# IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU DATED THIS THE $26^{\text{TH}}$ DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2021

#### **BEFORE**

# THE HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE S.G. PANDIT WRIT PETITION No.4222/2021 (LB-RES)

### BETWEEN:

- 1. SMT. JYOTHI W/O S V THARANATH AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS
- 2. SRI S V THARANATH S/O LATE S VENKATAPPA AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS

BOTH ARE R/OF SANTEPETE B M ROAD, HASSAN HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 201.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI.HARISH KUMAR M S, ADV.)

### AND:

- 1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
  REPD. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
  DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
  VIDHANASOUDHA
  DR. B R AMBEDKAR VEEDHI
  BENGALURU 560 001.
- 2. THE CHIEF OFFICER CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, SAGAR SAGAR TOWN SHIVAMOGGA DISTRICT - 577 401.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.K.R.NITYANANDA, HCGP)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE

ENDORSEMENT DATED 21.01.2019 ISSUED BY THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL SAGAR VIDE ANNEXURE-F AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:-

### ORDER

Sri Harish Kumar M.S, learned counsel for the petitioners after arguing the matter for some time seeks leave to withdraw the petition with liberty to avail alternate remedy available under the provisions of Karnataka Municipalities Act, 1964.

- 2. Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners is placed on record.
- 3. Writ petition is **dismissed** as withdrawn with liberty as sought for.
- 4. Since the petitioner is before this Court from May 2020, I am sure the revisional authority would take note of the same and also the COVID situation and consider the delay liberally.

Sd/-JUDGE

NG\* CT:bms