

D/L
Item No. 4
29.01.2021
(Video Conference)
Kole

WPA 9773 of 2020

Anjali Bagum (Sekh Hazera)
-Vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. A. Pyne,
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashim Kr. Ganguly,
Mr. B. Seikh,
...for the State.

Mr. Munshi A. Elahi,
Mr. S. Mridha,
.... For the Private respondents.

The petitioner alleges that in spite of complaint made by the petitioner before the Police Authorities against the private respondents herein with regard to threats to the life of the petitioner given out by the said private respondents, but no action has been taken by the Police Authorities.

The learned Counsel for the State submits that on the basis of the complaint of the petitioner, the matter was inquired into and after completion of enquiry the Sub-Inspector of Police submitted a prosecution under Section 107 of the Code of Criminal Procedure before the concerned Magistrate.

I have heard the learned advocate for the parties and perused the materials on record.

Since it appears that the police authorities have taken steps with regard to the petitioner's complaint, the allegations of the petitioner that the Police Authorities have not taken any steps is without any basis.

Though in the written complaint before the Police Authorities several allegations including the allegation of criminal offence was made but Mr. Pyne, learned Advocate, in course of his argument, restricts his prayer in the writ petition in so far as the threats given out by the private respondents to the life of the petitioner is concerned. The petitioner will, however, be at liberty to approach the appropriate forum with regard to his other grievances as ventilated in the complaint made before the Police Authorities.

For the aforesaid reasons, WPA 9773 of 2020 is accordingly disposed of, without, however, any order as to costs.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)