222.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10410-2020

Date of Decision: 30.11.2021

SURINDER KUMAR AND ORS

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:-

Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate,

for the petitioners.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate,

for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of

Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.0158, dated 27.11.2016,

registered under Sections 324, 452, 323, 34 of IPC at Police Station

Gharinda, District Amritsar (Rural) (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent

proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 27.02.2020

(Annexure P-2).

The aforesaid FIR has been registered on the statement of

complainant/respondent No.2-Paramjit Singh. Now with the intervention of

respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the

parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

SANJEEV KUMAR 2021.12.01 10:37 I attest to the accuracy and integrity of this document **CRM-M-10410-2020**

- 2 -

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a

compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaqa

Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the

compromise.

In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from

learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar, stating that the compromise is

genuine and has been effected between the parties without any coercion or

undue influence from any corner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State on

instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the

respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise. Learned State counsel

would submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the

State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law

laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone

through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a

loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between

the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After

considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both

the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal

prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate

conviction are bleak.

CRM-M-10410-2020

- 3 -

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another,* (2014) 6 SCC 466, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0158, dated 27.11.2016, registered under Sections 324, 452, 323, 34 of IPC at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar (Rural) (Annexure P-1) and all

subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua petitioners.

(JAISHREE THAKUR) JUDGE

30.11.2021

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No Whether Reportable: Yes/No