

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
DHARWAD BENCH

DATED THIS THE 31ST DAY OF AUGUST, 2021

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.N.DESAI

RPFC NO.100022/2017

BETWEEN:

VENKAT REDDY
S/O. ESHWAR GOWDA
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS
OCC: LANDLORD
R/O. CHANAL VILLAGE
BALLARI TALUK AND DISTRICT - 583 101

...PETITIONER.

(BY SHRI. Y.LAKSHMIKANT REDDY,
AND SMT. Y.MALATHI REDDY, ADVOCATES.)

AND:

SMT. VENKATESHAMMA
W/O. VENKATA REDDY
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
R/O. CHANAL VILLAGE
BALLARI TALUK & DISTRICT - 583 101

...RESPONDENT

(RESPONDENT DECEASED)

THIS RPFC IS FILED UNDER SECTION 19(4) OF THE
FAMILY COURT ACT, 1984, AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND ORDER
DTD:14.11.2016 IN CRL.MISC.NO.102/2016 ON THE FILE OF THE
PRINCIPAL JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, BALLARI, PARTLY ALLOWING
THE PETITION FILED UNDER SECTION 125 OF CR.P.C.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY,
THE COURT PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed a memo stating that the sole respondent - Smt. Venkateshamma died. Therefore, this revision petition does not survive for consideration. The said memo which is taken on record reads as under:

"The only respondent Venkateshamma has died on 01/12/2017, the copy of death certificate is herewith enclosed, hence RPFC does not survive for consideration."

2. In view of the memo, and in view of the death of sole respondent, the petition does not survive for consideration and the same stands dismissed.

Sd/-
JUDGE

HJ