

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 20769/2021

**Suresh Jat S/o Hemraj, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Jagdishpur
Kekadi Ps Keekadi Dist. Ajmer Raj. (At Present Accused
Petitioner Confined In Central Jail Ajmer)**

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 20908/2021

**Suresh Son Of Bhairulal, Aged About 30 Years, Resident Of
Village Mewadakalan Police Station Kekri, District Ajmer,
Rajasthan (Accused Applicant Lodged In Central Jail, Ajmer).**

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor.

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 20909/2021

**Raju Alias Rajendra S/o Rameshwar, Aged About 21 Years,
Resident Of Kali Talai Ka Kheda, P.s. Kekdi, Distt. Ajmer (Raj.)
(At Present Confined In Central Jail, Ajmer(Raj.))**

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 20965/2021

**Jeevraj S/o Bhagchand, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Jagdishpura
Ps Kekri Dist. Ajmer Raj. (Accused Applicant Is Currently
Confined At Central Jail Ajmer)**

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr.Vijendra Yadav, Anant Priya Jain,
		Praveen Jain, Rajaram Chaudhary
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr.S.S.Mehla, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FARJAND ALI

Judgment / Order

21/12/2021

1. The Instant bail applications have been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. on behalf of accused-petitioners Suresh Jat S/o Hemraj, Suresh S/o Bhairulal, Raju @ Rajendra S/o Rameshwar, and Jeevraj S/o Bhagchand. The petitioners have been arrested in connection with FIR No.125/2021, registered at Police Station Kekri, Distt. Ajmer for the offence(s) under Section 379 of IPC.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioners submit that a false case has been foisted against the petitioners. The case is triable by the court of Magistrate. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners behind the bars.
3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application.
4. Considering the arguments advanced by the counsel for the parties and looking to the possibility that the trial may take long time to conclude, this court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioners on bail.
5. Accordingly, the bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed and it is ordered that the accused-petitioners, named

above, shall be enlarged on bail provided each of them furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Judge for their appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing as and when called upon to do so.

(FARJAND ALI),J

Anand Tanwar/122-125

20/07/2021

20/07/2021

20/07/2021