

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BECHU KURIAN THOMAS

THURSDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2021 / 8TH ASWINA, 1943

WP(C) NO. 19183 OF 2021

PETITIONER:

ABDHUL RAHIM
AGED 35 YEARS
KOTTAYIL HOUSE, CHERUVATTOOR KARA, CHERUVATTOOR
P.O.ERAMALLOOR VILLAVE, KOTHAMANGALAM TALUK-686 691.

BY ADVS.
V.JOHN MANI
S.JAYANT
JACKSON JOHNY
VARGHESE SABU
SETHULAKSHMI K.K.
P.V.CHERIAN

RESPONDENTS:

- 1 UNION OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS,
SOUTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-110 011.
- 2 CHIEF PASSPORT OFFICER,
PSP DIVISION, MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS, ROOM NO 27,
PATIALA HOUSE, TILAK MARG, NEW DELHI-110 001.
- 3 REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICE,
REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICE, KOCHI, ERNAKULAM-672 036.

SRI.JAISHANKAR V. NAIR-CGC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
30.09.2021, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

BECHU KURIAN THOMAS, J.

W.P.(C)No. 19183 of 2021

Dated this the 30th day of September, 2021

JUDGMENT

After going through the pleadings in this writ petition, though I was inclined to direct the petitioner to implead his former wife as a party in this writ petition, it is submitted by the learned Central Government Counsel that pursuant to receipt of the objection raised by the petitioner, which is produced as Ext.P4, the Regional Passport Officer has already entered the objection under the title "Policy Remarks in the System".

2. It is also submitted that as a result of the said entry, in the computer system of the passport issuing authority, as and when an application for issuance of a passport is received for an on behalf of the minor child, the system will automatically generate an objection. Thereafter, notices will be issued and the parties will be heard before taking a decision. The objection of either of

the parties will be called for and a decision will be taken only thereafter. The learned Counsel for the respondents submitted that no application has been received as on date for renewal of the passport of 'Reyah Fathima' and hence, no further orders need be passed nor any of the questions raised in this writ petition be considered.

In view of the aforesaid submission, this writ petition is closed without prejudice to the rights of the former wife of the petitioner or of the petitioner to raise appropriate contentions at the appropriate stage.

The writ petition is closed.

Sd/-

BECHU KURIAN THOMAS
JUDGE

AJ/30.09.2021

APPENDIX OF WP(C) 19183/2021

PETITIONER EXHIBITS

- | | |
|------------|---|
| Exhibit P1 | A TRUE COPY OF THE DECREE IN OP NO
204/2019 DATED 16.3.2020 OF HON'BLE
FAMILY COURT, MUVATTUPUZHA |
| Exhibit P2 | A TRUE COPY OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
EXECUTED BY THE PETITIONER AND RAISAMOL
BEFORE THE PRINCIPAL COUNSELLOR OF THE
HON'BLE FAMILY COURT MUVATTUPUZHA |
| Exhibit P3 | A TRUE COPY OF THE DECREE IN OP NO
745/2019 DATED 16.3.2020 OF HON'BLE
FAMILY COURT, MUVATTUPUZHA |
| Exhibit P4 | A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED
6.9.2021 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER |
| Exhibit P5 | A TRUE COPY OF THE POSTAL RECEIPT DATED
7.9.2021 |