

The High Court Of Madhya Pradesh
WP-4780-2021

(HARSHVARDHAN NIGAM Vs THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND OTHERS)

Jabalpur, Dated : 27-02-2021

Shri Amit Seth, learned counsel for petitioner.

Shri Darshan Soni, learned Govt. Advocate for respondents/State.

Heard.

Petitioner has filed the present writ petition being aggrieved by the fact that departmental enquiry was initiated against the petitioner and no pension and gratuity has been paid to petitioner.

Counsel appearing for petitioner submitted that petitioner is entitled for provisional pension and gratuity as per Rule 64 of M.P. Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1976. He makes a limited prayer that respondents be directed to consider the representation dated 09.02.2021 preferred by petitioner for grant of provisional pension and gratuity as per Rule 64 of M.P. Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1976.

Counsel appearing for State Government submitted that representation of petitioner shall be considered and a reasonable and speaking order shall be passed on it.

In view of aforesaid submissions made by the counsel for the parties, writ petition filed by petitioner is disposed off with direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation preferred by petitioner by passing a reasonable and speaking order within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order passed today. Respondents are also directed to endeavour to conclude the departmental enquiry against petitioner expeditiously preferably within a period of six months.

With the aforesaid direction, writ petition is **disposed off**.

C.C. as per rules.

(VISHAL DHAGAT)
JUDGE

sp/-

