

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13686 of 2021

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13687 of 2021

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13689 of 2021

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13690 of 2021

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13693 of 2021

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13695 of 2021

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14272 of 2021

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14274 of 2021

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14275 of 2021

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14276 of 2021

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14277 of 2021

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14279 of 2021

=====

JAYSUKH CHHAGANBHAI CHAUHAN

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

MR NM PATEL(6042) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

MR.RAJESH B SONI(2632) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

MS DHARITRI PANCHOLI, AGP for Respondent State (in SCA Nos.14272, 14274, 14275, 14276, 14277, and 14279 of 2021)

MS SURBHI BHATI, AGP, for Respondent State (in SCA Nos.13686, 13687, 13689, 13690, 13693, and 13695 of 2021)

for the Respondent(s) No. 2

ADVANCE COPY SERVED TO GOVERNMENT PLEADER/PP(99) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NIKHIL S. KARIEL

Date : 30/11/2021

COMMON ORAL ORDER

1. Heard learned Advocate Mr.Rajesh Soni on behalf of the petitioners and learned AGP on behalf of the respondent State.
2. Learned Advocate Mr.Soni for the petitioners seeks a limited indulgence inasmuch as the representation dated 4.3.2020 preferred by the petitioners may be directed to be decided by the respondents within a specific period of time.
3. Such a request on behalf of the petitioners is not opposed by the learned AGP.
4. Having regard to the same, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation preferred by the present petitioners dated 4.3.2020 within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of order of this Court. Needless to clarify that this Court has not gone into the merits of the matter and whereas the respondent No.2 shall take an appropriate decision in accordance with law and communicate the same to the petitioners. It is further clarified that if the petitioners were aggrieved by the decision of respondent No.2, then it would be open for the petitioners to challenge the same before appropriate forum as may be available in law.
5. With this limited observations and directions all the petitions stand disposed of.

(NIKHIL S. KARIEL,J)

V.V.P. PODUVAL