## 105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2629-2021(O&M) **Date of Decision:29.10.2021** 

Narender Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others ..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

\*\*\*

Present:

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Aman Bahri, Addl.AG, Haryana.

Mr.Rajesh K.Sheoran, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 4.

## TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the instant petition is to the order dated 12.11.2020 (Annexure P-9) passed by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent i.e. Estate Officer-II, Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran, Gurugram whereby claim of the petitioner for allotment of a plot under the oustee category has been rejected on the ground that the land acquired of the petitioner was only to the extent of 38%.

Entire case projected on behalf of the petitioner is that such finding is perverse and and in fact the entire land holding had been acquired.

Since an advance copy of the writ petition had already been served upon the respondents-Pradhikaran, an affidavit of the third respondent has been placed on record.

Paras 7 and 8 of the affidavit read in the following terms:-

*"7*. That now it has been decided by Chief Administrator, HSVP, Panchkula that all the cases of Oustee claims against advertisement dated 1.11.2018 of HSVP in entire Haryana

NITA NAGPAL 21.10.29 16:39 ttest to the accuracy of this

CWP-2629-2021(O&M)

-2-

State be returned for re-examination and scrutiny be done of

individual applicant's applications, vide HQ letter dated

16.08.2021. Copy of the letter dated 16.08.2021 is annexed

herewith as Annexure R-3.

That in compliance of above instructions dated 8.

16.08.2021, competent authority will, re-examine and scrutinize

all applications including application of petitioner received qua

advertisement of the year 2018 as per the latest proforma."

Mr.Rajesh K.Sheoran, learned counsel representing

Pradhikaran states that in the light of such decision taken for

reconsideration/re-examination the impugned order would cease to exist.

It would be open for the petitioner to apply afresh on the web

portal of the Pradhikaran and which will be open positively within a period

of 15 days. Mr.Sheoran further states that in the eventuality of the petitioner

applying afresh, a final decision as per law and under the relevant Oustee

Policy would be taken within a period of three months thereafter by passing

a reasoned order.

Statement is accepted.

No further directions are required to be passed.

Disposed of.

[TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA] **JUDGE** 

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

**JUDGE** 

29.10. 2021

sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned Whether Reportable

Yes/No Yes/No