

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No.2717 of 2021
Date of Decision: 30.4.2021

Param Dev**Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors.**Respondents.**

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sureshwar Thakur, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: **Mr. H.R.Bhardwaj, Advocate.**

For the Respondents: Mr. Ashok Sharma, Advocate General with Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Senior Additional Advocate General and Mr. Sudhir Bhatnagar, Additional Advocate General, for respondent No1.

Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, Advocate, for respondents Nos. 2 to 4.

Sandeep Sharma, J. (oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad-idem that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment dated 17.7.2014, passed by a coordinate Bench this Court in **CWP No. 3050 of 2014**, titled **Nek Ram v.**

State of HP and Ors.

2. Having carefully perused aforesaid judgment rendered by the coordinate Bench of this court, this Court finds that case of the petitioner is identical to the aforesaid case decided by this Court. Vide aforesaid judgment, this Court has already dealt with all the points raised in the instant petition and as such, respondents can be directed to decide the case of the petitioner in light of the aforesaid judgment rendered by the Division Bench of this court.

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

...2...

3. Consequently, In view of the above, the directions contained in the aforesaid judgment are ordered to be made *mutatis mutandis* applicable in the present case for all intents and purposes and, in case, the petitioner is found to be similarly situate to that of the petitioner in the judgment (*supra*), the admissible benefits be released in his favour, within eight weeks from today.

In the aforesaid terms, present petition is disposed of alongwith pending application(s), if any.

**(Sureshwar Thakur),
Judge**

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

April 30, 2021
(Shankar)