

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT (HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No.: WP(C)/3090/2015

PULIN BORAH and 4 ORS. S/O SRI BAPAI BORAH R/O VILL- MANAIMAZI GAON P.O. DHEAKARGARH DIST. JORHAT, ASSAM.

2: SRI ASHIM SAIKIA S/O LT. TUKHESWAR SAIKIA VIL- BALIGAON P.O. KORUKATALI DIST. JORHAT.

3: SRI BUBUL CH. DUTTA S/O ARUN CH. DUTTA R/O VILL- and P.O. DESOINAGAR DIST. JORHAT ASSAM.

4: SRI ANJAN KR. SAIKIA S/O SRI GIRISH CH. SAIKIA R/O VILL- PARBOTIA GAON P.O. PORBOTIA DIST. JORHAT ASSAM.

5: SRI SANJIB KALITA S/O NOGENDRA NATH KALITA R/O DESOIKASH BRAHMIN GAON P.O. KORPKATALI DIST. JORHAT ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, POWER AND ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6.

2:THE ASSAM POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LIMITED REP. BY THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER HR ASSAM POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LIMITED BIJULI BHAWAN

GUWAHATI-1.

3:THE GENERAL MANAGER

ASSAM POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LIMITED

JORHAT ELECTRICAL CIRCLE

JORHAT ELECTRICAL CIRCLE

JORHAT

ASSAM

THE CHAIRMAN OF THE SELECTION COMMITTEE FOR THE POST OF SAHAYAK APDCL

JORHAT

4:THE DEPUTY GENERAL MANAGER

ASSAM POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LIMITED

JORHAT ELECTRICAL CIRCLE

JORHAT ASSAM THE CONVENER OF THE SELECTION COMMITTEE FOR

THE POST OF SAHAYAK

APDCL

JORHAT

5:SMTI. KABITA KAKOTI KONWAR

ACS

EXECUTIVE MAGISTRATE

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE

JORHAT

ASSAM A MEMBER OF THE SELECTION COMMITTEE FOR THE POST OF

SAHAYAK APDCL

JORHAT

6:SRI KAMAL BORAH

EMPLOYMENT OFFICER

DISTRICT EMPLOYMENT EXCHANGE

JORHAT

ASSAM

A MEMBER OF THE SELECTION COMMITTEE FOR THE POST OF

SAHAYAK

APDCL

JORHAT

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR.P K MONDAL

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, APDCL

BEFORE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA

ORDER

Date: 26.02.2021

None appears on call for the petitioners. However, Mr. D. Nath, learned Senior Counsel, assisted by Ms. K. Phukan, learned counsel for the respondent nos. 2 to 5 are present.

It is seen that the stand of the respondents is that the petitioners have been selected, but their position is far below the selected candidate for which they could not be appointed as Sahayaks in the Jorhat Electrical Circle, pursuant to advertisement of the year 2013.

Be that as it may, as it is seen that the petitioners are remained unrepresented when the matter was previously listed on 06.06.2018, 23.01.2019 and 11.01.2021, the Court presumes that the petitioners are not interested to pursue this writ petition.

Accordingly, this writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant