104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 4875 of 2021 Date of Decision: 31.05.2021

Manpreet Kaur and Another

....Petitioners

VERSUS

UT Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present: Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate for the petitioners.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

This is a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are major and have got married against the wishes of their parents and relatives. The copies of the Aadhaar Cards of both the petitioners have been annexed with the petition as Annexures P-1 and P-2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners are receiving serious threats and apprehend grave danger to their life and liberty. The petitioners had also sent a representation dated 22.05.2021 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, UTChandigarh – for providing adequate security. However, no action has been taken till date. The counsel for the petitioners would further contend that he limits his

CRWP No. 4875 of 2021

-2-

prayer in the present petition and would be satisfied at this stage if directions

are issued for deciding the said representation in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Anupam Bansal, Addl. P.P. UT

Chandigarh has joined the session through video conferencing and accepts

notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. Since the matter is not being

decided on merits, the service on other respondents is dispensed with.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to the veracity of

the contents of the petition and the submissions made by learned counsel for

the petitioners, the present petition is disposed off with a direction to the

Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh (respondent No.2) to consider

the representation dated 22.05.2021 (Annexure P-4) and take necessary

action as per law.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall not, in any

manner, be construed as an expression of opinion on the veracity of the

statement made by the petitioners or on the validity of the marriage and shall

have no effect on any other civil or criminal proceedings, if any,

instituted/pending against them.

(ALKA SARIN) **JUDGE**

May 31, 2021

tripti

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking

Whether reportable: YES/NO

TRIPTI SAINI 2021.05.31 14:17 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document