

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 3060 of 2012**

Dayashankar Parganiha S/o Shri Shobharam Parganiha,
Aged about 52 years, R/o Vivekanand Marg, In front
of Narayan Niwas, Moti Para, Durg, Distt. Durg,
Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner

Versus

- 1.State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary,
Department of Panchayat and Rural Development,
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
- 2.Development Commissioner, O/o The Development
Commissioner, Chhattisgarh, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
- 3.Chief Engineer, Rural Engineering Service, Under
the Department of Panchayat and Rural Development,
Vikas Bhawan, Civil Lines, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
- 4.Shri V.K. Jain, Superintendent Engineer,
Chhattisgarh Rural Road Development Agency, Vikas
Bhawan Civil Lines, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

--- Respondents

WPS No. 3311 of 2012

- 1.S.N. Shrivastava S/o Shri R.N. Shrivastava, Aged
about 52 years, R/o Block F, Plot No.3, Nehru Nagar
(East) Bhilai, Distt. Durg, Chhattisgarh.
- 2.Shaukilal Patel S/o Late Garjan Patel, Aged about
52 years, R/o Block 5, Flat No. 403, Ashoka Ratna,
Khamhardih, Shankar Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioners

Versus

- 1.State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary,
Department of Panchayat and Rural Development,
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
- 2.Development Commissioner, O/o The Development
Commissioner, Chhattisgarh, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
- 3.Chief Engineer, Rural Engineering Service, Under
the Department of Panchayat and Rural Development,
Vikas Bhawan, Civil Lines, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
- 4.Shri V.K. Jain, Superintendent Engineer,
Chhattisgarh Rural Road Development Agency, Vikas
Bhawan Civil Lines, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

--- Respondents

For Petitioners	:- None
For Respondent No. 4	:- Mr. K. Rohan, Advocate
For State	:- Mr. Animesh Tiwari, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board (Through Video Conferencing)

30/06/2021

- 1.The matter was called up twice for hearing but none
appeared on behalf of the petitioners and it
appears that petitioners are not interested in
prosecuting the appeal further.
- 2.Mr. K. Rohan, learned counsel for respondent No. 4,
would submit that both the petitions have become
infructuous as these were filed seeking quashment

of seniority list and the petitioners have already retired from service.

3. Accordingly, both the writ petitions stand dismissed having become infructuous.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

Harneet