

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

202

CRM-M-16616-2021 (O & M)
Date of Decision:30.09.2021

RAVISH KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

Through the instant petition under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.29 dated 05.02.2021, Annexure P-1, registered under Sections 420 and 34 of IPC, 1860, at Police Station Partap Nagar, Yamuna Nagar.

While granting interim protection to the petitioner on 16.04.2021, this Court passed the following order:-

“The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the role attributed to the petitioner is of having introduced co-accused Kamal to the complainant against whom the allegations of having committed fraud have been levelled by the complainant. He submits that neither offence under Section 420 IPC nor Section 34 IPC is attracted qua the petitioner.

Still further, he submits that though the petitioner was involved in FIR No.3 dated 15.01.2016 registered at Police Station Khizrabad, District Yamuna Nagar but he stands acquitted. He submits that the petitioner is ready to join the custodial interrogation (sic investigation) and cooperate with the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

List on 12.07.2021.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Lakhwinder Masih, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation. Upon further instructions, he submits that the petitioner has been found to be innocent during investigation.

No further orders are called for.

Petition is disposed of.

30.09.2021

sheetal

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No