

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT JAMMU**

OWP No. 2093/2018  
IA No. 1/2018

Mohd Ramzan and another

.....Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: None

**Vs**

State of J&K and others

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. F. A. Natnoo, AAG

**Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR, JUDGE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PUNEET GUPTA, JUDGE**

**ORDER**  
30.09.2021

01. The grievance of the petitioners was that if the official respondents were to change the alignment of the road, a portion of the petitioners' land would be lost. It was, thus, prayed that the respondents be restrained from making any change of the alignment without adopting due course of law.
02. Counsel for the respondents states that the road in question has already been constructed. Reference in this regard has been made to paragraphs 5 and 6 of the objections. It is stated that on account of construction of the road, no part of the property of the petitioners is being affected.
03. If that be the case, the construction of the road does not appear to have affected the land of the petitioners. Be that as it may, the petition is found to be without merit and is accordingly dismissed.

**(Puneet Gupta)  
Judge**

**(Dhiraj Singh Thakur)  
Judge**

Jammu  
30.09.2021  
Vijay

