IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-PIL No. 13 of 2021 (O&M) Date of decision: 29.01.2021

Swasthay Shiksha Sahyog Sangathan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

(The aforesaid presented in being recorded through video conferencies since

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the

proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court).

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed this petition by way of public interest litigation for issuance of several directions to the respondent authorities regarding construction of bridge from Sectors 24-26 to Sector 20-21 dividing road, Panchkula. The petitioner submits that to redress his grievances, he has already made a representation dated 22.12.2020 (Annexure P-8) before respondent No.1 but no action thereon has been taken till date.

Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent-State of Haryana submits that the respondent-authorities shall consider and take a decision on the aforesaid representation dated 22.12.2020 (Annexure P-8) filed by the petitioner at an early date and decision thereof would be communicated to the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid statement/undertaking made by learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, the petition stands disposed of.

In the meanwhile, till the decision of the aforesaid petitioner's representation, the respondent-authorities shall not proceed to cut any tree in respect of the dispute raised and involved in this petition.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA) CHIEF JUSTICE

> (ARUN PALLI) JUDGE

29.01.2021

ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whetherreportable	Yes/No