

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Writ Contempt No. 108/2020

Shri Vijaynagar Shikshan Sansthan, Shri Vijaynagar, Chak 32-G.b., Anupgarh Road, Gram Panchayat 28-G.b., Shri Vijaynagar Through Sh. Sahab Ram S/o Sh. Nathu Ram, Principal, Sri Vinayak College Of Education Run By Shri Vijaynagar Shikshan Sansthan, Age About 40 Yrs. R/o Sri Vinayak College Of Education Campus, Shri Vijaynagar, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Pardeep Kumar Borad, Director, Directorate Of College Education, Jaipur
2. Rajendra Kumar Dudi, Registrar, Maharaja Ganga Singh University, Nh-15, Jaisalmer Road, Bikaner.
3. N.k Vyas, Coordinator P.t.e.t And B.a.b.ed./b.sc.b.ed.-2019, Government Dungar College, Bikaner.
4. State Of Rajasthan, Through Director, Directorate Of College Education, Jaipur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sahab Ram, present in person.

JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

Order

31/01/2020

1. Mr. Sahab Ram, the Principal of petitioner – Institute submits that the respondent No.2 - the Registrar Maharaja Ganga Singh University, Bikaner, is not permitting the students of the petitioner – Institute to fill the examination form, which is defiance of the order dated 02.07.2019. The order dated 02.07.2019 is reproduced hereinfra :

"Issue notice. Issue notice of the stay application also.

Mr. Shailendra Rathore, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.3.

Issue notice of the respondents No.1 & 2 only, returnable within four weeks.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner shall be included provisionally for the purpose of empanelment for counseling which is scheduled to be conducted for admission to B.A. B.Ed. B.Sc. B.Ed. Course pursuant to PTET-2019.

Connect with SB Civil Writ Petition No.8729/2019, as prayed."

2. A perusal of the above order leaves no room for ambiguity that this Court had directed PTET to permit the petitioner – Institute to participate in the counseling and there was no specific direction to the respondent – University to permit the students to fill up the examination form.
3. In view of the aforesaid, there is no non-compliance much less willful non-compliance of the order dated 02.07.2019 by the respondent No.2.
4. It is observed that the petitioner has wrongly impleaded respondents No.1, 3 & 4, as the respondents in the present contempt petition, particularly when there is no allegation against them with respect to purported breach of the order dated 02.07.2019.
5. Be that as it may, finding no substance in the contempt petition, the same is dismissed.

(DINESH MEHTA),J