

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAJI P.CHALY

FRIDAY, THE 30<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF JULY 2021 / 8TH SRAVANA, 1943

CON.CASE(C) NO. 690 OF 2017

AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN WPC 11935/2015 OF HIGH COURT OF  
KERALA, ERNAKULAM

PETITIONER/PETITIONER

SREEKANTH

AGED 43 YEARS

S/O SUDHAN, KAITHAVALAPPIL HOUSE,  
NAYARAMBALAM P.O.-682509, KOCHI TALUK,  
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV SMT. SADHANA KUMARI ESWARI

CONTEMPTNOR/RESPONDENT:

VRINDADEVI N.R

AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER  
THR TAHSILDAR, KOCHI TALUK.

SRI. SURIN GEORGE IPE, SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR  
ADMISSION ON 30.07.2021, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED  
THE FOLLOWING:

## **JUDGMENT**

This contempt petition is filed by the petitioner complaining that the directives contained in the judgment dated 01.12.2016 in W.P.C No.11935/2015 are not complied with.

2. Annexure D order issued by the Additional Tahsildar dated 13.03.2017 shows that, a decision was taken by the Additional Tahsildar basically stating that, on production of appropriate documents and hearing all parties, a decision would be taken. Anyhow, the directions contained in the judgment was to the effect that the Tahsildar shall take a decision within a time frame fixed by this Court.

3. Whatever that be, today when the matter was taken up, learned counsel appearing for the contempt petitioner Smt.Sadhanakumari Eswari submitted that, a suit was pending by and between the parties and at present A.S.No.117/2020 is

pending before the IIInd Additional District Court, Ernakulam, and in that proceedings the judgment and a decree of the court below is stayed.

Taking into account the said aspect, the subject matter of the suit is said to be one and the same. I do not find any reason to proceed with the contempt petition any further, since the rights as is claimed by the parties are yet to be crystallized in a properly constituted civil proceedings. Therefore, the contempt petition is closed accordingly.

Sd/-

**SHAJI P.CHALY**

**JUDGE**

hmh

APPENDIX OF CON.CASE (C) 690/2017

PETITIONER ANNEXURE

ANNEXURE A                    THE TRUE CERTIFIED COPY OF THE JUDGMENT  
                                  IN W.P.C NO. 11935/2020 DATED  
                                  01.12.2016.

ANNEXURE B                    TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT SUBMITTED  
                                  BEFORE THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR

ANNEXURE C                    THE TRUE ACKNOWLEDGMENT RECEIPT ISSUED  
                                  FROM THE OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT  
                                  COLLECTOR, ERNAKULAM

ANNEXURE D                    TRUE ORDER PASSED BY THE CONTEMNOR

RESPONDENT ANNEXURE : NIL