\$~1

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ BAIL APPLN. 2626/2019

FURKAN Petitioner

Through: Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma,

Advocate

Versus

STATE Respondent

Through: Mr. G.M. Farooqui, Additional

Public Prosecutor for State with SI

Mohit Malik

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH SETHI

% ORDER 31.01.2020

Petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 106/2019, under Sections 380/454 IPC, registered at police station Chandni Mahal, Delhi while claiming to have been falsely implicated in this case. He is said to be in custody since 31st August, 2019.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the subject matter of dispute is essentially a civil dispute between complainant –*Salludddin Malik* and his real brother –*Riyazuddin*, at whose instance the theft has been alleged to have been committed and who has been granted bail by the learned trial court on 9th December, 2019. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner is a young boy of 22 years of age and his father is not keeping well and great prejudice would be caused if the main accused is on bail and petitioner, who is innocent and falsely implicated,

remains behind bars.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for State has confirmed the factum of grant of anticipatory bail to main accused- *Riyazuddin* by the learned trial court.

Heard.

In view of the fact that the petitioner is in judicial custody and is no more required for the purpose of investigation and further keeping in mind the fact that the co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail by the learned trial court on 9th December, 2019, in the interest of justice, petitioner is directed to be released on bail subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial court.

The application stands disposed of.

Dasti.

BRIJESH SETHI, J

JANUARY 31, 2020

r