

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.111**

**CRWP-11242-2021**

**GURMUKH SINGH**

**Date of Decision: 30.11.2021**

**... Petitioner**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Rashpinder Singh Sohi, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.  
(keeping in view the advance copy given)

(through video conferencing)

\*\*\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been involved in large number of cases at the behest of officials working in a particular Police Station and the representation filed by the petitioner for conducting free and fair inquiry in FIR No.21 dated 07.02.2020, has not met with any result.

Learned counsel further submits that though, the present petition has been filed for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioner but, the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to decide his representation dated 10.02.2020 (Annexure P-5), which has been filed for conducting free and fair inquiry in FIR No.21 dated 07.02.2020 under Section 379 B IPC read with Section 34 IPC registered at Police Station Kotwali, Patiala.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

The learned counsel for the respondent-State raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 10.02.2020 (Annexure P-5) by respondent No.2 but submits that the petitioner is involved in 14 other cases and has also been convicted in a number of them.

Learned State counsel further submits that appropriate order on the representation of the petitioner dated 10.02.2020 (Annexure P-5) will be passed within a period of eight weeks by respondent No.2 in case, the same has already not been disposed of.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives and the petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE**

**30.11.2021**

*rimpal*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No