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
726.	F3298	5209080	JITENDRA SINGH	MAHENDRA SINGH	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
727.	F3300	5209082	PUSHPENDRA KUMAR	AMOL SINGH	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
728.	F3301	5209083	RAHUL YADAV	OM PRAKASH YADAV	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
729.	F3312	5209094	PUSHPENDRA KUMAR	JAGDISH SINGH	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
730.	F3317	5209138	LALITA SINGH	J.P. SINGH	209	USHA	Remain in the

						EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	“Fake” Category
731.	F3318	5209139	PRATIBHA SINGH	J.P. SINGH	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
732.	F3319	5209140	MEENA RANI	BRAJ LAL	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
733.	F3321	5209142	MANJU SHARMA	R.P. SHARMA	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
734.	F3323	5209144	PRAMOD KUMAR SINGH	MOHAR SINGH	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
735.	F3326	5209147	JAI NARAYAN GUPTA	MUNNI LAL	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
736.	F3328	5209149	CHANDRA PRATAP SINGH	B.R. SINGH	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
737.	F3334	5209306	ARUN KUMAR SHARMA	RAJENDRA KISHORE SHARMA	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
738.	F3336	5209308	KUSUM LATA	R.NIWAS	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
739.	F3337	5209309	RAM PRATAP SINGH	NATHU SINGH	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
740.	F3339	5209311	SATYA PRAKASH SINGH	MAHAVIR SINGH	209	USHA EDUCATION INSTITUTE, FAREH, MATHURA	Remain in the “Fake” Category
741.	F3342	5200083	SUNIL KUMAR	SUKHDEV SINGH	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
742.	F3343	5200084	SARVESH KUMAR	HEERA LAL	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
743.	F3345	5200086	SANTOSH	AJEET SINGH	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category

744.	F3346	5200087	VIPIN KUMAR	RAMAKANT MISHRA	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
745.	F3347	5200088	AVINASH KUMAR SHARMA	HARI DATT SHARMA	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
746.	F3350	5200091	KAVITA	R.R. SINGH	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
747.	F3357	5200116	LAXMI YADAV	ARTI YADAV	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
748.	F3358	5200117	SEEMA CHAUDHARY	RAJEEV CHAUDHARY	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
749.	F3359	5200118	HARIOM	SIYA RAM SINGH	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
750.	F3360	5200119	VIJAY PAL SINGH	RAGHUVIR SINGH	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
751.	F3362	5200121	SHASHI JAISHWAL	MANIKCHAND	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
752.	F3366	5200125	SATENDRA KUMAR	MAHENDRA KUMAR SHARMA	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
753.	F3367	5200126	DEVENDRA KUMAR	SRI RAM PAL	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
754.	F3368	5200127	SATENDRA KUMAR	GOPAL SINGH	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
755.	F3369	5200128	SUNIL SHARMA	RAM AVATAR	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
756.	F3373	5200132	DUSHYANT SINGH	CHITRA SINGH	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
757.	F3374	5200133	LOKENDRA SINGH	MAHENDRA PAL SINGH	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
758.	F3375	5200134	RAM NIWASH	BABU RAM	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
759.	F3376	5200135	LALITASH	SANT RAM YADAV	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category

760.	F3377	5200136	NARENRA PAL SINGH	BHOLA SHANKAR	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
761.	F3378	5200137	PUSHPA YADAV	KISHAN LAL	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
762.	F3379	5200138	KULDEEP KUMAR	SANTOSH KUMAR	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
763.	F3382	5200141	KAMLA DEVI	DAYA RAM 200	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
764.	F3383	5200142	ANURADHA KULSHRESTAH	P.L. KULSHRESTHA	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
765.	F3391	5200150	ASHA KUMARI	SHRI RAM DAYAL	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
766.	F3392	5200307	SHIV NANDAN SINGH	RAM SARAN	200	V.K. JAIN COLLEGE, SORON ROAD, KASGANJ	Remain in the “Fake” Category
767.	F3394	5071080	VIKITA	MINRAJ SINGH	71	VIRANGNA AVANTI BAI MAHILA MAHAVIDYALA YA, UDDAITPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
768.	F3398	5071084	KM. PINKY	SIYA RAM	71	VIRANGNA AVANTI BAI MAHILA MAHAVIDYALA YA, UDDAITPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
769.	F3399	5071085	SANTOSH KUMARI	RAM PRASAD	71	VIRANGNA AVANTI BAI MAHILA MAHAVIDYALA YA, UDDAITPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
770.	F3413	5071123	PREM LATA	BHOOP SINGH	71	VIRANGNA AVANTI BAI MAHILA MAHAVIDYALA YA, UDDAITPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
771.	F3419	5071129	KUSHU LATA	BHURE LAL	71	VIRANGNA AVANTI BAI MAHILA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, UDDAITPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
772.	F3420	5071130	URMESH KUMARI	BISHRAM SINGH	71	VIRANGNA AVANTI BAI MAHILA	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, UDDAITPUR, ETAH	
773.	F3424	5071134	PRATHAMA	RAM SINGH	71	VIRANGNA AVANTI BAI MAHILA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, UDDAITPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
774.	F3425	5071135	ASHA RAJPUT	JHUMAK LAL	71	VIRANGNA AVANTI BAI MAHILA MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, UDDAITPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
775.	F3442	5196084	ABHILASHA SHARMA	ANIL KUMAR SHARMA	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
776.	F3447	5196089	DHIRENDRA PAL SINGH	VIRENDRA SING	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
777.	F3448	5196090	BITTAN DEVI	SEETA RAM	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
778.	F3462	5196308	VIRENDRA PAL SINGH	HAJARI LAL	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
779.	F3464	5196310	MANOJ CHAUHAN	K.S. CHAUHAN	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
780.	F3465	5196311	VISHNU KUMAR SINGH	JASVIR SINGH	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
781.	F3479	5176052	RAJESH PRATAP SINGH	RAVINDRA PAL SINGH	176	ZAKIR HUSSAIN COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
782.	F3481	5176054	VED VATI VERMA	POORAN SINGH	176	ZAKIR HUSSAIN COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
783.	F3482	5176055	DHARMENDRA PRAKASH DIXIT	RAM SEVAK DIXIT	176	ZAKIR HUSSAIN COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
784.	F3484	5176057	RAM KUMARI DWIVEDI	RAJ KUMAR	176	ZAKIR HUSSAIN COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
785.	F3486	5176059	OM PRAKSH	NATTHU RAM	176	ZAKIR HUSSAIN COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
786.	F 3237	5176061	RAVINDRA KUMAR	BALAK RAM	176	ZAKIR HUSSAIN COLLEGE,	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						ETAH	
787.	F3489	5176062	JAI CHANDRA SINGH	RADHA SHYAM	176	ZAKIR HUSSAIN COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
788.	F3490	5176063	DEVENDRA SINGH	INDAL SINGH	176	ZAKIR HUSSAIN COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
789.	F3494	5176067	YADU NATH SINGH	ATAR SINGH	176	ZAKIR HUSSAIN COLLEGE, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
790.	F3503	5194085	UMA SHANKAR SINGH	RAGHUVeer SINGH	194	GRAMODHAR MAHAVIDHYAL A,NAGLA PARSI DADU ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
791.	F3510	5181120	RAJKUMAR	GOPAL SINGH	181	SRI JAGVIR SINGH CHAUHAN SMRATI MAHAVIDHYAL AY, ALIPUR, ETAH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
792.	F3512	5197302	RAJEEV KUMR	BABU RAM	197	SRI RADHARANI MAHAVIDHYAL AY,SIKANDRAR AO, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
793.	F3516	5196014	GAURAV VARSHNEY	ARVIND KUMAR VARSHNEY	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
794.	F3517	5196017	SUNIL KUMAR	SURESH CHANDRA	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
795.	F3518	5196019	MINTU SINGH	RAM CHARAN	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
796.	F3519	5196022	ARPITA GUPTA	HARI GUPTA OM	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
797.	F3522	5196028	GURU DAYAL SINGH	0	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
798.	F3524	5196030	SUSHIL KUMAR SINGH	NARAYAN SINGH	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
799.	F3527	5196036	DINESH KUMAR YADAV	MAHENDRA SINGH YADAV	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
800.	F3529	5196040	TARA CHANDRA	RAM PRASAD	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
801.	F3532	5196058	INDRA JEET	SHANKER LAL	196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION,	Remain in the “Fake” Category

						ALIGARH	
802.	F3536	5196048	HEM LATA		196	VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
803.	F3552	5079038	REETA GAUTAM	RAM SHANKAR	79	SHIVDAN SINGH SMRITI COLLEGE, IGLAS, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
804.	F3553	5079063	RAM KUMAR	MAHAVEER SINGH	79	SHIVDAN SINGH SMRITI COLLEGE, IGLAS, ALIGARH	Remain in the “Fake” Category
805.	F3557	5172306	SARAD KUMAR SINGH	SURENDRA KUMAR	172	AMARDEEP COLLEGE, FIROZABAD	Remain in the “Fake” Category
806.	F3577	5045118	SURENDRA SINGH	TOPI SINGH	45	PRAMOD MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, MEHRARA, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
807.	F3578	5045119	GAJENDRA SINGH	RAM DAYAL SINGH	45	PRAMOD MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, MEHRARA, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
808.	F3600	5045141	AZAD GULSHAN BANU	ALLAH BUX	45	PRAMOD MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, MEHRARA, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
809.	F3606	5045147	VINOD KUMAR	PURAN SINGH	45	PRAMOD MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, MEHRARA, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
810.	F3607	5045148	ALKA	TODI SINGH	45	PRAMOD MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, MEHRARA, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
811.	F3534	5045012	ARVIND KUMAR SRIVASTAVA	KESHAV PRASAD SRIVASTAVA	45	PRAMOD MAHAVIDHYAL AYA, MEHRARA, HATHRAS	Remain in the “Fake” Category
812.	F3636	5243082	ANURADHA	RAJENDRA SINGH	243	ADARSH KRISHNA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, BHOOP NAGAR, MAINPURI	Remain in the “Fake” Category

(Saurabh Shyam Shamshery, J.) (Munishwar Nath Bhandari , J.)

Order Date-: 26.02.2021
Piyush/Ashish Pd./Nirmal