

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

CRM-M-10860-2021(O&M)
Decided on : 30.04.2021

SAGAR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL
(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG Haryana
assisted by SI Puran Dass.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.263, dated 27.11.2020 under Sections 379-A, 201 and 34 of IPC registered at Police Station Ismailabad, District Kurukshetra, wherein it was alleged that he had snatched the mobile phone of the complainant.

Learned counsel submits that it is a case of false implication and false recovery of a phone has been foisted upon him. He further submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other case of similar nature, hence he may be extended the concession of bail.

Per contra, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions from SI Puran Dass submits that charges stand framed in the case in hand and the next date of hearing before the trial Court is 01.06.2021 for prosecution evidence.

Heard.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the

parties, I deem it a fit case for grant of the concession of regular bail to the petitioner, as the petitioner has been in custody since 03.12.2020 and the trial is unlikely to conclude in the near future, more so, in the prevailing conditions due to the outbreak of COVID-19. The petition as such is allowed and the petitioner is admitted to bail to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

April 30, 2021

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No