

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 1540/2021

1. Kripa Kumari Gurjar D/o Shri Bhoma Lal Gurjar, Wife Of Rajesh Kumar Vashisth, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Nayagaon (Malikhera) Balapura, District Bhilwara At Present 106. Vedang Height, Jagatpura, Jaipur.
2. Rajesh Kumar Vashisth Son Of Shri Ram Bharose Sharma, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village Jamoli, District Bhilwara At Present 106, Vedang Height, Jagatpura, Jaipur.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Home Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Commissioner Of Police, Jaipur Metropolitan.
3. S.H.O P.s. Pratap Nagar, Jaipur City East.
4. Bhomaram Gurjar Son Of Shri Devilal, R/o Naya Gaon, Malikhera, Tehsil Phuliya Kalan, District Bhilwara (Raj). At Present R/o Sanjay Colony, Vidyut Nagar, Behind Old Bus Stand, Bhilwara.
5. Bhopal Lal Gurjar Son Of Shri Devilal, R/o Naya Gaon, Malikhera, Tehsil Phuliya Kalan, District Bhilwara (Raj). At Present R/o Sanjay Colony, Vidyut Nagar, Behind Old Bus Stand, Bhilwara.
6. Neeraj Gurjar @ Manish Son Of Bhopal Lal, R/o Naya Gaon, Malikhera, Tehsil Phuliya Kalan, District Bhilwara (Raj). At Present R/o Sanjay Colony, Vidyut Nagar, Behind Old Bus Stand, Bhilwara.
7. Dallaram Son Of Devilal, R/o Naya Gaon, Malikhera, Tehsil Phuliya Kalan, District Bhilwara (Raj). At Present R/o Sanjay Colony, Vidyut Nagar, Behind Old Bus Stand, Bhilwara.
8. Madan Lal Gurjar Son Of Shri Devi Lal, R/o Behind Of Maya Mandir, Ajmer.
9. Shokin Lal Son Shri Devilal, R/o Lohagarh, Ajmer.
10. Lalaram Son Of Shri Devilal, R/o Dev Colony, Shahpura, District Bhilwara.
11. Mahesh Sharma Son Of Shri Ram Bharose Sharma, R/o

Village Jamoli, District Bhilwara.

-----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ganesh Dutt Gautam for Ms. Vijay Lata Meena
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Prashant Sharma, PP

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR SHARMA**

**Order**

**26/02/2021**

1. This Petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for protection of life and personal liberty of the petitioners.
2. Heard learned counsel for both the sides and perused the material made available on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have performed marriage with each other, but the private respondents and others are not happy with their marriage and they are threatening the petitioners. Given that their life and liberty is in danger, police protection may be granted to them.
4. Learned Public Prosecutor submits that appropriate directions may be issued.
5. In view of the order intended to be passed in the petition, being non-prejudicial to the private respondents, no notices are required against them.
6. Heard. Considered.
7. It is well settled legal position as expounded by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **Lata Singh Vs. State of UP [AIR 2006 SC 2522]**, **S. Khushboo Vs. Kanniammal [(2010) 5 SCC 600]**, **Indra Sarma Vs. VKV Sarma [(2013) 15 SCC 755]**

**and Shafin Jahan vs. Asokan KM & Ors. [(2018) 16 SCC 368]** that the society cannot determine how individuals live their lives, especially when they are major, irrespective of the fact that the relation between two major individuals may be termed as unsocial. Thus, life and personal liberty of the individuals has to be protected except according to procedure established by law, as mandated by Article 21 of the Constitution of India. Further, as per Section 29 of Rajasthan Police Act, 2007 every police officer is duty bound to protect the life and liberty of the citizens.

8. Therefore, in light of above legal position and having regard to the above submissions but without expressing any opinion on the genuineness or correctness of the allegations made by the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with the direction that learned counsel for the petitioners shall send a copy of the petition along with its annexures to the Station House Officer of concerned Police Station through e-mail, and on receipt of the same, the Station House Officer concerned shall treat it as a complaint and after due enquiry, he shall take necessary preventive measures and other steps to ensure safety and security of the petitioners in accordance with law.

9. However, as a precautionary note, it is made clear that this order shall not come in the way of civil/criminal case, if any, and such case would take its own course as per law.

(SATISH KUMAR SHARMA),J