

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF NOVEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI

WRIT PETITION NO: 30843 OF 2021

Between:

Mohd. Iqbal, S/o Allahuddin, Aged 52 years, occ. Govt. Employee, R/o 12-151, Gouthaminagar, Mancherial Tow and Dist.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, represented by its Principal Secretary Revenue Dept., Secretariat Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Mancherial Dist.
3. The Tahsildar, Jannaram Mandal, Mancherial Dist.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue any appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not considering and disposing of my application dated. 27/5/2019, for revocation of suspension order passed by the respondent No.2 vide proceeding No.A3/506/2018, dated 31/3/2018 as illegal, arbitrary, apart from violation of principles of Natural Justice, besides violation of Article 14, 21 and 300 A of Indian Constitution.

IA NO: 1 OF 2021

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.1 to considering and dispose of my applications dated. 27/5/2019, for revocation of suspension order passed by the respondent No.2 vide proceeding No.A3/506/2018, dated 31/3/2018 pending disposal of this writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI D. MANOHAR RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SERVICES -I

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI

WRIT PETITION No.30843 of 2021

ORDER:

This writ petition is being disposed of at the admission stage with the consent of both parties.

2. This writ petition is filed seeking the following relief:

".... to issue any appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in not considering and disposing of my application dated 27/5/2019 for revocation of suspension order passed by the respondent No.2 vide proceedings No. A3/506/2011 dated 31/3/2018 as illegal ....".

3. Heard Sri Manohar Rao Dandayakula, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.

4. It has been contended by the petitioner that he is working as a Village Revenue Officer and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent has placed him under suspension vide proceedings dated 31.03.2018 on the alleged ground that he was involved in an ACB trap case. The grievance of the petitioner is that though more than three years have elapsed, the respondents are not reviewing the suspension orders strictly in terms of G.O.Ms.No.86 dated 08.03.1994. In those set of circumstances, he has submitted a representation to the respondents on 27.05.2019. But, so far, the respondents have not reviewed the suspension orders strictly in terms of G.O.Ms.No.86 dated 08.03.1994.

5. Therefore, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner had contended that appropriate orders be passed in the writ petition directing the respondents to review the suspension order of the

petitioner strictly in terms of G.O.Ms.No.86 dated 08.03.1994 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

6. Learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents had contended that the respondents would review the suspension order of the petitioner strictly in terms of G.O.Ms.No.86 dated 08.03.1994 and appropriate orders would be passed in accordance with law.

7. This Court, having considered the rival submissions of learned counsel for the parties, is of the considered view that this writ petition can be disposed of directing the respondents to review the suspension order of the petitioner strictly in terms of G.O.Ms.No.86 dated 08.03.1994 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a reasonable period, preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. With the above observations, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/K.ONESIM  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR  
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary Revenue Dept., State of Telangana, Secretariat Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Mancherial Dist.
3. The Tahasildar, Jannaram Mandal, Mancherial Dist.
4. One CC to Sri D. Manohar Rao, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP for Services -I, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
6. Two CD Copies
7. One Spare Copy

sm  
sab

HIGH COURT

DATED:30/11/2021

ORDER

WP.No.30843 of 2021



DISPOSING OF THE WP  
WITHOUT COSTS

ADM 69  
15/12/2021