

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.3 of 2021.

Date of decision: 26.02.2021.

Surinder Singh Thakur

.....Petitioner.

Versus

**Secretary (Rural Development) and
others**

.....Respondents.

Coram

**The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge.
The Hon'ble Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.**

***Whether approved for reporting?*¹ No**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arsh Rattan, Advocate.

For the Respondents: **Mr. Ashok Sharma, Advocate General with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Additional Advocate Generals, Mr. Bhupinder Thakur and Mr. Yudhbir Singh Thakur, Deputy Advocate Generals, for respondents No. 1 to 4/State.**

Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge (Oral)

This execution petition is clearly misconceived as there is no substantive direction passed by this Court which

¹ *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment? Yes*

can be executed in the instant proceedings. However, taking into consideration, the allegations set out in this execution petition, it could be a case where proceedings for contempt can be initiated.

2. Consequently, this execution petition is dismissed, so also the pending applications, with liberty reserved to the petitioner to avail of such remedy, as available to him, under the law.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

**(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge**

**26th February, 2021.
(krt)**